

Statement of Community Involvement Consultation Statement



This and other Local Development Framework documents can be made available on request in large copy print, audio cassette, Braille or languages other than English. If you require the document in one of these formats please contact: Emma Lawrence, Herefordshire Council, Forward Planning, P.O. Box 4, Plough Lane, Hereford, HR4 0XH Tel: 01432 260000 E-mail: elawrence@herefordshire.gov.uk

Contents

	Page	
Section 1	Introduction	1
Section 2	Purpose and scope of the SCI	1
Section 3	Initial Consultation Regulation 25	2
Section 4	Pre-submission Consultation Regulation 26	15
Section 5	Submission Consultation Regulation 31	26
Section 6	Inspector's Report	28
Section 7	Conclusion	28

Initial Consultation

Appendix 1	Copy of the Questionnaire	29
Appendix 2	Copy of the leaflet	33
Appendix 3	Public notice articles	35
Appendix 4	Results of questionnaire in percentages	36
Appendix 5	Results of questionnaire by frequencies	40
Appendix 6	Map of geographical responses	44

Pre-submission Consultation

Appendix 7	Public notice, proposals Matters	45
Appendix 8	Herefordshire Matters article	46
Appendix 9	Pre-submission questionnaire	47
Appendix 10	Table of comments received from questionnaire	51
Appendix 11	List of workshop attendees	93
Appendix 12	Findings from workshop event	95
Appendix 13	Findings from HALC meeting	99

Submission Consultation

Appendix 14	Representation Form	102
Appendix 15	Public notice, proposals Matters	106
Appendix 16	Herefordshire Matters article	107
Appendix 17	Table of comments received	108
Appendix 18	Suggested amendments to Appendix 2 & 9 of SCI	113

Adoption of SCI

Appendix 19	Adoption Statement	133
-------------	--------------------	-----

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The preparation of the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) is a **statutory requirement** under the **Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act (2004)**
- 1.2 This consultation report has been compiled to provide background information on the way Herefordshire Council has involved the community in the preparation of its SCI. The regulations¹ identify three formal consultation opportunities which have been completed and are detailed in this report.
- 1.3 Two fact finding consultations have been undertaken and details of these are provided in this report. The information gathered from these exercises have informed the preparation of the submission draft of the SCI which was the subject of consultation from 12th June until 24th July 2006 and was also submitted to the Secretary of State for consideration. A binding Inspector's Report has now been issued and all recommendations made by the Inspector have been taken on board and the SCI has been amended accordingly into a final adopted version.
- 1.4 This Consultation Report sets out the consultation methods used to engage the wider community at each stage in the preparation of the SCI and has regard to: -
- consultees and how they were consulted and ways in which the SCI has been distributed;
 - a summary of the main issues raised as a result of the consultation;
 - how those main issues have been addressed in the SCI

2 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE STATEMENT OF COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

- 2.1 The purpose of the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) is to set out Herefordshire Council's policy for involving the community in the preparation and revision of all Local Development Framework planning documents and in consultations on planning applications.
- 2.2 Herefordshire Council supports the fact that effective community involvement in the planning system has several advantages:
- Improves the quality and efficiency of planning decisions, by drawing on local knowledge and tackles the conflicting needs within the community.
 - Promotes planning as a forward thinking, proactive system for delivering high quality development.
 - Leads to outcomes that are a better reflection of the views, aspirations and needs of the community and all its diversity.

¹ The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 introduced major changes to the way the planning system operates. Transitional arrangements have been put in place by Government to assist local authorities to progress from the old system of development plans to the production of Local Development Frameworks. This report has been prepared in accordance with Regulation 25 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004.

- Promotes social cohesion by making connections with communities and offering them a real stake in decision making.

3 INITIAL CONSULTATION – Regulation 25

3.1 Introduction

Initial work on the SCI began in July 2005 and has been timetabled into the Local Development Scheme for submission to the Secretary of State by July 2006. The Forward Planning team are responsible for production of the SCI and it was decided that baseline information was needed to better inform its production. This involved setting up an internal officer working group which would contribute to the preparation of a planning document and effectively involve the community on planning matters. The new planning act seeks early involvement of the community to resolve issues during the initial preparation of planning documents and planning applications. This is referred to as front loading.

Consultation Methods

3.2 Internal Officer Working Group

The first meeting of the Officer Working group took place in August 2005. The working group comprises officers from Forward Planning, Development Control, Policy & Community and Conservation, and utilises experience gained in consultation and community participation exercises.

3.3 The working group agreed that a questionnaire was required to gather information on what people thought of the current system and how planning could become more accessible to the public. A leaflet was also produced to help summarise the most important elements of the SCI.

3.4 Preferred methods and effective ways to engage the community were discussed. Issues arising from these meetings were fed, where possible, into the preparation of the SCI and in the production of the questionnaire and leaflet. Using the baseline information gathered during the Initial Consultation (under Regulation 25), this helped form the Pre-Submission Draft.

3.5 Questionnaire and Leaflet

The purpose of the questionnaire (Appendix 1) was to provide an evidence base for the SCI and to gain the public's view on how the Council has consulted in the past and how improvements could be made in the future. It has been helpful to gather views on emerging ideas for the draft SCI. As well as input from the working group, officers from Research & Policy assisted in the design and production of the final questionnaire and assessing responses.

3.6 The purpose of the leaflet (Appendix 2) was to raise awareness of the new planning system while also informing the public on how the SCI will proceed. This was also intended to assist people in providing a more informed response to the questionnaire.

3.7 The questionnaire asked a series of questions on how local communities would like to be consulted and their previous experience of involvement with planning. There were approximately 1000 questionnaires sent out to the public. A response rate of approximately 26% provided detailed baseline information from which the SCI draft was drawn up.

3.8 The consultation period ran for six weeks from 17th October to 30th November 2005. The questionnaire and leaflet were available if required in various formats i.e. large print, Braille/text email or other languages on request, however no requests were made for any of these formats.

3.9 **Distribution**

A questionnaire, leaflet, letter and pre-paid envelope (Initial Consultation pack) was circulated to approximately 1000 individuals and organisations as listed at Appendix 2 and 3 of the SCI. The following is a summary of those in receipt of a questionnaire;

- Organisations listed on the Unitary Development Plan (UDP) database² which includes a range of local and national organisations, representatives from hard to reach groups such as elderly, youth, disabled and traveller groups.
- Organisations listed on the Development Control Database and the Community Plan database, held by Policy and Community
- Specific Internal officers
- All Councillors
- All Parish and Town Councils within Herefordshire and the bordering Parish Councils of Shropshire, Powys, Monmouthshire, Gloucestershire and Worcestershire.
- Statutory bodies such as neighbouring local authorities, Government Office West Midlands (GOWM) and the Highways Agency.

The questionnaire and leaflet were available at the following locations: all Council Information Points and all local libraries around the County, and at the Planning Services offices at Blueschool House and the Town Hall, Hereford.

3.10 The questionnaire and leaflet were also available to download from the Council's **website** (www.herefordshire.gov.uk/ldf). A **mailbox** was set up for those wishing to email their responses (ldf@herefordshire.gov.uk).

3.11 **Public Notice**

A public notice advertising the publication, and methods of obtaining a copy of the Initial Consultation pack was placed in the Hereford Times on Thursday November 3rd 2005. A press release was also included in the Hereford Times on the same date. See Appendix 3 for a copy of the public notice and press release. A news item about the SCI survey was sent to Herefordshire Association of Local Councils (HALC³), which helped to distribute the message to Parish Councils. An article was placed in Herefordshire Matters⁴ and the Council's internal newsletter Core News⁵. Both of these articles were available before or during the consultation.

² The UDP database is managed by the Forward Planning Team to record contact details for statutory and non-statutory consultees and other persons/organisations who have indicated that they wish to be informed of strategic planning issues facing Herefordshire in the past. Apart from anyone who has opted out of the consultation process, all contact details will continue to be used by members of the Forward Planning Team when consulting on LDF documents.

³ HALC is an umbrella organisation representing all Parish Councils in Herefordshire.

⁴ Herefordshire Matters is a Council magazine distributed to all households in the County on a quarterly basis

⁵ Core News is a Council publication distributed quarterly to all Council staff.

3.12 **LDF Database**

As the Forward Planning team are working with a new planning system, a new database was necessary for future consultations to be more effective. In order to reach out to people already interested in planning matters the consultees in the UDP database formed our main consultee list. As the list of consultees contacted was based on previous Plans, people may no longer have an interest in being contacted, so they were given the option to opt out of the new process.

3.13 Through work on the **Herefordshire Plan**⁶, the Policy and Community team have developed a large database of consultees and with the consultee's agreement⁷ these people have been included on the SCI mailing list. Consultation on Herefordshire's new planning documents needs to be co-ordinated with that of other Council strategies and plans. There is agreement on basic aims and principles of community consultation as this helps to make the overall work of consultation more joined up in meeting the holistic aspirations of people.

3.14 All respondent details to the SCI questionnaire will be transferred into a new LDF database for use in consultation on future planning documents.

3.15 **Summary of responses to initial consultation**

3.16 **Introduction**

This summary highlights the key responses to the initial consultation and brings out the main points that were made by those who replied within the consultation period. Views/comments of late submissions will be considered in any revised statement. The first section summarises responses from all 262 people who answered the survey by the end of November 2005 which represented a response rate of 26%. The results have been produced in two ways different ways

- Percentages. Appendix 4
- Frequencies⁸ Appendix 5

Percentages and frequency of results will be discussed throughout the report.

3.17 Some questions allowed people to tick more than one item so these percentages are calculated by the number of 'ticks' for each particular option and expressed as a percentage, e.g. question 4 and 11. In order to avoid confusion, the term 'citation' will be used to differentiate between the number of respondents to a question and the number of ticks applicable to a particular issue. So for example, the highest percentage in the results of a multiple answer question relates to the number of times the answer was chosen and is therefore a 'citation'.

⁶ Herefordshire Plan is a community strategy prepared by the local strategic partnership, as required by the Local Government Act 2000.

⁷ At the Initial Consultation stage, people on this database were all written to and given the opportunity to opt in or out of the SCI consultation.

⁸ Frequency is the number of responses per question

3.18 The first three questions were used to gauge peoples view on their previous involvement in planning so the Council could estimate the level of satisfaction and assess any improvements that could be made.


3.19 **Question 1. Has Herefordshire Council involved you in planning matters in the past?**

Yes 71%
No 29%

The majority of people quoted involvement on issues surrounding the UDP and planning applications. Other areas of involvement in included development of Parish Plans. Local Area Forums, Herefordshire Plan, Ambition Groups and various development plans areas. 29% of people state no previous involvement, which has provided a new opportunity for this group to have an input in the planning system.

3.20 **Question 2. Based on your past experiences, how would you describe Herefordshire Council's general approach to community involvement on planning matters? Was it:**

There were 255 respondents to this question. There was a close tie with people finding planning matters easy to get involved and those of no opinion. 25 % of respondents had difficulty to getting involved. This question leads on to Question 3 whereby people were able to provide suggestions for better involvement.



3.21 **Question 3. Is there anything the Council could improve on to make it easier to get involved and let people have their say on planning matters?**

Yes 63%
No 37%

There were 133 comments made in this section and they all relate to different aspects of the planning system. Some of the chosen comments below represent much of what was expressed.

“By asking more open-ended question at earlier stages and engaging in more constructive discussion of the resulting answers”

“Clearer information, press releases not just small ads”


“Community involvement - talks at local village halls”

“Council Planners could give their "first thoughts / areas of concern" when passing applications to Parish Councils”

“The Council could seek to gather the addresses of copy editors of all the rural newsletters/ parish magazines and send info to them for publication”

These and other comments made provides a clearer indication of what is required by the public to facilitate their involvement in a more effective manner. The SCI in Chapters 10 and 11 provides opportunities for early involvement on planning documents and planning applications. The use of press releases, parish magazines and public presentations are also detailed in Chapter 9.

3.22 Question 4. How would you prefer to be kept informed about progress made in the preparation of the future planning documents that will replace the existing UDP? You can tick more than one box.



In the past several methods have been used to inform the community in planning issues, the most popular being by letter. 175 people want to receive information in this way. Other popular methods scoring highly were by email (137 respondents) with newsletters or leaflets chosen by 91 people. There were 567 responses to this question, which means most people chose more than one option. The least popular methods were the website, press releases, articles in the Herefordshire Matters or adverts and radio. However, these choices added up to 28% of responses to this question. This would indicate that even though the most popular choices are the conventional methods by letter or email, a variety of other methods should also be used to keep people informed. The SCI has included all options. There was a useful

comment made about the Council obtaining editor contacts for newsletters made in the survey, which is to be furthered, as it would be helpful to have such contacts.

3.23 Question 4a. Do you have access to the Internet at home/work?


Yes 82%
No 18%

This question was asked to determine respondent access to the Internet. 211 people have access, which shows the majority of respondents have access.

3.24 Question 4b. If you answered 'yes', please tick this box if you would like to be informed via email.

Of the 82% who indicated they had email, 71% chose to be informed in this way. In other words, 149 people chose email as a way to be informed. This ties in closely with the number of respondents choosing email as a preferred option in question 4. This would indicate that those who have access to the Internet mainly choose email as a way to be kept informed. The Council will endeavour to contact people by their preferred means.

3.25 Question 5. When public participation and consultation is taking place during the preparation of planning documents which of the following would be of most use to you or your group? Please rank in order of preference, where 1 is most preferred.




See table below for outcome of ranking order for each option

Table 1. Question 5 ranking order

Participation option	Rank
Public meetings/presentations	1

One to one meetings	2
Public exhibitions/roadshows	3
Focus groups	4
Workshops	5
Existing panels and forums	6
Other	7

- 3.26 In this question people have been asked to rank their preferred choice of consultation and participation methods. Due to the nature of the question's ranking requirement, some method of scoring was applied. A scoring method was applied and hence the nature of this graph. The numbers on the graph do not relate to respondents but to a scoring method used based on how respondents ranked their choices. Table 1 shows how each option fared out in the ranking order.
- 3.27 The leading choice was public meetings/presentations with 62 respondents ranking this as a preferred choice. The next highest scoring method was one to one meetings/briefings which 67 people ranked highly. Public exhibitions/roadshows were also ranked highly with 42 responses. The lower ranking choices were, focus groups, workshops, existing panels and forums. This ranking order shows that some options are more appropriate than others for some individuals/groups in the community. The level of resources will need to be assessed against the exercise in question to determine whether the Council can offer one to one meetings. This will need to be assessed on a case-by-case basis. All the consultation and participation methods have been included in the SCI.
- 3.28 11 respondents chose 'Other' as an option some of the comments were mainly participation by letter, email, informal meetings, sending documents for comment was sufficient and Parish Council consultation and presentation.
- 3.29 **Question 6. What topics are of most interest to you or your group?**
- Most people often ticked up to five choices here and the most popular citations of choice was identifying sites for development (9%, 151 citations) and transport issues (9%, 146 citations). People were also very interested in design of new development (8%, 138 respondents) and housing in general (8%, 130 respondents). There is also an equal interest in the community facilities (7%, 110 respondents) and recreation, sport and tourism (7%, 110 respondents).
- 3.30 Topics that were of least interest were telecommunications (3%, 46 citations), minerals and waste (5%, 79 citations) and shopping and town centres (5%, 77 citations).



3.31 Much of the items listed in this question had to be generalised but people did respond with more specific areas of interest in the “Other category”. Topics put forward from those who replied ‘Other’ formed 3% (45 citations) of responses. Issues not listed in the above but raised by respondents were:


Table 2. Other options for question 6.

Agricultural planning (including polytunnels), rural diversification, services for migrant workers	Equal opportunities and disability issues, including access to services
Protection of rural environment, excessive farm building and industrial development in rural areas	Spatial Strategy, SPDs and DPDs, Parish Plans
Commercial developments	Recycling
Drainage issues, surface water run off, works close to watercourses	Proposed road alterations and highway improvements, traffic calming, quiet lanes, cycle routes.

Facilities for older people including warden controlled housing in rural areas.	Impact of noise and light, visual amenity of the environment
Facilities for young people	Public art
Services for gypsies, travellers, victims of domestic violence, homeless offenders, drug users.	Community development and community safety
Climate change	Hospitals


3.32 The answers to this question will help the Council to direct its efforts and prioritise the production of documents. People who have expressed an interest in a particular topic will be included in future consultation on topic related documents.

3.33 **Question 7. When documents are prepared for consultation in what format would you prefer to receive them?**



The most popular format for consultation documents is a hard copy of the document (55%). The next most popular format is an electronic copy via email (26%). The least popular method is by CD Rom at 5%. The website will continue to undergo improvements and additional information will be added over time. Requests for documents via email will be noted on the LDF database for future consultations.

3.34 **Question 8. Having been consulted, which of the following is your preferred means of responding?**



The most popular method for responding to consultations is by completion of a standard response form (32%), followed by completion of a letter (30%) and then by email (19%) and an online response form (18%). Two respondents took up the option for assistance with a form. This chart shows a varied preference for ways to respond. 37% are open to using e-formats to correspond to consultations.

3.35 Question 9. The Council currently undertakes the following activities to inform the community about planning applications that have been made to the Council. Are these measures sufficient to keep you informed?

67% of respondents (166 people) said that the list of measures currently taken by the Council was enough to keep them informed. 33% (83 people) said that what the Council does at the moment is not enough.

3.36 Question 10. If you answered 'no' to question 9, what else should the Council consider doing to keep you better informed?

Those that answered no to question 9 were asked in Question 10 what else the Council should consider doing to keep them better informed. 99 people gave ideas for this.

3.37 As with the UDP, people prefer to receive information about planning applications by letter. While the Council writes to all households in the vicinity of a planning application site to notify them, issues a non mandatory site notice and is now displaying application details on the Council's website, respondents highlighted several areas where they think improvements could be made. The most frequent response was that people are included in an electronic mailing list of all registered weekly planning applications.

3.38 The other comments that the Council received about how they could keep people better informed about planning applications included:


- There should be the opportunity for early involvement in the process i.e. encourage resident involvement at the pre-application stage of a significant application.
- The Council should widen the area of properties it informs when development is proposed.
- Definition of the term 'vicinity' in the wider rural area should be taken into account.
- Notification letters to properties in close proximity to a proposed development could be better designed/worded. Especially for those with mental/visual/literacy impairments.
- Site notices should be available in local shop/notice board
- Plans could be displayed in the area to which they refer e.g. local library, post office.
- Details of application and consultation responses should be published on the Council website and allow for tracking of progress.

Interest was also shown in the advertisement of applications in the local paper, on the Council's website. The Council is working towards improving access to online planning applications; plans will be made available in early 2006. The results show that the ways people prefer to be contacted by the Council or contact the Council are various. The methods chosen depend on the situation and who the recipients are.

3.39 **Question 11. How should developers involve the community when they propose to submit a major planning application to Herefordshire Council?**

People could choose as many types of consultation as they wished from the list provided. This produced a dataset of 1541 responses indicating that people ticked as many as 4-5 items (see graph over).

Q11. Preferred methods of Involvement with Developers proposing Planning Applications.



3.40 The most popular choice was, 'developers should distribute leaflets to properties in the area describing what it is proposed' (166 responses). The next most popular choice was 'submit a planning statement with the application to explain how impacts from development will be addressed' (163 responses). Holding a 'Planning for Real' exercise to identify local issues before submitting a planning application (155 responses) providing details about 'who to contact about the development proposed' scored equally the same. These were the top four choices. Holding a 'Planning for Real' exercise to identify local issues before submitting a planning application proved to be much more popular than holding this event after the application has been submitted (37 responses). All of the other activities proved to be relatively popular. However, a workshop exercise after an application has been submitted proved unpopular.

3.41 This information will help the Council to encourage applicants to get involved with the community in the ways that local people feel most comfortable with. However, the Council will not be able to insist on these measures being undertaken by developers.

3.42 **Question 12. Your Contact Details**

Almost everyone (99%) provided a postal contact address and (70%) provided an email address. We respect the confidentiality of information (confidentiality is not generally relevant to consultations on planning matters, the contents of all comments and contributions being in the public domain).

3.43 Appendix 6 shows where people who answered the survey live. It indicates that responses were well spread and received from most areas of the County (within the consultation period) demonstrating and data provided is representative of issues facing both urban and rural communities in Herefordshire.

CONCLUSION

3.44 In general this was a fact-finding exercise which will lead to the production of a pre-submission draft SCI. There were many useful comments made in this survey. The Council will take the time to consider what has been said and offer a more robust response through the draft SCI consultation process. The key issues emerging from this consultation were:

- Current involvement is generally of a reactive rather than a pro-active nature; people want to be involved at the concept stage.
- Going to the people rather than expecting them to come to us.
- Resource implications for the local community, e.g. Use local shops for displaying information.
- Widen the use of the Council website.
- The need for simple language that informs people of all the facts.
- Articles in newsletters of other organisations and linking in with Parish magazines may be a good way to get greater involvement
- The six-week consultation period prescribed by the regulations is not enough time for groups to get make a response.

3.45 It is considered that the pre-submission draft SCI has where possible and appropriate addressed issues raised through the consultation process and the Council is very grateful to all those who took part. As always the aspirations have also to be balanced against timescales which are set in statutory regulations and available resources. The Council takes into consideration that local groups do not meet often and the SCI has taken this into account by giving people advance warning of forthcoming documents (see section 10). The SCI has tried to take a balanced view taking on board where possible the requests that have been received particularly in offering a range of methods of consultation and participation.

4. PRE-SUBMISSION CONSULTATION ON THE DRAFT SCI – REGULATION 26

4.1 Introduction

Following on from the initial consultation, the next stage in the production of the SCI has been the preparation and consultation on the SCI Pre-submission draft which ran from 30th January to 17th March 2006. This is a report on the second stage of the consultation exercise.

4.2 Internal Officer Working Group

Before the SCI Draft went out for consultation, it was examined by the officer working group⁹. The findings from the initial questionnaire with ways to incorporate them into the SCI Draft were discussed. The working group made suggestions on how the SCI Draft and Questionnaire draft could be improved to enable the best response from people. The suggestions from the working group and the comments from the initial consultation led to the finalisation of the first SCI Draft and Questionnaire.

4.3 Consultation methods

During the consultation period a variety of consultation methods were used to encourage widespread feedback on this draft document. The aim of this consultation was to gather more information to improve the document and try and overcome concerns early in its preparation. The methods used are listed below.

4.4 Questionnaire

An SCI Draft, questionnaire, letter and pre-paid envelope (Pre-submission consultation pack) was circulated to approximately 1000 individuals and organisations listed in Appendix 2 and 3 of the SCI and was made available in planning offices, info points and libraries across the County.

4.5 Public notification

A public notice advertising the publication, and methods of obtaining a copy of the Pre-submission consultation pack was placed in the Hereford Times on Thursday February 2nd 2006 (see Appendix 7). A press release was submitted to the Hereford Times but was not printed. A radio interview was carried out on BBC Hereford and Worcester but was not broadcast.

4.6 Website

The website www.herefordshire.gov.uk also had a copy of the SCI and response forms available as well as Proposal matters¹⁰.

4.7 Workshop event

It was clear from the initial consultation that people's preferred method of engagement for consultation on planning documents should be events that the public can attend and engage with planners. The Council responded by

⁹ The working group was established back in summer 2005 and comprises officers from Forward Planning, Development Control, Policy & Community and Conservation, and utilises experience gained in consultation and community participation exercises.

¹⁰ The advertisement of the Development Plan Document in the newspaper and Council website with essential information such as the title of the document, the subject matter, the consultation period and the address for representations to be sent to. It must have also have a statement asking those who make representations to state a preference for notification of the submission of a document to the Secretary of State and of adoption of the document.

organising a workshop event and engaging in discussions with people. Approximately 80 representatives from a wide spectrum of organisations and bodies attended. In addition a comment corner was also provided at the event to provide opportunity for people to quietly provide comment if preferred.

4.8 **Other notification**

A news item about the SCI was sent to Herefordshire Association of Local Councils, which helped to distribute the message to Parish Councils and a presentation and discussion evening was arranged allowing Parish Councils to provide further information on the SCI. An article was placed in Herefordshire Matters¹¹ (see Appendix 8) and the Council's internal newsletter, Core News¹². The Pre-submission consultation pack were available if required, in various formats i.e. large print, Braille/text email or other languages on request, however no requests were made for any of these formats.

4.9 **Discussions with those representing groups of exclusion**

The SCI process has, probably, for the first time involved planning staff in discussions with groups representing hard to reach groups. This network is still in its early stages however the foundations have been laid from which to build on in the future. 5 of the questionnaire response forms were received from groups representing people which are classed as hard to reach.

4.10 **SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO PRE-SUBMISSION CONSULTATION**

4.11 **Introduction**

This section highlights the key responses to the Pre-submission consultation which were received through the following means,

- questionnaire/responses by letter and email.
- workshop event
- presentation to HALC
- discussions with those representing groups of exclusion

4.12 Each method will be addressed separately with a summary of respondent's comments.

4.13 **Questionnaire**

The purpose of the Questionnaire (see Appendix 9) was to enable a structured response to the SCI Draft. It has been helpful to gather views on emerging ideas for the draft SCI. As well as input from the Working Group, officers from Policy & Research assisted in the design and production of the final questionnaire.

4.14 There were approximately 1000 questionnaires sent out to the public. A response rate of approximately 12% (123 organisations and individuals) provided detailed information from which the SCI Draft was amended where necessary. There has been a lower response rate than the previous consultation which demonstrates that the SCI reflects the information which arose from the first consultation.

¹¹ Herefordshire Matters is a Council magazine distributed to all households in the County on a quarterly basis

¹² Core News is a Council publication distributed quarterly to all Council staff.

4.15 The majority of responses came from local groups and organisations which have provided some interesting suggestions for improvements to consultation. There was a good response rate from Parish Councils. The smallest group of respondents came from National organisations. The rest came from businesses as well as some useful comments from planning consultants.

4.16 This section of the report provides an overall summary of the responses made to each question on the questionnaire. Appendix 10 of the report provides an individual response to each comment received. Paragraphs quoted in the questions refer to the SCI Pre-submission Draft.

4.17 **Question 1. Chapter 7 (see paragraph 7.15) there is a list of community groups that are harder to include in consultation processes. Are there any others we have not considered?**

The majority of people did not make any further suggestions for groups to be included. Many of the suggestions were for amendments and updates on data already included. Some useful information was received, in relation to contacts for hard to reach groups, which has been included in the SCI.

4.18 **Question 2. From your experience are there any consultation methods that would be particularly suitable for engaging any of the groups at risk of exclusion?**

- Greater use of summary leaflets was suggested and it is now proposed to include an executive summary within the SCI.
- Some people suggested more resource intensive methods of consultation which could not be accommodated in the SCI eg visiting day centres/coffee mornings and personal interviews with the travelling community.
- Support was given for greater use of the local press and newsletters like Herefordshire Matters which are already referred to in the SCI
- Support was given for planning surgeries which have now been included within the SCI as a method of consultation
- Provision of planning information for Parish Councils to display on local information boards and at local facilities (shops etc) was identified and has been included as a method of consultation in the SCI.

4.19 **Question 3. In your view are the methods of consultation and participation described in Chapter 9 (see table below paragraph 9.9) the right ones for engaging the community in the preparation of planning policy documents?**

This question allowed for a simple yes or no answer and 90 people responded to this question.

- 73 people or 81% were satisfied with consultation methods in table 1, page 16.
- 17 people or 19% were not satisfied with the consultation methods. Question 4 elaborates more on the comments made by people.

4.20 **Question 4. If you answered ‘no’ to question 3, please state why and what additional methods you would add?**

This question had a wide variety of useful responses.

- There were references to improved electronic access for people, for example a web based portal for people to participate as and when they wish. There is an icon on the Council’s Home page “Have your say” which enables people to see all current Council consultations.
- There were references to using plain English with less use of acronyms. To overcome this issue there will be an extended glossary to explain the terminology better.
- Consultation was requested before any decisions were taken which is exactly what the SCI is striving to achieve and is laid out in Chapter 10.
- Consultation documents to be free of charge.

4.21 **Question 5/a. Chapter 10 provides information on the process for producing Development Plan Documents (DPDs) and Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs). Have we got this right and if not how can we improve it?**

- There were 93 respondents to this question with 78% of respondent’s stating that they were satisfied with information included in Chapter 10 of the SCI which lays out the consultation standards to be applied in preparing LDF documents.
- The remaining 22% (20 people) were not satisfied with the use of acronyms and terminology, and that the document should be more reader friendly. In response the SCI will be amended to extend the glossary and provide an executive summary.
- Comments were made on the importance of feedback, which again is a key part of the SCI.
- The extension of response periods was also sought however these are laid down in statutory regulations and cannot therefore be amended. The development plan process seeks in Chapter 10 of the SCI to provide prior notification of consultation periods to allow necessary arrangements to be put in place which will hopefully assist.
- The need to make the initial stage of consultation more active with maximum publicity and good feedback was usefully made.

4.22 **Question 6. In Chapter 11 the section on involvement of the community at the pre-application stage (see paragraph 11.8 to 11.11) discusses how developers or applicants might undertake community consultation. Do you think that this will be of benefit to the community?**

Response	Number of people	Percentage of people
Yes	74	81%

No	4	5%
Don't know	13	14%

4.23 **Question 7. If you have answered 'no' to question 6, could you please explain why you feel that such consultation would not be beneficial?**

- Respondents were concerned over what would happen if developers did not carry out the pre –application community consultation. In response the new system encourages this to be undertaken in order to give higher priority and greater influence to the public in decision-making.
- There was concern that the meeting may become 'out of hand'. It is hoped that this will not be the case and that public examination of projects at an early stage is likely to raise the possibility that the public can influence the outcome. Public meetings and focus groups should be facilitated by trained facilitators. Herefordshire Partnership is sponsoring training of facilitators for focus groups and public meetings. The use of smaller focus groups will make meetings easier to handle.
- The issue of whether the applicant would try to avoid the pre application consultation by trying to have the application registered as not significant was raised. It was agreed that this needs to be looked at further.
- Some responses were seeking all developers to be required to undertake pre application consultations. However the companion guide to PPS 12 was referred to during the drafting of the SCI which states that SCI's should encourage developers to undertake pre-application discussions and early community consultation although they cannot prescribe that this is done. It is considered that the SCI has gone as far as it can on this matter.

4.24 **Question 8. Chapter 11 describes the methods used to consult the community when a planning application is submitted to the Council (see paragraphs 11.12 to 11.25). These are the methods that the Council currently uses. Are there any other additional methods that you would like to suggest?**


- There was concern over neighbour notifications and it is acknowledged that it may not be obvious in rural areas however the problem is overcome through the site notice.
- Support was given for public notices and a request was made that more maps and pictures were used. However there is concern that the notices would become too crowded.
- There was a request that Parish and Town Councils be immediately informed of any amendments/alterations to planning applications. However this is at the officer's discretion. In many cases officers send out a whole new application if there are major changes.
- Follow up site notices with a decision notice on a different coloured piece of paper. This is to be considered further.
- Planning Officers to attend Market Town Forums is being sought.

4.25 **Question 9. The list of consultees in Appendix 2 identifies the organisations that we will consult on planning issues. We are continuing to develop our database of contacts. Are there any other organisations that should be included on our list of consultees?**

- This question provided an opportunity for people to update the Council on any organisations that would like to be consulted on a relevant planning matter. Useful suggestions were made which have been added to the list at Appendix 2. Some of these organisations may change with time. However, the list will be a useful reference point when embarking on the Local Development Framework¹³ process and in consulting the relevant bodies on planning applications. The LDF database will be updated when the Forward Planning section is notified of any changes to details.

4.26 **Question 10. How clear is this document in setting out how the Council intends to engage the community in the planning process?**


Figure 1.0



- 68% of respondents (63 people) were satisfied that the document was either fairly clear or very clear in its approach to the preparation of planning documents. Only 12% (11 people) said it was not clear. These were from the same members of the public, Parish Councils and local interest groups.

¹³ This will provide the framework for delivering the planning strategy and policies for Herefordshire Council

Figure 1.1



- This level of satisfaction set out for planning applications is similar to the level of satisfaction in the preparation of planning policy documents. 74% of respondents (68 people) were satisfied that the document was either fairly clear or very clear in its approach to dealing with communication on planning applications. The remaining 8% (7 people) said they were not clear and these who were from members of the public, Parish Councils and local interest groups.

Comments have been noted and the necessary changes made to improve the document.

4.27 Question 11 If you feel that the document is not clear, please could you indicate which points or sections are unclear and suggest how we could make improvements?

22 people responded to this question and the majority of suggestions for change referred to:

- cutting down on the use of acronyms,
- simplifying the document
- write in plain English
- keep as short as possible
- more diagrams and flow charts

The main response to these suggestions has resulted in the drafting of an executive summary and a glossary defining any unexplained terminology.

4.28 **Workshop event**

4.29 **Background and introduction**

4.30 This section reports upon the outcome of a Statement of Community Involvement Workshop that was held during the Regulation 26 consultation period.

The aims of the SCI workshop were to:

- Find out the consultation needs of stakeholders.
- Gather ideas for improved methods of consultation
- Find out how can information be made more accessible
- Start and develop a closer working relationship with stakeholders
- Gather information on how to engage successfully with hard to reach groups
- Identify parts of the current process that key stakeholders are satisfied /dissatisfied with and why.
- Raise awareness about the main changes to the planning system

4.31 **Methodology**

4.32 The workshop was held as part of the consultation process on the Pre-submission stage of the SCI. The workshop helped to provide another opportunity for evidence gathering on how the SCI Draft represents stakeholder views. This event was held at Bishops Frome Village Hall on the 23rd February 2006 and everyone on the LDF database was invited to the event.

4.33 The workshop was attended by 83 individuals representing 56 organisations, the majority of which were local organisations, see Appendix 11 for a list of attendees. The workshop programme comprised of a presentation on the SCI followed by group discussions and concluded with a more general presentation on the new planning system.

4.34 The group discussions allowed a more detailed look at SCI issues and centred around the following areas:

- What have been your experiences with planning consultations?
- How can we improve our consultation methods in respect of development plan making and on planning applications?
- How can we involve hard to reach groups in the new planning system?
- What would encourage you to become involved in planning issues in the future?
- Some responses we received through the initial questionnaire relate to issues outside the control of the planning system. What could be done to explain the process to people so that responses are appropriate to planning?
- What are your views on pre application discussions/ consultations

- How can we improve the accessibility of planning information? Are there any other approaches to providing information which have not been identified in the SCI?
- Can you suggest any newsletters that the Council can submit information to?
- Is the information easy to understand?
- Some comments received through the initial questionnaire related to the consultation opportunities being tightly constrained by timescales set out in statutory regulation, How can planning services address this issue whilst keeping within Government Regulations?
- Is there any other area not covered in today's workshop that you would like to raise?

4.35 The information below provides a summary of the issues raised at the workshop event.

General communication concerns

- Feeling that the views expressed will not be acted upon or that the Council does not appear to listen to what people say.
- The planning system has an air of secrecy about it and it is difficult to know how to communicate effectively
- Need clear references on access to information

Development Plans

- The time constraint for responding to consultations is insufficient. It is difficult to respond in the timescales particularly if documents are complex
- Use surgeries to publicise planning documents

Planning applications

- The current practice of neighbour notification by letter is insufficient
- Insert maps and pictures into site notices
- Inconsistent advice on pre application consultation
- Not enough time for Parish Councils to consult residents
- Danger of intimidation if personal names are revealed on some application objections
- Parish Councils to receive applications on CD or in an electronic format

Responses concerning methods of consultation

- The document could be reduced in size by being less wordy and full of jargon. It needs a summary in plain english.
- The Website is an unreliable means of consultation
- The website information could be improved with more information
- Additional consultation making use of local shops and notice boards etc.
- Parish Councils should be provided with training and support to deal with the nature of some planning issues. This would enable them to contribute more effectively.
- Request individual feedback on detailed comments

Hard to reach groups

- The hard to reach list includes a wide range of people with differing needs when it comes to consultation. How is the Council going to approach such groups.
- More effort is required on engagement with young people. The SCI would not be read by most young people.

The table at Appendix 12 identifies these issues which were raised along with an officer response and proposed recommendations on how the SCI is to be amended as a result of the workshop event.

4.36 Presentation to Herefordshire Association of Local Councils

4.37 This section summarises the feedback of an evening presentation given to Herefordshire Association of Local Councils (HALC) members on Tuesday 7th March 2006. The event was held at Brockington council offices and all Parish Councillors were invited with 20 attending. This event drew out some different suggestions not already mentioned but were quite particular to rural parishes.

4.38 During the evening there was a presentation on the SCI followed by a question and answer session. The following is a summary of the main points raised at the event.

4.39 Responses to these comments made by HALC members are provided at Appendix 13 of this report along with proposed recommendations on how the SCI is to be amended.

In summary these related to:

- Indication that there is a lack of feedback especially when the decision taken are contrary to the views of the Parish Council.
- The consultation process involved with some of the amendments made in the UDP was highlighted as being deficient by one Parish Council and was felt that the changes 'just appeared'
- The involvement of the Parish Council in Section 106 agreements was discussed and the question was raised as to whether a Parish Council could negotiate with developers
- Concern raised over the pre application discussions and how that relates to Codes of Conduct
- The timetabling of the consultation processes and how that fits in with Parish Councils,
- It is considered that there is or could be consultation fatigue with so much correspondence/ consultation documents to consider
- The feeling of there being a Hereford City bias

- Significant applications, concern about this definition; 6 houses in a village would have a more significant impact than a similar scheme in a market town or Hereford
- The use of the e-planning schemes, email etc in consultation and supplying information is an opportunity to address some of these issues, e.g. planning application lists and decision notices as lists could be emailed out and then displayed on parish notice boards or put in parish magazines or newsletters

4.40 Conclusion

4.41 It is considered that the Regulation 26 consultations were comprehensive and robust resulting in wide ranging feedback which has led to amendments being made to the SCI. A variety of consultation methods have been applied providing ample opportunity for involvement. The information and networks being established in respect of the harder to reach groups is encouraging and more efforts are needed to build on these foundations. All responses have been given full consideration and as stated are available at appendices of this report.

SCI SUBMISSION DRAFT CONSULTATION – REGULATION 31

5.1 Introduction

All the responses made to the SCI during the SCI Pre-submission consultation, were considered and where appropriate have resulted in the SCI being amended. The next stage in the production of the SCI has been the preparation and consultation on the SCI Submission draft which ran from 12th June to 24th July 2006. This section of the report provides a summary on the third stage of the consultation exercise.

5.2 Internal officer working group

Before the SCI Submission Draft went out for consultation, it was examined by the officer working group. The comments and responses to the Pre-Submission consultation were drafted¹ and members of the working group made suggestions on ways to respond to the comments made as well as providing reasons for the responses. The suggestions from the working group and the comments from the Pre-Submission consultation led to the finalisation of the SCI Submission Draft which was approved by the Council and then was submitted to the Secretary of State.

5.3 Consultation methods

The following consultation methods were carried out during this consultation round:

5.4 Submission consultation pack

The SCI Submission Draft, Consultation Report, the DPD matters, Representation Form, letter and pre-paid envelope (Submission consultation pack) were circulated to approximately 1000 individuals and organisations listed in Appendix 2 and 3 of the SCI and in addition was made available in planning offices, Info points and libraries across the County. The purpose of the Representation Form (Appendix 14) was to enable a structured response to the SCI Submission Draft. Guidance notes were provided to assist with completing the form.

5.5 Public notification

A public notice advertising the publication, and methods of obtaining a copy of the Submission consultation pack was placed in the Hereford Times on Thursday June 8th 2006 (Appendix 15). A press release was submitted to the Hereford Times but was not printed.

5.6 Website

The website www.herefordshire.gov.uk/ldf also had a copy of the SCI and response forms available as well as Proposal matters and the consultation report.

5.7 Other notification

An article was placed in Herefordshire Matters² (Appendix 16). The Submission consultation packs were available if required, in various formats i.e. large print, Braille/text email or other languages on request, however no requests were made for any of these formats.

¹ See Statement of Community Involvement, Consultation Report, June 2006, Table 10 'Table of responses'

² Herefordshire Matters is a Council magazine distributed to all households in the County on a quarterly basis

5.8 **SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO SUBMISSION CONSULTATION**

5.9 **Introduction**

This section provides a summary of the representations received through the submission consultation.

5.10 **Responses**

5.11 This round of consultation gave people the opportunity to either object or support the SCI document.

5.12 There were approximately 1000 Response Forms sent out to the public with a response rate of approximately 4% (42 organisations and individuals). This represented a lower response rate than the previous Pre-Submission consultation, which demonstrates that the SCI has been amended to meet concerns expressed through the previous consultations.

5.13 The responses came from an equal mix of local and national groups and organisations. There were 11 objectors resulting in 31 individual objections to various parts of the SCI and 32 supports with one organisation not commenting. For the purpose of this report the comments supporting and objecting the document will be dealt with separately.

5.14 One objector wishes to appear and present their case at a public examination. Five objectors wish to have their objection considered as a written representation; five objectors have not indicated a preference.

5.15 Representations received are available at the same locations as the SCI was available for inspection. In addition Representations received have been published on our website³.

5.16 **Support**

There was a mixture of organisations supporting the document including parish councils, and a variety of local, regional, national organisations and companies. Those supporting the SCI were generally satisfied but also made some additional comments mainly with regard to amendments to appendix 2/3

5.17 **Objection**

Again there was mixture of organisations objecting to parts of the document. The majority of objections came from planning consultants and developers. The rest of the objections came from an individual mix of local, regional and national organisations and businesses.

5.18 **Submission database of representations**

Summaries of all the representations received are provided at (Appendix 17). A response has been made to each of the objections raised offering where necessary, possible changes to the final SCI that would improve the document.

³ www.herefordshire.gov.uk/ldf

5.19 **Conclusions**

The consultation at the submission stage was about gaining support or otherwise to a document that had been submitted to the Secretary of State for consideration. All representations received at the Submission stage were submitted to the Inspector and were dealt with by written representations as there was no requirement for a public examination.

6 INSPECTOR'S REPORT

6.1 The Inspector has now given consideration to the representations and the Council is now in receipt of the Inspector's report which is binding on the authority. All representations received have been examined through the nine Tests of Soundness⁴. The Inspector found that subject to the 12 recommendations set out in his report, the Herefordshire SCI is sound.

6.2 In line with Regulation 35 the Council have published the Inspectors Report. The report is available at all Info Points and Libraries throughout the County, on the Council's website and a letter has been sent to all those who made a representation at the submission stage notifying them of the publication of the Inspectors report. In line with Regulation 36 the Council in March 2007 has formally adopted the SCI with the revisions identified in the Inspectors report and has issued an adoption statement. All those on the SCI database have been informed of this.

7 CONCLUSION

This consultation statement has provided a detailed summary of the varied consultation processes that have been applied during preparation of the SCI. This document demonstrates that the statutory regulations have been fully met and ensured production of a document which sets out the Council's policy on how it will consult on planning matters.

The council is very grateful to all those who took part in the process and helped inform preparation of the SCI. Your involvement in future planning documents would be very welcome.

⁴ The nine tests of soundness can be found at paragraph 4.24 of PPS 12



Statement of Community Involvement on Planning in Herefordshire - Questionnaire

October/November 2005

PREVIOUS EXPERIENCES OF COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT EXERCISES

Q1 Has Herefordshire Council involved you in planning matters in the past?

Yes No.....

If you answered 'Yes' please state briefly what you have been involved in

Q2 Based on your past experiences, how would you describe Herefordshire Council's general approach to community involvement on planning matters? Was it:

Easy to get involved No opinion
 Difficult to get involved

Q3 Is there anything the Council could improve on to make it easier to get involved and let people have their say on planning matters?

Yes No

*If yes, please state***

PREPARATION OF NEW PLANNING DOCUMENTS

KEEPING YOU INFORMED AND UP TO DATE

Q4 How would you prefer to be kept informed about progress made in the preparation of the future planning documents that will replace the existing Unitary Development Plan? You can tick more than one box

Letter <input type="checkbox"/>	Press releases <input type="checkbox"/>
Email <input type="checkbox"/>	Adverts/radio <input type="checkbox"/>
Newsletter/Leaflet <input type="checkbox"/>	Herefordshire Matters <input type="checkbox"/>
Website/LDF web page <input type="checkbox"/>	Other – please state <input type="checkbox"/>

Q4a Do you have access to the Internet at home/work?

Yes No

Q4b If you answered 'yes', Please tick this box if you would like to be informed

via email.

INVOLVING YOU IN THE PRODUCTION OF PLANNING DOCUMENTS

Q5 When public participation and consultation is taking place during the preparation of planning documents which of the following would be of most use to you or your group? Please rank in order of preference, where 1 is most preferred.

Public Exhibitions/Roadshow	<input type="checkbox"/>	Focus Groups	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public Meetings/Presentations	<input type="checkbox"/>	Workshops	<input type="checkbox"/>
One to one meetings/briefings	<input type="checkbox"/>	Existing Panels/Forums	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Other, please state	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q6 What topics are of most interest to you or your group? (This question will help the Council to direct its efforts and prioritise the production of documents)
Please tick all that apply

Affordable and key worker housing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Landscape and trees	<input type="checkbox"/>
Community facilities (including schools and libraries)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Transport (including buses, cycling and pedestrian issues)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Design of new development	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nature conservation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Employment	<input type="checkbox"/>	Recreation sport and tourism (including indoor leisure activities and rights of way)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Flooding	<input type="checkbox"/>	Minerals and waste	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historic environment (including conservation areas, listed buildings and archaeology)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Shopping and town centres (including bars, places to eat, services such as banks, solicitors and estate agents)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Housing in general	<input type="checkbox"/>	Telecommunications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Identifying sites for development	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (Please tick and specify below)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Other, please state</i>			

CONSULTING YOU

Q7 When documents are prepared for consultation in what format would you prefer to receive them?

Hard copy of consultation document	<input type="checkbox"/>
Electronic copy via e-mail	<input type="checkbox"/>
Electronic copy to view and download from the website	<input type="checkbox"/>
CD Rom	<input type="checkbox"/>

HELPING YOU RESPOND

Q8 Having been consulted, which of the following is your preferred means of responding?

Completion of a standard response form	<input type="checkbox"/>	Letter	<input type="checkbox"/>
Completion of an 'online' electronic response form	<input type="checkbox"/>	E-mail	<input type="checkbox"/>
A member of staff completes the form on behalf of an individual (<i>this applies only to those who are unable to use the above methods</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Q9 The Council currently undertakes the following activities to inform the community about planning applications that have been made to the Council.

1. Encourages pre-application discussions.
2. Holds surgeries at certain Info Shops.
3. Consults households in the vicinity of a planning application.
4. Erects a site notice for certain applications.
5. Publishes advertisements in local newspapers.
6. Consults Parish/Town Councils with application plans.
7. Notifies Ward Councillor.
8. Displays application details and plans at Council Offices and Info Shops.
9. Publishes a weekly list of planning applications.
10. Notifies Town/Parish Councils of any significant application amendments.
11. Sends copies of planning applications to the Info Shops.
12. Allows public speaking at planning Committee.
13. Publishes a monthly bulletin of planning decisions.

Are these measures sufficient to keep you informed?

Yes

No

Q10 If you answered 'no' to question 9, what else should the Council consider doing to keep you better informed?**

Q11 How should developers involve the community when they propose to submit a major planning application to Herefordshire Council?

Please tick all that apply	
Distribute leaflet to properties in the area describing what is proposed	
Drop in exhibition in the area involved	
Hold a workshop or 'Planning for Real' exercise to identify local issues before submitting the application	
Hold a workshop or 'Planning for Real' exercise to identify local issues after the application has been submitted	
Prepare a development brief/masterplan before submitting an application	
Submit a planning statement with the application to explain how impacts from development will be addressed	
Advertise in the local paper	
Submit articles to the local paper about the development proposed	
Provide details about who to contact about the development	
Make a presentation to local Town or Parish Council	
Newsletter to properties in the area setting out changes proposed	
Public Meeting	

Do nothing

Any Other Comments?

Please use the space below to make any other comments** you feel appropriate to help us develop our approach to informing, involving and consulting the community and stakeholders during the preparation of the LDF

--

Q12 Your Contact Details*

Name:	
Organisation:	
Address:	
E-mail:	

Tel:

*In accordance with the data protection Act 1998, your details will be retained on the Councils' Local Development Framework (LDF) database and will be used solely for the purpose of preparing the LDF. They will not be passed on to any third party.

**Please continue on additional sheets if necessary

Thank you for taking the time to fill in this form. Please return the questionnaire in the pre-paid envelope provided by Wednesday 30th November 2005, or drop it in at any of the Info Shops or Libraries.

So have your say....

The **SCI questionnaire** provides an opportunity for you to have your say on how you want to be involved in the County's planning functions. The following issues are addressed in the questionnaire.

1. What is your view on past consultations?
2. How should the community be involved in future planning matters?
3. What types of planning matters should you be involved in?
4. Who should be involved?

17 October 2005 to 30th November 2005

Or by **contacting the Forward Planning Team**

Email: ldf@herefordshire.gov.uk

Tel: 01432 260142

Fax: 01432 260289

Post: Forward Planning, PO Box 144,
Town Hall, Hereford, HR1 2PJ

How will this affect the Herefordshire Unitary Development Plan (UDP)?

The UDP sets out the current strategy of the Council and the policies used to assess planning applications. The UDP has recently been through a public inquiry and the Inspector's Report is anticipated early 2006. The Council will consider the Inspector's recommendations for change and the Council will publish the changes in the form of Proposed Modifications late 2006. The UDP will be operative as part of the Local Development Framework for a 3 year period from the date of adoption.

You can view the UDP at the Town Hall in Hereford, Information Points and local libraries around the County. It can also be downloaded from the Herefordshire Council website on: www.herefordshire.gov.uk > planning > Forward Planning > UDP.

Additional Information

The Council's website will include more detailed information and regular updates on the progress of the Local Development Framework.

The *Office of the Deputy Prime Minister* (ODPM) is the central legislative body for planning and more information can be found on this website; www.odpm.gov.uk



Environment
Directorate
Planning

Statement of Community Involvement for Planning in Herefordshire

Information Leaflet

Stage: Initial Consultation by Questionnaire, October 2005



Appendix 2
Copy of the leaflet

How do you want to be involved?

- In helping us draw up Herefordshire's new planning documents, the Local Development Framework (LDF)
- In consultation on planning applications

Background to the Statement of Community Involvement

Changes to the planning system

The Government has made major changes to the planning system under the new **Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004**. One of the main principles of the Act is to involve communities in the planning system, so that they're better able to influence the direction of future development in their area.

The **Local Development Framework (LDF)** will be the new set of planning documents to incorporate this change and deliver a high quality of development in the County. The document that will address **your involvement** in the new planning process will be the **Statement of Community Involvement (SCI)**.

What is the SCI?

The SCI is a key document that will set out how the Council intends to achieve community involvement and consultation in preparing all new planning documents and in assessing planning applications.

What will the SCI contain?

The SCI will address:

- Principles for community involvement;
- Our understanding of the local circumstances in Herefordshire;
- The standards for community involvement that the Council intends to adopt in preparation of planning documents;
- The standards to be adopted when dealing with planning applications; and
- Monitoring and reviewing ways to continue improving our standards for community involvement.

What is the purpose of the SCI?

- Identify who will be consulted in the production of the LDF;
- Set out appropriate methods for community involvement in plan making;
- Encourage early involvement in decision making;
- Tackle the conflicting needs within the community;
- Outlining the standards for involving people in planning matters; and
- Once adopted, the Council must comply with the standards agreed in the SCI.

Timetable for production

Under this timetable the following milestones for producing the Statement of Community Involvement are as follows:

Current Stage

- **Initial Consultation with Questionnaire** asking for people's preferences on becoming involved in the SCI, due in by **30th November 2005**



- **Consultation on Pre-Submission Draft SCI** (6 weeks), mid February 2006 — end of March 2006



- Consideration and summary of responses to the Pre-Submission draft made available on the website, April/May 2006



- **Consultation on Submission Draft SCI** (6 weeks) and submission to the Secretary of State, June — July 2006



- Independent **Examination by Planning Inspector** * - Jan 2007



- **Adoption** - May 2007

*This may not be required, in which case Adoption would be late 2006

Appendix 3 Public Notice Articles

Reg 25

+

THE HEREFORD TIMES, THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2005 31

Planning for a better service

PLANNING officers at Herefordshire Council are asking for the views of local people to help them improve the quality of service they provide.

Whether it's drawing up a new land use plan, dealing with a planning application for a major superstore, making sure a new housing estate has a play area or simply approving a conservatory, the council's planners understand they have an influence on all our lives.

To enable the service to improve, the council is seeking the views of people who have come into contact with the planning team previously.

"We want to know if the service you received was good, bad, or indifferent," said Councillor Phil Edwards, Cabinet Member (Environment).

"We want to know what worked well and what didn't. We also want to know how

people would like to be involved in future planning decisions.

"We can only make improvements in the way planning services consult if you help us - we need your input."

The questionnaire and information leaflet can be found at all libraries, info shops, on the council's website at www.herefordshire.gov.uk/ldf or by calling 01432 260142.

The consultation period runs until November 30.

The information gathered as a result of the questionnaire will be fed into a document called a statement of community involvement.

This document sets out how the council's planning services will carry out future consultation and how the views of local people will form a key role in guiding future development in the county.



HEREFORDSHIRE
COUNCIL

Town and Country Planning Regulations 2004

Statement of Community Involvement for
Planning in Herefordshire
Initial Consultation by Questionnaire

Public consultation Exercise-
17 October to 30 November 2005

Notice is hereby given that a 6-week public consultation exercise will be taking place from the 17 October 2005 to 30 November 2005 to gain local people's views on the way in which the community is consulted on planning issues. The questionnaire provides an opportunity for people to have their say on how they would like to be involved in the County's planning functions. The information gathered as a result of the questionnaire will be fed into a document called the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI). The SCI will set out how the Council intends to achieve community involvement and consultation in preparing all new planning documents and in assessing planning applications.

The questionnaire and accompanying leaflet can be downloaded from the Council's website at www.herefordshire.gov.uk/ldf. All Information Points, local libraries around the County, Blueschool House and the Town Hall, Hereford also have copies available. The questionnaire, leaflet and prepaid envelope can also be obtained on request.

Dr D Nicholson
Forward Planning Manager
3rd November 2005

Forward Planning, Town Hall, Hereford, HR1 2PJ
Tel: 01432 260142
Fax: 01432 260289
Email: ldf@herefordshire.gov.uk

Articles placed in Hereford Times, Thursday, November 3 2005

Planners need your views!

Planning Officers at Herefordshire Council are asking for the views of local people to help them improve the way in which the community is consulted on planning issues.

Whether it's dealing with a planning application for a superstore, making sure a new housing estate has a play area or simply approving a conservatory, the Council's planners influence all our lives.

That's why it's crucial that planners are able to access and listen to the views of local people on how this important service should be developed.

To enable the Planning Service to improve, the Council is seeking the views of people who have come into contact with the planning team previously.

"We want to know how you want to be consulted on planning issues which affect your area," said Cllr Phil Edwards, Cabinet Member (Environment).

"We want to know what ways of consulting you worked well and what didn't.

"We also want to know how people would like to be involved in future planning decisions.

"We can only make improvements in the way Planning Services consults if you help us - we need your input," added Cllr Edwards.

The questionnaire and information leaflet can be found at all libraries, Info shops, and the Council's website, www.herefordshire.gov.uk/ldf.

Alternatively telephone (01432) 260142. The consultation period runs until November 30.

The information gathered as a result of the questionnaire will be fed into a document called a Statement of Community Involvement.

Article in Herefordshire Matters, November 2005

**Appendix 4
Results of questionnaire in percentages**



**Statement of Community
Involvement on Planning in
Herefordshire - Questionnaire
October/November 2005**

PREVIOUS EXPERIENCES OF COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT EXERCISES

Q1 Has Herefordshire Council involved you in planning matters in the past?

Yes No.....

If you answered 'Yes' please state briefly what you have been involved in

Q2 Based on your past experiences, how would you describe Herefordshire Council's general approach to community involvement on planning matters? Was it:

Easy to get involved	<input type="text" value="37%"/>	No opinion	<input type="text" value="38%"/>
Difficult to get involved	<input type="text" value="25%"/>		

Q3 Is there anything the Council could improve on to make it easier to get involved and let people have their say on planning matters?

Yes No

*If yes, please state***

Longer consultation time (1%)

PREPARATION OF NEW PLANNING DOCUMENTS

KEEPING YOU INFORMED AND UP TO DATE

Q4 How would you prefer to be kept informed about progress made in the preparation of the future planning documents that will replace the existing Unitary Development Plan? You can tick more than one box

Letter	<input type="text" value="31%"/>	Press releases	<input type="text" value="9%"/>
Email	<input type="text" value="24%"/>	Adverts/radio	<input type="text" value="4%"/>
Newsletter/Leaflet	<input type="text" value="16%"/>	Herefordshire Matters	<input type="text" value="7%"/>
Website/LDF web page	<input type="text" value="8%"/>	Other – please state	<input type="text" value="0%"/>
		Example of bad form – where is the space to "state"	<input type="text" value="33%"/>

Q4a Do you have access to the Internet at home/work?

Yes No

Q4b If you answered 'yes', Please tick this box if you would like to be informed via email.

INVOLVING YOU IN THE PRODUCTION OF PLANNING DOCUMENTS

Q5 When public participation and consultation is taking place during the preparation of planning documents which of the following would be of most use to you or your group? Please rank in order of preference, where 1 is most preferred.

Public Exhibitions/Roadshow	5%	Focus Groups	4%
Public Meetings/Presentations	8%	Workshops	4%
One to one meetings/briefings	8%	Existing Panels/Forums	4%
		Other, please state e-mail	1%
			9%

Q6 What topics are of most interest to you or your group? (This question will help the Council to direct its efforts and prioritise the production of documents)

Please tick all that apply

Affordable and key worker housing	6%	Landscape and trees	7%
Community facilities (including schools and libraries)	7%	Transport (including buses, cycling and pedestrian issues)	9%
Design of new development	8%	Nature conservation	7%
Employment	6%	Recreation sport and tourism (including indoor leisure activities and rights of way)	7%
Flooding	5%	Minerals and waste	5%
Historic environment (including conservation areas, listed buildings and archaeology)	7%	Shopping and town centres (including bars, places to eat, services such as banks, solicitors and estate agents)	5%
Housing in general	8%	Telecommunications	3%
Identifying sites for development	9%	Other (Please tick and specify below)	3%

Other, please state

Reciprocity, holistic extended family liaison (2%)

CONSULTING YOU

Q7 When documents are prepared for consultation in what format would you prefer to receive them?

Hard copy of consultation document	55%
Electronic copy via e-mail	26%
Electronic copy to view and download from the website	14%
CD Rom	5%

HELPING YOU RESPOND

Q8 Having been consulted, which of the following is your preferred means of responding?

Completion of a standard response form	32%	Letter	30%
Completion of an 'online' electronic response form	18%	E-mail	19%
A member of staff completes the form on behalf of an individual (<i>this applies only to those who are unable to use the above methods</i>)	1%		

PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Q9 The Council currently undertakes the following activities to inform the community about planning applications that have been made to the Council.

1. Encourages pre-application discussions.
2. Holds surgeries at certain Info Shops.
3. Consults households in the vicinity of a planning application.
4. Erects a site notice for certain applications.
5. Publishes advertisements in local newspapers.
6. Consults Parish/Town Councils with application plans.
7. Notifies Ward Councillor.
8. Displays application details and plans at Council Offices and Info Shops.
9. Publishes a weekly list of planning applications.
10. Notifies Town/Parish Councils of any significant application amendments.
11. Sends copies of planning applications to the Info Shops.
12. Allows public speaking at planning Committee.
13. Publishes a monthly bulletin of planning decisions.

Are these measures sufficient to keep you informed?

Yes 67%

No 33%

Q10 If you answered 'no' to question 9, what else should the Council consider doing to keep you better informed?**

What about applications submitted and granted on Delegated Powers (1%)

Q11 How should developers involve the community when they propose to submit a major planning application to Herefordshire Council?

Please tick all that apply

Distribute leaflet to properties in the area describing what is proposed	11%
Drop in exhibition in the area involved	8%
Hold a workshop or 'Planning for Real' exercise to identify local issues before submitting the application	10%
Hold a workshop or 'Planning for Real' exercise to identify local issues after the application has been submitted	2%
Prepare a development brief/masterplan before submitting an application	8%
Submit a planning statement with the application to explain how impacts from development will be addressed	11%
Advertise in the local paper	8%
Submit articles to the local paper about the development proposed	8%
Provide details about who to contact about the development	10%
Make a presentation to local Town or Parish Council	9%
Newsletter to properties in the area setting out changes proposed	7%
Public Meeting	8%
Do nothing	0%

Any Other Comments?

Please use the space below to make any other comments** you feel appropriate to help us develop our approach to informing, involving and consulting the community and stakeholders during the preparation of the LDF.

--

Q12 Your Contact Details*

Name:	
Organisation:	
Address:	
E-mail:	
Tel:	

*In accordance with the data protection Act 1998, your details will be retained on the Councils' Local Development Framework (LDF) database and will be used solely for the purpose of preparing the LDF. They will not be passed on to any third party.

**Please continue on additional sheets if necessary

Thank you for taking the time to fill in this form. Please return the questionnaire in the pre-paid envelope provided by Wednesday 30th November 2005, or drop it in at any of the Info Shops or Libraries.



Appendix 5
Results of questionnaire by frequencies

**Statement of Community
Involvement on Planning in
Herefordshire - Questionnaire**
October/November 2005

PREVIOUS EXPERIENCES OF COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT EXERCISES

Q1 Has Herefordshire Council involved you in planning matters in the past?

Yes No.....

If you answered 'Yes' please state briefly what you have been involved in

Q2 Based on your past experiences, how would you describe Herefordshire Council's general approach to community involvement on planning matters? Was it:

Easy to get involved	<input type="text" value="88"/>	No opinion	<input type="text" value="91"/>
Difficult to get involved	<input type="text" value="59"/>		

Q3 Is there anything the Council could improve on to make it easier to get involved and let people have their say on planning matters?

Yes No

*If yes, please state***

PREPARATION OF NEW PLANNING DOCUMENTS

KEEPING YOU INFORMED AND UP TO DATE

Q4 How would you prefer to be kept informed about progress made in the preparation of the future planning documents that will replace the existing Unitary Development Plan? You can tick more than one box

Letter	<input type="text" value="175"/>	Press releases	<input type="text" value="51"/>
Email	<input type="text" value="137"/>	Adverts/radio	<input type="text" value="23"/>
Newsletter/Leaflet	<input type="text" value="91"/>	Herefordshire Matters	<input type="text" value="42"/>
Website/LDF web page	<input type="text" value="46"/>	Other – please state	<input type="text" value="2"/>
		Example of bad form – where is the space to “state”	<input type="text" value="1"/>

Q4a Do you have access to the Internet at home/work?

Yes No

Q4b If you answered 'yes', Please tick this box if you would like to be informed

INVOLVING YOU IN THE PRODUCTION OF PLANNING DOCUMENTS

Q5 When public participation and consultation is taking place during the preparation of planning documents which of the following would be of most use to you or your group? Please rank in order of preference, where 1 is most preferred.

Public Exhibitions/Roadshow	42	Focus Groups	30
Public Meetings/Presentations	62	Workshops	31
One to one meetings/briefings	67	Existing Panels/Forums	32
		Other, please state	11
		e-mail	1

Q6 What topics are of most interest to you or your group? (This question will help the Council to direct its efforts and prioritise the production of documents)

Please tick all that apply

Affordable and key worker housing	107	Landscape and trees	115
Community facilities (including schools and libraries)	110	Transport (including buses, cycling and pedestrian issues)	146
Design of new development	138	Nature conservation	111
Employment	93	Recreation sport and tourism (including indoor leisure activities and rights of way)	110
Flooding	86	Minerals and waste	79
Historic environment (including conservation areas, listed buildings and archaeology)	114	Shopping and town centres (including bars, places to eat, services such as banks, solicitors and estate agents)	77
Housing in general	130	Telecommunications	46
Identifying sites for development	151	Other (Please tick and specify below)	45

Other, please state

Reciprocity, holistic extended family liaison (1)

CONSULTING YOU

Q7 When documents are prepared for consultation in what format would you prefer to receive them?

Hard copy of consultation document	182
Electronic copy via e-mail	85
Electronic copy to view and download from the website	47

HELPING YOU RESPOND

Q8 Having been consulted, which of the following is your preferred means of responding?

Completion of a standard response form	113	Letter	103
Completion of an 'online' electronic response form	64	E-mail	66
A member of staff completes the form on behalf of an individual (<i>this applies only to those who are unable to use the above methods</i>)	2		

PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Q9 The Council currently undertakes the following activities to inform the community about planning applications that have been made to the Council.

- | |
|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Encourages pre-application discussions. 2. Holds surgeries at certain Info Shops. 3. Consults households in the vicinity of a planning application. 4. Erects a site notice for certain applications. 5. Publishes advertisements in local newspapers. 6. Consults Parish/Town Councils with application plans. 7. Notifies Ward Councillor. 8. Displays application details and plans at Council Offices and Info Shops. 9. Publishes a weekly list of planning applications. 10. Notifies Town/Parish Councils of any significant application amendments. 11. Sends copies of planning applications to the Info Shops. 12. Allows public speaking at planning Committee. 13. Publishes a monthly bulletin of planning decisions. |
|---|

Are these measures sufficient to keep you informed?

Yes

No

Q10 If you answered 'no' to question 9, what else should the Council consider doing to keep you better informed?**

<p>What about applications submitted and granted on Delegated Powers (1)</p>
--

Q11 How should developers involve the community when they propose to submit a major planning application to Herefordshire Council?

Please tick all that apply

Distribute leaflet to properties in the area describing what is proposed	166
Drop in exhibition in the area involved	127
Hold a workshop or 'Planning for Real' exercise to identify local issues before submitting the application	155
Hold a workshop or 'Planning for Real' exercise to identify local issues after the application has been submitted	37
Prepare a development brief/masterplan before submitting an application	128
Submit a planning statement with the application to explain how impacts from development will be addressed	163
Advertise in the local paper	123
Submit articles to the local paper about the development proposed	119
Provide details about who to contact about the development	155
Make a presentation to local Town or Parish Council	143
Newsletter to properties in the area setting out changes proposed	106
Public Meeting	118
Do nothing	1

Any Other Comments?

Please use the space below to make any other comments** you feel appropriate to help us develop our approach to informing, involving and consulting the community and stakeholders during the preparation of the LDF.

--

Q12 Your Contact Details*


Name:	
Organisation:	
Address:	
E-mail:	
Tel:	

*In accordance with the data protection Act 1998, your details will be retained on the Councils' Local Development Framework (LDF) database and will be used solely for the purpose of preparing the LDF. They will not be passed on to any third party.

**Please continue on additional sheets if necessary

Thank you for taking the time to fill in this form. Please return the questionnaire in the pre-paid envelope provided by Wednesday 30th November 2005, or drop it in at any of the Info Shops or Libraries.

Appendix 6 Map of geographical responses



Appendix 7 Public Notice – proposal matters

**PLANNING AND COMPULSORY PURCHASE ACT 2004
THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (LOCAL DEVELOPMENT)(ENGLAND) REGULATIONS 2004
NOTICE OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
STATEMENT OF COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT, PRE-SUBMISSION DRAFT**

Proposed Subject Matter: Herefordshire Council has prepared a Draft Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) as part of the Local Development Framework (LDF) for the County. The SCI sets out how the community will be engaged in the preparation of planning documents that make up the framework and in the planning application process. When the Statement of Community Involvement is adopted it will form a Local Development Document within the LDF.

Availability: Copies of the draft Statement of Community Involvement have been published under Regulation 26 of the above regulations and along with a questionnaire response form are available at the locations listed below.

PLANNING OFFICES HEREFORD		
Planning Services	Blueschool House, Blueschool St.	Monday to Thurs - 8.45am - 4.45pm. Fri - 8.45am - 4.30pm.
Forward Planning	Town Hall, St Owen Street	Monday to Thurs - 9.00am - 5.00pm. Fri - 9.00am - 4.30pm.
LIBRARIES		
Belmont	Belmont Community Centre, Eastholme Avenue	Tues & Thurs - 10.00am - 1.00pm, 2.00pm - 5.00pm. Fri - 2.00pm - 4.30pm, 5.00pm - 7.00pm. Sat - 10.00am - 1.00pm
Bromyard	The Bromyard Centre, Cruxwell Street	Mon to Fri - 8.15am - 9.30pm. Sat & Sun - 9.00am - 6.00pm
Colwall	Humphry Walwyn Library	Mon - 5.00pm - 7.30pm. Tues & Fri - 10.00am - 1.00pm and 2.00pm - 5.30pm. Wed - 2.00pm - 7.30pm. Sat - 10.00am - 1.00pm and 2.00pm - 4.00pm
Hereford	Broad Street	Tues, Wed, Fri - 9.00am - 7.30pm. Thurs - 9.00am - 5.30pm. Sat - 9.30am - 4.00pm
Kington	64 Bridge Street	Tues & Fri - 10.00am - 5.30pm. Thurs & Sat - 10.00am - 1.00pm
Ledbury	Bye Street	Tues & Thurs - 9.00am - 5.30pm. Wed & Fri - 9.00am - 7.30pm. Sat - 9.30am - 4.00pm
Leintwardine	Community Centre, High Street	Tues - 10.00am - 1.00pm. Fri - 2.30pm - 5.30pm
Leominster	8 Buttercross	Tues & Fri - 9.00am - 5.30pm. Wed & Thurs - 9.00am - 7.30pm. Sat - 9.30am - 4.00pm
Ross on Wye	Cantilupe Road	Tues & Thurs - 9.00am - 7.30pm. Wed & Fri - 9.00am - 5.30pm. Sat - 9.30am - 4.00pm
Weobley	Old Police Court, Back Lane	Mon - 10.00am - 1.00pm. Thurs - 3.00pm - 6.00pm
INFO IN HEREFORDSHIRE		
Bromyard	The Bromyard Centre, Cruxwell Street	Mon to Fri - 8.15am - 9.30pm. Sat & Sun - 9.00am - 6.00pm
Hereford	Garrick House, Widemarsh Street	Mon to Thurs - 8.45am - 5.15pm. Fri - 8.45 - 4.45pm
Kington	2 Mill Street	Mon to Thurs - 9am - 12.30pm & 1.00pm - 4.00pm Fri - 9.00am - 12.30pm & 1.00pm - 3.30pm
Ledbury	St Katherines, High Street	Mon to Thurs - 8.45am - 5.15pm. Fri - 8.45 - 4.45pm
Leominster	11 Corn Square	Mon to Thurs - 8.45am - 5.15pm. Fri - 8.45 - 4.45pm
Ross on Wye	Swan House, Edde Cross Street	Mon to Thurs - 8.45am - 5.15pm. Fri - 8.45 - 4.45pm

On the Council's website: www.herefordshire.gov.uk/ldf

Consultation Period: Written representations on the Draft Statement of Community Involvement should be submitted on the response form provided and must be submitted within the six-week consultation period ending 17th March 2006 to:

Dr D Nicholson, Forward Planning Manager, PO Box 144, Town Hall, Hereford, HR1 2PJ

Email: ldf@herefordshire.gov.uk Fax: 01432 260289

Please indicate in your response if you wish to be notified of either or both of the following:-

- (i) when the SCI has been submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination;
- (ii) that the SCI has been adopted

Further information: If you have difficulties with reading or obtaining the draft Statement of Community Involvement or require further information, please contact the Forward Planning team on tel: 01432 383357.



**HEREFORDSHIRE
COUNCIL**

Have your say on planning!

Residents of Herefordshire are being invited to have a say on how they should be involved in planning issues.

The Council has drawn up a “Statement of Community Involvement,” (SCI) which sets out how it will engage the community when it consults on planning applications and prepares development plans (plans which show future use of land).

The document – drawn up as part of a new planning system – sets out how the Council’s Planning Services will carry out future consultation and how the views of local people will form a key role in guiding future development in the County.

Copies of the draft and response form are in all the libraries and Info Shops across the County and the Council’s website www.herefordshire.gov.uk/ldf.

Representations need to be with the Council by Friday, March 17.

Anyone wanting more information should contact the Forward Planning Team on (01432) 383357.

Appendix 9
Pre-submission questionnaire



Statement of Community Involvement, Pre-Submission Draft, January 2006, Questionnaire

Introduction

A draft Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) has been produced and is currently the subject of consultation, as required by statutory regulations.

An initial questionnaire sent out in October 2005 provided useful information which has been incorporated into the draft SCI.

This follow up questionnaire:

- Helps to guide you through the chapters of the draft SCI in a structured way.
- Provides opportunities for feedback on its contents – have we got it right?

Please note that this questionnaire should be read in conjunction with the SCI.

Q1 Chapter 7 (see paragraph 7.15) there is a list of community groups that are harder to include in consultation processes. Are there any others we have not considered?

Q2 From your experience are there any consultation methods that would be particularly suitable for engaging any of the groups at risk of exclusion?

Q3 In your view are the methods of consultation and participation described in Chapter 9 (see table below paragraph 9.9) the right ones for engaging the community in the preparation of planning policy documents?

Please tick the appropriate box

Yes

No

Q4 If you answered 'no' to question 3, please state why and what additional methods you would add?

Q5 Chapter 10 provides information on the process for producing Development Plan Documents (DPDs) and Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs). Have we got this right,?

Yes

No

Q5a How could we improve it?

Q6 In Chapter 11 the section on involvement of the community at the pre-application stage (See paragraph 11.8 to 11.11) discusses how developers or applicants might undertake community consultation. Do you think that this will be of benefit to the community?

Yes

No

Don't
know

Q7 If you have answered 'no' to question 6, could you please explain why you feel that such consultation would not be beneficial?

Q8 Chapter 11 describes the methods used to consult the community when a planning application is submitted to the Council (see paragraphs 11.12 to 11.25) These are the methods that the Council currently uses. Are there any other additional methods that you would like to suggest?

Q9 The list of consultees in Appendix 2 identifies the organisations that we will consult with on planning issues. We are continuing to develop our database of contacts. Are there any other organisations that should be included on our list of consultees?

Q10 How clear is this document in setting out how the Council intends to engage the community in the planning process?

Please tick the appropriate box

a) The preparation of planning policy documents.

Very clear	Fairly clear	Adequate	Not very clear	Not at all clear
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

b) Planning applications

Very clear	Fairly clear	Adequate	Not very clear	Not at all clear
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q11 If you feel that the document is not clear, please could you indicate which points or sections are unclear and suggest how we could make improvements?

Please complete the following contact details* to assist in database management:

Name:	
Organisation:	
Address:	
E-mail:	
Tel:	

*In accordance with the data protection Act 1998, your details will be retained on the Councils' Local Development Framework (LDF) database and will be used solely for the purpose of preparing the LDF. They will not be passed on to any third party.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. If there are any further points related to the draft SCI that have not been addressed in this questionnaire, please let us know.

Please return the completed questionnaire in the pre-paid envelope by the 17th March 2006 to the following address:

Statement of Community Involvement
Herefordshire Council
Forward Planning
PO Box 144, Town Hall
Hereford
HR1 2PJ

Alternatively you can return the questionnaire via our fax number 01432 260289, email to ldf@herefordshire.gov.uk or hand deliver to any of the County Info Points or Libraries.

Need help? If you would like this information in a different format e.g. Braille, other languages, audio tape, large print, computer disc or have difficulty in filling the form in, please contact the Forward Planning office on 01432 383357.

Appendix 10

Table of responses

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Question 1 : Chapter 7 (see paragraph 7.15) there is a list of community groups that are harder to include in consultation processes. Are there any others we have not considered?				
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
3	SCI 309/D	Mr G N Rose, Resigned from Wellington Heath Parish Council	Not as far as I am aware	Comment noted	No change
4	SCI 155/D	Mr A Morris, Estate Agents and Auctioneers	Sport and Recreation for Young People	Paragraph 7.15 already identifies Children and Young People as a hard to reach group. This identifies the need for planners to engage with young people on all relevant issues including sport and recreation.	No change
5	SCI 297/D	Bulmers	Migrant workers	Migrant workers tend to work in the County on a temporary basis before moving on elsewhere. It is therefore difficult within the resources available to engage with these people in a useful and meaningful way as their knowledge of the area will be limited and there needs temporary.	No change
6	SCI 26/D	George Wimpey	Consultation comments by email	Comments can be sent via email as identified in paragraph 9.9	No change
7	SCI 209/D	Arrow Valley Residents Association	No	Comment noted	No change
8	SCI 52/D	Chamber of Commerce	The Business community and private sector often have low response rates both nationally and locally	The comment is noted. The SCI has included over 250 businesses in its appendix 2. All these will be consulted at appropriate times during the preparation of LDF documents.	No change
9	SCI 337/D	Rail for Herefordshire	Add following to database: HASSLE, C/O 72, Park Street, Hereford, HR1 2RD	Comments noted and database will be amended accordingly. A corresponding amendment will be made to appendix 2 of the SCI to include these details.	Amend Appendix 2 of the SCI and the SCI database to include attached details.
10	SCI 13/D	Goodrich and Welsh Bicknor Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
11	SCI 3/D	Ledbury and District Civic Society	No	Comment noted	No change
12	SCI/63/D	Voluntary Sector Assembly	No	Comment noted	No change
13	SCI 338/D	Belmont Rural Website	Youth clubs, all local organisation	Paragraph 7.15 already identifies Children and Young People as a hard to reach group. The first approach will be made to the Youth Service who will then advise who would be most appropriate group to talk to on particular issue. Youth clubs will provide a very useful focus for meeting young people and discussing issues.	Amend appendix 2 of the SCI to include reference to Youth Clubs.
14	SCI 72/D	Belmont Rural Parish Plan Coordinator	Not obviously	Comment noted	No change
15	SCI 339/D	Collins Engineering	Cannot think of any	Comment noted	No change
16	SCI 341/D	Arkwright Owens	No (but someone will find one)	Comment noted	No change
17	SCI 342/D	ABLE (Information and advice)	No	Comment noted	No change
18	SCI 343/D	Environment Ambition Group	Unemployed, self employed and some professional groups eg lawyers	Comment noted, however, this would require significant resources to monitor and to keep up to date.	No change
19	SCI 344/D	Workmatch Ltd	No	Comment noted	No change
20	SCI 345/D	Age Concern Leominster and District	No	Comment noted	No change
21	SCI 296/D	Breinton Parish Council	Neighbourhood Watch Schemes, Resident Associations	These are useful groups which provide helpful local information. It is not considered that these groups would be classed as hard to reach. They are generally well organised and recognised with an active network of people campaigning for their particular area.	No change
22	SCI 197/D	Colwall Parish Council	No – comprehensively covered	Comment noted	No change
23	SCI 347/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	School Governors when development may be of concern to them	Whilst the Children's Services Directorate of the Council will be the first point of contact as identified in paragraph 7.15 of the SCI there may be instances when individual school governors may need to be consulted on a development issue relative to them. Table 7.15 and Appendix 2 should be amended to include School Governing Bodies as appropriate.	Amend table at paragraph 7.15 and appendix 2 of the SCI to include attached details
24	SCI 24/D	Kington History Society	Satisfactory but make more space of local newspapers editorial space	Comment noted. The Planning section prepares with the Council's communication team press releases on a regular basis to keep people informed of the Plan process. This however does not guarantee that the article will be printed. This is the decision of the newspaper editor.	No change
25	SCI 220/D	Brock Planning Consultancy	No	Comment noted	No change
26	SCI 139/D	ECHO	Adults with learning difficulties. A way of accessing this group of people is through the People's Union Self – advocacy Network Coordinator is Mary Anne Thomas 01432 263757	Comments noted and database will be amended accordingly. A corresponding amendment will be made to appendix 2 of the SCI to include these details. In addition an amendment will be made to paragraph 7.15 of the SCI to include this group of people as hard to reach.	Amend, Paragraph 7.15, Appendix 2 of the SCI and the SCI database to include attached details.
27	SCI 352/D	Brecon Beacons National Park Authority	No	Comment noted	No change
28	SCI 351/D	Ledbury and District Access Group	Ledbury and District Access Group	Local Access groups are already identified as a hard to reach group in paragraph 7.15	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
29	SCI 350/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Faith group minorities – often have good voluntary groups but can be inward looking and unaware of larger community.	Issues around Religion and beliefs have been added into the table at paragraph 7.15 which identifies those groups which may be at risk of exclusion within planning consultation processes and therefore require extra efforts to encourage their involvement.	Amend paragraph 7.15 and supporting text to include reference to Religion and beliefs as a group at risk of exclusion
30	SCI 349/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	No	Comment noted	No change
31	SCI 16/D	Morris Bricknell	National Framers Union (NFU), County Landowners Association (CLA)	Both these organisations are already listed in Appendix 2 of the SCI.	No change
32	SCI 12/D	Herefordshire Council Youth Service	No, but are 'older people' really at risk of being excluded.	Comment noted. There could be instances when older people become isolated from the activities of the community	No change
33	SCI 232/D	Yarkhill Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
34	SCI 272/D	Welsh Water	No	Comment noted	No change
35	SCI 355/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	No	Comment noted	No change
36	SCI 356/D	Pipe and Lyde Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
37	SCI 92/D	Michael Latchem and Associates LTD	Not known	Comment noted	No change
38	SCI 258/D	Member of the Public	People with reading disabilities	Paragraph 7.15 already identifies people with disabilities as a hard to reach group. This will be included as an example.	Amend paragraph 7.15 under People with disabilities to include people with a reading disability.
39	SCI 280/D	Much Cowarne Group Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
40	SCI 191/D	Planning Advisor to Offas Dyke Association	No	Comment noted	No change
41	SCI 357/D	DEFRA	Second Home owners not living regularly in the area? Contact via post to home. People suffering illness and receiving treatment away?	It would be very difficult to identify and contact second home owners and those away from home suffering illness. The Council does not have the resources to undertake such survey work and keep it up to date.	No change
42	SCI 136/D	Hereford Travellers Support Group	In sufficient consideration given to reaching gypsies and other travellers. Page 15 of the statement (Para 7.15) mention the Traveller Liaison Officer and the Race Relations Officer. They cannot speak for all travellers, but could be a channel of communication only.	Within the resources available the Traveller Liaison Officer and the Race Relations Officer are seen as the most appropriate people to approach in the first instance to gather information on the needs of travellers. The table at paragraph 7.15 has been expanded to include reference to the Travellers Health Project.	Amend appendix 2 and paragraph 7.15 to include the Travellers Health Project.
43	SCI 133/D	Morrison's Supermarkets	No	Comment noted	No change
44	SCI 245/D	Member of the Public	You have not considered adequately the normal rural resident. The Parish Council do not provide an adequate route to most people.	The Council has to work within its resources and Parish Councils provide very valuable information on local planning issues. However Parish Council's need the support and involvement of local people to ensure that they work effectively. Some members of the public are on the SCI database and will be kept informed with the opportunity to be involved on preparation of planning documents.	No change
45	SCI 358/D	Member of the Public	No	Comment noted	No change
46	SCI 123/D	Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Group Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
47	SCI 282/D	Ledbury Town Council	People with literacy and numeracy problems	Paragraph 7.15 already identifies people with disabilities as a hard to reach group. This will be included as an example.	Amend paragraph 7.15 under People with disabilities to include people with literacy and numeracy disabilities.
48	SCI 359/D	Eardisland Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
49	SCI 137/D	Access for All	Need to contact younger people, people with learning difficulties and disabled people for their views, through schools, day centres, social workers etc.	Both young people and people with disabilities are identified as a hard to reach group within paragraph 7.15 of the SCI.	No change
50	SCI 360/D	Sustrans	Please insert Herefordshire Cycling Forum details: Contact details via Mark Edwards, Integrated Transport Assistant Officer, Herefordshire Council, medwards@Herefordshire.gov.uk	Comments noted and database will be amended accordingly. A corresponding amendment will be made to appendix 2 of the SCI to include these details.	Amend Appendix 2 of the SCI and the SCI database to include attached details.
51	SCI 261/D	Fownhope Residents Association	No	Comment noted	No change
52	SCI 205/D	Almeley Parish Plan	Older people' and 'Dispersed rural population' are not valid groups (see attached reasons). You should concentrate on those who are too busy to bother with planning - housewives, working people, sports enthusiasts, shoppers, pub regulars ... and those who don't mix with their neighbours.	Comment noted. Parish Councils are to be encouraged to make more information available. This could be pursued through village/parish newsletters, notices in shops/parish notice boards etc County Councillors also play a valuable role in informing local people as recognised in paragraph 9.14.	No change

2	A	B	C	D	E
	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
53	SCI 364/D	CTC Right to Ride, Ledbury Area	Members of HM Forces serving overseas	It would be very difficult to identify and contact all members of HM Forces serving overseas. The Council does not have the resources to undertake such survey work and keep it up to date. However local garrisons could be included within appendix 2	Amend Appendix 2 of the SCI to include attached details.
54	SCI 363/D	Duchy of Cornwall	None we can think of.	Comment noted	No change
55	SCI 361/D	D2 Planning Ltd	No	Comment noted	No change
56	SCI 91/D	Bartestree with Lugwardine Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
57	SCI 366/D	Collier and Brain Ltd	The business community viz Chambers of Commerce.	The Chambers of Commerce are identified as a consultee in Appendix 2 of the SCI.	No change.
58	SCI 367/D	Leominster Town Council	Homeless	It is considered that the homeless are a hard to reach group and as such paragraph 7.15 and associated text has been amended to include this group.	Amend paragraph 7.15 to include reference to the homeless.
59	SCI 368/D	Herefordshire Carers Support	Family carers - approx 17,500 in Hfds, 500+ young carers.	It is hoped and expected that these people would get involved in the Council's normal consultation processes. However the Herefordshire Carers Support will be added to Appendix 2 to act as a voice for these people.	Amend Appendix 2 and database to include Herefordshire Carers Support
60	SCI 218/D	Kings Acre Residents Association	Not known	Comment noted	No change
61	SCI 372/D	Leintwardine Group Parish Council	The indigenous, traditional local population	All those listed in appendix 2 have an important part to play in planning the future of the County. In addition to Parish Councils a long list of local organisations is also included providing opportunities for local people to have their say. In addition individual members of the public are registered on the SCI database. It is considered that the representations of local people are well covered in the SCI.	No change
62	SCI 194/D	Sport England	No	Comment noted	No change
63	SCI 373/D	Hfds and Worcs Earth Heritage Trust	University of the Third Age U3A, local history groups, Young Farmers, societies rather than council officers.	These groups are not considered to be hard to reach and some are already on the LDF database eg local history groups. It is proposed, however, that Young Farmers be included on the list as they could represent the views of young people who have been identified as a group at risk of exclusion.	Amend Appendix 2 of the SCI to include the Young Farmers organisation details.
64	SCI 369/D	Cradley and Storrige Parish Council	Support the need to broaden access and involvement. Need to consider young working people, particularly those with young families. The internet is an important channel for involving these people providing they are made aware of the opportunity	Comment noted. The Council within available resources are furthering its web database as documented in section 9 of the SCI.	No change
65	SCI 375/D	CPRE	Please note CPRE now stands for Campaign to Protect Rural England and should replace Council for the Protection of Rural England	Comment noted	Amend Appendix 2 of the SCI to include the CPRE details.
66	SCI 30/D	Brimfield and Little Hereford GPC	No	Comment noted	No change
67	SCI 59/D	RPS Planning	No	Comment noted	No change
68	SCI 384/D	Luston Group Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
69	SCI 198/D	Kings Thorn Residents Group	People for whom English is not their first language.	The SCI at paragraph 9.11 states that consideration will be given to making planning documents available in languages other than English when a request is made.	No change
70	SCI 383/D	Cycle Hereford	No	Comment noted	No change
71	SCI 382/D	Tarrington Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
72	SCI 380/D	Linton(s) Parish Council	No as a parish cllr I find the 'nimbi' effect will bring most people out.	Comment noted	No change
73	SCI 379/D	St James and Bartonsham Community Assoc	Unwaged incl parents with young children. Unemployed, students/trainees.	Comment noted, however, this would require significant resources to monitor and to keep up to date.	No change
74	SCI 376/D	Herefordshire Council	Students at Hereford RNIB College	Paragraph 7.15 refers to disabled people which would include those with sight problems. The Royal College for the Blind is identified as a consultee at appendix 2.	No change
75	SCI 388/D	Pixley and District Parish Council	Need to add those who do not have a reasonable standard of education to the list of hard to reach groups. Concerned that planning matters will 'go over their heads'.	Comments noted. It is proposed to amend Paragraph 7.15 to include those with literacy and numeracy issues. In addition an executive summary and extended glossary is suggested to help in summarising and explaining the key parts of the SCI. Planning Aid who are referred to in paragraph 9.16 of the SCI provide training events to help educate people about the planning process which may assist.	Amend paragraph 7.15 under People with disabilities to include people with literacy and numeracy disabilities. Include an executive summary and extended glossary at appendix 1.

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Question 2 : From your experience are there any consultation methods that would be particularly suitable for engaging any of the groups at risk of exclusion?				
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
3	SCI 335/D	CPRE	Paper based, plain English, simplified formats, meaningful timescales, feedback very important	These are useful points to raise which have been included and referred to in section 9 of the SCI.	No change
4	SCI 309/D	Mr G N Rose, Resigned from Wellington Heath Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
5	SCI 155/D	Mr A Morris, Estate Agents and Auctioneers	Via Herefordshire Sports Council	Comments noted and database will be amended accordingly to include Herefordshire Sports Council. A corresponding amendment will be made to appendix 2 of the SCI to include these details.	Amend Appendix 2 of the SCI to include Herefordshire Sports Council and the SCI database to include attached details
6	SCI 297/D	Bulmers	On site briefings (at caravan sites or wherever) or place of employment	Difficult to understand what is meant by "On site briefings". Any meetings outside Council premises need the permission of the landowner in any event and are usually held when requested.	No change
7	SCI 26/D	George Wimpey	Consultation comments by email and reduce the size of meetings to make them effective meetings	The SCI at section 9 refers to the use of email and this method of communication will be positively encouraged through the LDF process. Whatever representations are received need to be reported to the Committee whether they arrive by email or otherwise. Comment noted on the length of meetings.	No change
8	SCI 209/D	Arrow Valley Residents Association	Present ones adequate. If people choose to be travellers they should not expect to be spoon-fed	Comments noted.	No change
9	SCI 52/D	Chamber of Commerce	One to one briefings on business impacts in a specific area	The use of one to one briefings are already identified in section 9.	No change
10	SCI 13/D	Goodrich and Welsh Bicknor Parish Council	No	Comments noted	No change
11	SCI 3/D	Ledbury and District Civic Society	Greater emphasis on use of local press including 'freebies'	Press releases and public notices are issued on a regular basis to update people on the plan process and on development control matters. Statutory public notices will always be printed, however, with press articles there is no guarantee that the press will print the article.	No Change
12	SCI 63/D	Voluntary Sector Assembly	No	Comments noted	No change
13	SCI 174/D	Voluntary Sector Assembly	Offering assistance in future consultations especially the assistance with the Hard to reach groups.	This offer of assistance is appreciated. Comment noted	No change
14	SCI 338/D	Belmont Rural Website	Reduced wordage, simpler syntax and vocabulary. Emphasis on why response is important. Examples of change following public responses.	Useful comments. An executive summary is proposed for inclusion to assist with identifying the key parts to the SCI. The glossary has been included at appendix 1 to define technical words which need to be included within this document. All amendments which are made to this SCI as a result of listening to consultations received will be recognised through tracked changes.	Include an executive summary
15	SCI 72/D	Belmont Rural Parish Plan Coordinator	Not consulting all groups presents difficulties whatever method used.	The database provides an overall list of bodies that could be consulted on any one development plan document. Given limited resources it will always be difficult to consult with all groups	No change
16	SCI 341/D	Arkwright Owens	A 'surgery' approach may prove popular for general planning enquiries but will inevitably be inefficient in terms of officers time	Planning surgeries are held regularly to discuss development control matters. In addition local meetings, exhibitions are held to discuss development plan issues and these are usually arranged through the Town/Parish Council . In addition planning officers respond daily to general planning enquiries over the telephone/email. These methods of communicating are identified in section 9 of the SCI.	Add Planning surgeries to the list of community involvement methods laid out in section 9 of the SCI
17	SCI 48/D	Ross Community Development Group	Clear and simple articles/notices in local papers - particularly "free" newspapers.	Press releases and public notices are issued on a regular basis to update people on the plan process and on development control matters. Statutory public notices will always be printed, however, with press articles there is no guarantee that the press will print the article. The use of the press and newsletters are identified in section 9 of the SCI.	No change
18	SCI 342/D	ABLE (Information and advice)	No	Comments noted	No change
19	SCI 343/D	Environment Ambition Group	The Democs /People's cafe idea is a possibility – however it takes a lot of time and is difficult to organise – that's why they are hard to reach.	This is a good idea however is beyond available resources as suggested in the consultation response	No change
20	SCI 344/D	Workmatch Ltd	No	Comments noted	No change
21	SCI 345/D	Age Concern Leominster and District	Visiting day centres/coffee mornings where older people attend	This is a good idea however is beyond available resources.	No change
22	SCI /346/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Use Parish Newsletters and Herefordshire Matters	Both Parish newsletters and Herefordshire Matters are used as a way to consult on planning matters. These methods of consultation are identified in section 9 of the SCI.	No change
23	SCI 296/D	Breinton Parish Council	By post (databases needed), not website or email, press releases.	The use of the post as a method for distributing hard copies of planning documents is identified in section of the SCI. The planning section engages with a wide variety of people and organisations so a variety of methods of consultation are required to meet the needs of everyone.	No change
24	SCI 197/D	Colwall Parish Council	No	Comments noted	No change
25	SCI 347/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Voluntary organisations with special interest in the group	Appendix 2 identifies a wide variety of voluntary groups as well as Herefordshire Voluntary Action all of which will be consulted where appropriate on the preparation of LDF documents.	No change
26	SCI 24/D	Kington History Society	No	Comments noted	No change
27	SCI 220/D	Brock Planning Consultancy	No	Comments noted	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
28	SCI 139/D	ECHO	Simple questionnaire with sufficient time for people to discuss within their self advocacy groups and then respond.	The use of questionnaires is identified in section 9 of the SCI.	No change
29	SCI 352/D	Brecon Beacons National Park Authority	No	Comments noted	No change
30	SCI 350/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Faith Group Liaison officer?	Issues around Religion and beliefs have been added into the table at paragraph 7.15 which identifies those groups which may be at risk of exclusion within planning consultation processes and therefore require extra efforts to encourage their involvement.	Amend paragraph 7.15 and supporting text to include reference to Religion and beliefs as a group at risk of exclusion
31	SCI 349/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Text for children. Offer email response, website, dispersed areas	Resources do not allow for all planning documents to include text for children, however, it is the overall intention to provide user friendly documents which are easily understood and coherent. The use of email and the web are methods of consultation which are identified in section 9 of the SCI.	No change
32	SCI 16/D	Morris. Bricknell	Meeting in a local public house	Venues for local meetings need to be of an appropriate size and suitable for purpose. Some public houses could fit this requirement.	No change
33	SCI 12/D	Herefordshire Council Youth Service	Face to face workshops and 'planning for real' exercises	Both workshops and public meetings, which would cover planning for real exercises, are already mentioned in section 9 of the SCI.	No change
34	SCI 232/D	Yarkhill Parish Council	Special Site briefings	One to one meetings and briefings are already covered in section 9 of the SCI.	No change
35	SCI 272/D	Welsh Water	No	Comments noted	No change
36	SCI 355/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	No	Comments noted	No change
37	SCI 356/D	Pipe and Lyde Parish Council	Notices in local shops or post offices	Consideration could be given to providing Town/Parish Councils with some site notices to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Town/Parish Council felt appropriate. Town/Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend paragraph 9.9 and paragraph 11.18 to reflect attached.
38	SCI 238/D	Hereford Civic Society	Planning surgeries, better and less Legal Public Notices	Planning surgeries are held regularly to discuss development control matters. In addition local meetings, exhibitions are held to discuss development plan issues and these are usually arranged through the Town/Parish Council. The SCI will be amended to make reference to the use of Planning surgeries as a way to consult on planning matters. The use and content of legal planning notices is laid down in statutory regulations so there is no opportunity to change how and when they are used.	Add Planning surgeries to the list of community involvement methods laid out in section 9 of the SCI.
39	SCI 191/D	Planning Advisor to Offas Dyke Association	No	Comments noted	No change
40	SCI 357/D	DEFRA	Email in many cases. Increased communication with Parish Councils	The use of email is identified as a community involvement technique in section 9 of the SCI. All Parish Councils are a statutory consultee on planning matters and are therefore in regular dialogue with Planning Services.	No change
41	SCI 136/D	Hereford Travellers Support Group	By personal interviews and conversations with a range of members of the Travelling community, none of whom will be able to claim they represent the whole.	This method of community involvement goes beyond available resources.	No change
42	SCI 133/D	Morrison's Supermarkets	No	Comments noted	No change
43	SCI 245/D	Member of the Public	The Herefordshire website could be augmented with interactive message boards and newsgroups, web forms and email.	Comment noted. The Council's website is being regularly improved and this is referred to in section 9. These suggestions will be considered through this process.	No change
44	SCI 358/D	Member of the Public	No	Comments noted	No change
45	SCI 123/D	Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Group Parish Council	People located in dispersed rural areas use Parish Magazine, delivered free to every household.	Planning Services have used the Herefordshire Association of Local Councils to distribute information to Town/Parish Councils or have written direct to parishes with information on planning matters which the Town/Parish Council could then include in local parish newsletters. The use of newsletters is identified as community involvement technique in section 9 of the SCI.	No change
46	SCI 282/D	Ledbury Town Council	Involvement with Herefordshire Youth Council (in process of being set up); School Councils; Citizenship classes in school curriculum; Youth Service eg Ledbury Youth First.	These methods of consultation with children and young people are proposed for inclusion within paragraph 7.15 of the SCI	Amend paragraph 7.15 to include attached methods of engagement with children and young people.
47	SCI 359/D	Eardisland Parish Council	Parish and Village Notice Boards	Planning Services have used the Herefordshire Association of Local Councils to distribute information to Town/Parish Councils or have written direct to parishes with information on planning matters which the Town/Parish Council could then display in parish village notice boards. It is proposed to include this method of consulting within section 9 of the SCI.	Amend section 9 and paragraph 11.18 of the SCI to include reference to Town/Parish/Village notice boards.
48	SCI 137/D	Access for All	Produce a simple to read leaflet with bright colours and large print rather than large booklet. Main point only less long winded.	It is proposed to include an executive summary to help in identifying key parts to the SCI. This in turn will help in making the document easier to read. The document will be made available in large print if requested.	Include an executive summary and state clearly that the SCI will be made available in large print etc at the beginning of the document.
49	SCI 261/D	Fownhope Residents Association	No	Comments noted	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
50	SCI 205/D	Almeley Parish Plan	Parish Plans are not very strong methods of communication, once they are completed. Websites need wide publicity in other media. Very few people attend local forums. Get more into supermarkets, post offices, pubs, clubs onto buses and get them to nudge their customers and members.	The land use elements of Parish Plans which are adopted as supplementary planning information form a material planning consideration in determining planning applications and are therefore important documents representing the views of local people. The ability to publicise information needs to be balanced against resources and it is not considered possible to do all that which is listed. Planning services will consult as widely as possible within the resources available and the ongoing support of the Parish Council to assist with this is sought and appreciated.	No change
51	SCI 363/D	Duchy of Cornwall	Parish meetings/working groups/workshops.	These methods of engagement are identified in section 9 of the SCI	No change
52	SCI 361/D	D2 Planning Ltd	It is necessary to ensure that groups are kept informed about the process. There needs to be regular updates to all interested groups.	Feedback and an understanding of the process is key to the SCI. This is laid out in section 10 of the SCI.	No change
53	SCI 188/D	Herefordshire Nature Trust	Use playing for real or planning for real with children's groups if planning is to affect children directly. Involve voluntary groups as well as statutory eg Travellers Support Group	Planning for real is covered in public meetings which is identified in section 9 of the SCI. Both voluntary and statutory organisations have been included as consultees on planning matters in Appendix 2 of the SCI including the Travellers Support group.	No change
54	SCI 91/D	Bartestree with Lugwardine Parish Council	For rural communications - use of parish magazines. Display planning applications in local post office.	Planning Services have used the Herefordshire Association of Local Councils to distribute information to Town/Parish Councils or have written direct to parishes with information on planning matters which the Town/Parish Council could then include in local parish newsletters. The use of newsletters is identified as community involvement technique in section 9 of the SCI. In addition consideration could be given to providing Town/Parish Councils with same site notices to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Town/Parish Council felt appropriate. Town/Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend section 9 and paragraph 11.18 of the SCI to include reference to Town/Parish/Village notice boards etc.
55	SCI 366/D	Collier and Brain Ltd	Meaningful consultation is always difficult to achieve especially with silent or apathetic minorities/majorities. We have been here before with Skeffington et al..	Comments noted	No change
56	SCI 367/D	Leominster Town Council	Probation Service, Police, Drug-User Agencies	These are all identified in Appendix 2 except the Drug Agencies. Appendix 2 of the SCI will be amended to include local groups like DASH.	Amend appendix 2 of the SCI to include Drug Agencies like DASH.
57	SCI 368/D	Herefordshire Carers Support	Going to where they are, telephone, ensuring meetings start at late time eg after 10am and finish before 3pm, to fit in with paid carer/day care.	Where possible and within available resources meetings will take place at the easiest place for the individual/ group. Again timings of meetings can be arranged to fit in with other commitments.	No change
58	SCI 218/D	Kings Acre Residents Association	No	Comments noted	No change
59	SCI 372/D	Leintwardine Group Parish Council	Door to door canvassing in the area of the planning proposal. Website is probably the least useful.	This is a good idea however is beyond available resources.	No change
60	SCI 194/D	Sport England	Use of multi media for young people	It is agreed that innovative consultation methods are required to engage young people on planning matters. This will be furthered through the new Youth Council and the Council's Youth Services section.	Amend paragraph 7.15 to include reference to Youth Councils.
61	SCI 30/D	Brimfield and Little Hereford GPC	Road shows - visiting the parishes.	Useful comments. The list at paragraph 9.9 refers to public exhibitions which would cover road shows.	No change
62	SCI 59/D	RPS Planning	No	Comments noted	No change
63	SCI 384/D	Luston Group Parish Council	None that have not already been noted.	Comments noted	No change
64	SCI 198/D	Kings Thorn Residents Group	Travelling workshops.	Useful comments. The list at paragraph 9.9 refers to public exhibitions which would cover travelling workshops.	No change
65	SCI 85/D	National Farmers Union	People located in dispersed rural areas often do not have access to broadband. Communication by letter and local press should still be in place.	Communication by letter and local press is provided in the list at paragraph 9.9	No change
66	SCI 383/D	Cycle Hereford	Via GP surgeries, clinics etc. Post offices, public houses, voluntary organisations. Employment centres.	Consideration could be given to providing Town/Parish Councils with some planning information to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Town/Parish Council felt appropriate. Town/Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend paragraph 11.18 and paragraph 9.9 to reflect attached.
67	SCI 382/D	Tarrington Parish Council	No experience	Comment noted	No change
68	SCI 380/D	Linton(s) Parish Council	No Just make sure the parish councils have the information and time to consult.	Comment noted. The consultation response times are laid down in statutory regulations and cannot therefore be extended.	No change
69	SCI 284/D	Bromyard and Winslow Town Council	Adult literacy classes. Visits to the housebound.	Paragraph 7.15 already identifies older people and people with disabilities as hard to reach groups. Literacy problems will be included as an example of a disability. Resources would not allow visits to housebound although consultations with organisations like Age Concern will be undertaken.	Amend paragraph 7.15 under People with disabilities to include people with literacy and numeracy disabilities.
70	SCI 379/D	St James and Bartonsham Community Assoc	Via health conduits ie GP Surgeries, notice boards, PCT via social conduits ie shops/post offices, pubs, schools, job centre, benefits offices, vol orgs, family centres.	Consideration could be given to providing Town/Parish Councils with some planning information to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Town/Parish Council felt appropriate. Town/Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend paragraph 11.18 paragraph 9.9 to reflect attached.
71	SCI 378/D	Linton Parish Council	Notices etc in job centres, all government offices where they young and unemployed hang out.	This is a good idea however difficult to action within available resources. However consideration could be given to providing Town/Parish Councils with same site notices to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Town/Parish Council felt appropriate. Town/Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend paragraph 11.18 paragraph 9.9 to reflect attached.
72	SCI 376/D	Herefordshire Council	Home visits to sheltered/extra sheltered accom and residential homes if and when requested. There is usually a common room available where residents could be consulted en bloc.	Home visits are a good idea however they go beyond available resources. However, older people are identified as a consultee and consultations with organisations like Age Concern will be undertaken.	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
73	SCI 388/D	Pixley and District Parish Council	Community forums are useful in getting local people into a meeting to discuss local issues face to face.	Forums and public meetings are already recognised in paragraph 9.9 of the SCI.	No change
74	SCI 146/D	Leominster Shopmobility	No but don't assume that everyone has access to the internet/websites	Comments noted. This is why Section 9 provides a variety of consultation methods	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Question 3 : In your view are the methods of consultation and participation described in Chapter 9 (see table below paragraph 9.9) the right ones for engaging the community in the preparation of planning policy documents?				
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
3	SCI 335/D	CPRE	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
4	SCI 309/D	Mr G N Rose, Resigned from Wellington Heath Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
5	SCI 155/D	Mr A Morris, Estate Agents and Auctioneers	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
6	SCI 297/D	Bulmers	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
7	SCI 26/D	George Wimpey	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
8	SCI 209/D	Arrow Valley Residents Association	No	Comments noted	See response to question 4
9	SCI 52/D	Chamber of Commerce	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
10	SCI 13/D	Goodrich and Welsh Bicknor Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
11	SCI 3/D	Ledbury and District Civic Society	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
12	SCI/63/D	Voluntary Sector Assembly	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
13	SCI 338/D	Belmont Rural Website	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
14	SCI 72/D	Belmont Rural Parish Plan Coordinator	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
15	SCI 339/D	Collins Engineering	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
16	SCI 340/D	BRB (Residuary) Ltd	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
17	SCI 341/D	Arkwright Owens	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
18	SCI 48/D	Ross Community Development Group	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
19	SCI 342/D	ABLE (Information and advice)	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
20	SCI 343/D	Environment Ambition Group	Depends	Comments noted	See response to question 4
21	SCI 64/D	Lafarge Aggregates Ltd	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
22	SCI 344/D	Workmatch Ltd	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
23	SCI 345/D	Age Concern Leominster and District	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
24	SCI 346/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
25	SCI 296/D	Breinton Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
26	SCI 197/D	Colwall Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
27	SCI 347/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
28	SCI 24/D	Kington History Society	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
29	SCI 220/D	Brock Planning Consultancy	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
30	SCI 139/D	ECHO	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
31	SCI 352/D	Brecon Beacons National Park Authority	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
32	SCI 351/D	Ledbury and District Access Group	No	Comments noted	See response to question 4
33	SCI 350/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
34	SCI 349/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
35	SCI 16/D	Morris Bricknell	No	Comments noted	See response to question 4
36	SCI 12/D	Herefordshire Council Youth Service	Yes	Comments noted	No Change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
37	SCI 232/D	Yarkhill Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
38	SCI 272/D	Welsh Water	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
39	SCI 355/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
40	SCI 356/D	Pipe and Lyde Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
41	SCI 238/D	Hereford Civic Society	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
42	SCI 92/D	Michael Latchem and Associates LTD	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
43	SCI 258/D	Member of the Public	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
44	SCI 280/D	Much Cowarne Group Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
45	SCI 189/D	Fownhope Local History Group	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
46	SCI 191/D	Planning Advisor to Offas Dyke Association	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
47	SCI 357/D	DEFRA	No	Comments noted	See response to question 4
48	SCI 136/D	Hereford Travellers Support Group	Yes and No	Comments noted	See response to question 4
49	SCI 133/D	Morrison's Supermarkets	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
50	SCI 245/D	Member of the Public	No	Comments noted	See response to question 4
51	SCI 358/D	Member of the Public	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
52	SCI 123/D	Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Group Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
53	SCI 282/D	Ledbury Town Council	No	Comments noted	See response to question 4
54	SCI 359/D	Eardisland Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
55	SCI 137/D	Access for All	No	Comments noted	See response to question 4
56	SCI 360/D	SUSTRANS	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
57	SCI 261/D	Fownhope Residents Association	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
58	SCI 205/D	Almeley Parish Plan	No	Comments noted	See response to question 4
59	SCI 364/D	CTC Right to Ride, Ledbury Area	No	Comments noted	See response to question 4
60	SCI 229/D	Shropshire County Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
61	SCI 256/D	Llangrove Village Voice	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
62	SCI 363/D	Duchy of Cornwall	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
63	SCI 361/D	D2 Planning Ltd	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
64	SCI 188/D	Herefordshire Nature Trust	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
65	SCI 91/D	Bartestree with Lugwardine Parish Council	No	Comments noted	See response to question 4
66	SCI 366/D	Collier and Brain Ltd	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
67	SCI 367/D	Leominster Town Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
68	SCI 368/D	Herefordshire Carers Support	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
69	SCI 218/D	Kings Acre Residents Association	Yes	Comments noted	No Change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
70	SCI 370/D	Stagecoach in South Wales	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
71	SCI 303/D	Llangarron Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
72	SCI 372/D	Leintwardine Group Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
73	SCI 194/D	Sport England	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
74	SCI 373/D	Hfds and Worcs Earth Heritage Trust	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
75	SCI 387/D	Kings Caple Parish Plan Steering Group	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
76	SCI 30/D	Brimfield and Little Hereford GPC	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
77	SCI 59/D	RPS Planning	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
78	SCI 384/D	Luston Group Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
79	SCI 198/D	Kings Thorn Residents Group	No	Comments noted	No Change
80	SCI 85/D	National Farmers Union	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
81	SCI 383/D	Cycle Hereford	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
82	SCI 382/D	Tarrington Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
83	SCI 77/D	Little Birch Parish Council	No	Comments noted	No Change
84	SCI 381/D	Mervyn Bufton	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
85	SCI 380/D	Linton(s) Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
86	SCI 284/D	Bromyard and Winslow Town Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
87	SCI 379/D	St James and Bartonsham Community Assoc	No	Comments noted	No Change
88	SCI 377/D	Whitbourne Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
89	SCI 376/D	Herefordshire Council	Yes	Comments noted	No Change
90	SCI 324/D	Friends of the Earth Herefordshire	No	Comments noted	No Change
91	SCI 388/D	Pixley and District Parish Council	All the basics seem to be there. Concerned that important consultation is not being picked up at the local level. Use of media and website may help	Comments noted. The use of the media and website are identified at paragraph 9.9 of the SCI	No Change
92	SCI146/D	Leominster Shopmobility	Yes	Comments noted	No Change

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Question 4 : If you answered 'no' to question 3, please state why and what additional methods you would add?				
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
3	SCI 209/D	Arrow Valley Residents Association	How can we persuade the Council that polytunnels must require planning permission? The definition of significant planning applications, bullet point 4 on page 27 must surely bring them into the planning system	The planning status of polytunnels is the subject of current (March 2006) proceedings in the High Court - the Council cannot presume the outcome of that process but, once the decision of the court is known, it will be able to review its policy on polytunnels.	No change
4	SCI 52/D	Chamber of Commerce	Methods are correct, but greater prioritisation needs to be given to partnership organisations	The comments are noted, however, it is not considered appropriate that prioritisation should be given to any particular group. The aim of the SCI is to give everyone an opportunity to engage with the planning system.	No change
5	SCI 343/D	Environment Ambition Group	It's a case of choosing the most appropriate, however the list is comprehensive enough.	Comments noted	No change
6	SCI /346/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Use Herefordshire Matters	Herefordshire Matters is a valuable consultation tool and has been used in the past to keep people informed on the progress of development plan preparation It will continue to be used in the future. Section 9 refers to the use of newsletters which would include publications like Herefordshire Matters.	No change
7	SCI 296/D	Breinton Parish Council	Public consultations should be undertaken before decisions are taken. There should be a genuine open minded approach to all consultations	This is a key part of the new planning process and is identified in section 10 under DPD stage Initial technical consultation - establish evidence base. Consultations will be undertaken to gather information and views before decisions are made. This is essential to work towards the key objectives of openness and consensus and resolving conflicts early in the plan process.	No change
8	SCI 351/D	Ledbury and District Access Group	Planning Officers should be prepared to meet local groups when planning issues are considered contentious.	Planning officers are available to discuss contentious planning issues with local groups as and when necessary. This can occur through a variety of means including public meetings, through already established groups or one to one briefings and meetings where resources permit. These are all addressed in section 9 of the SCI. It is not however Council policy for development control officers to hold meetings into applications that are being processed. This could prejudice officers in reaching their conclusion and prompt statutory consultation responses.	No change
9	SCI 16/D	Morris Bricknell	Important for Councillors and Council representatives to talk to people and make them feel that their thoughts are important	Section 9 of the SCI at paragraph 9.14 - 9.15 and in the table at 9.9 emphasises the important role that Councillors play in listening and representing the views of local people in their area. This will continue to be the case under the new planning system. It is very important that officers, Councillors and local people talk and this is at the heart of the SCI. Section 9 identifies a variety of different methods as to how this communication could take place.	No change
10	SCI 357/D	Pipe and Lyde Parish Council	Notices in local shops or post offices	Consideration could be given to providing Town/Parish Councils with some site notices to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Town/Parish Council felt appropriate. Town/Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend paragraphs 11.18 and 9.9 to reflect attached.
11	SCI 238/D	Hereford Civic Society	Make web site user friendly and updates easy to identify	The website is under constant review and this comment will be taken on board in those considerations.	No change
12	SCI 358/D	DEFRA	E mail of weekly list of planning decisions would be proactive and therefore fits with forward planning thinking. Simply having information available on a website is insufficient in terms of communicating. In conjunction with other positive methods of OMM as set out in 9.9	The weekly list of planning applications is available on the website and available "24/7" - along with copies of plans received. Where statute requires DEFRA to be notified then they are sent their own copies - this may change as part of the Government's modernisation agenda.	No change
13	SCI 136/D	Hereford Travellers Support Group	Three forms are used all through the document 'consultation', 'public participation' and 'public involvement'. These need to be defined and more emphasis placed on PARTICIPATION. It is only by participating that the community feel it is being taken seriously.	Comments noted. The glossary will be amended to include definitions of terms identified in the consultation response.	The glossary at appendix 1 be amended as identified.
14	SCI 245/D	Member of the Public	The current planning documents in the LDF are opaque and too full of planning speak and jargon for normal readers to comprehend. You have to either rewrite them or educate everyone in the County.	It is a challenging exercise to explain a technical process in non planning speak. However it is one that the SCI needs to overcome to ensure people understand how and when they can become involved in the planning process. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public. The point about education is an important one and the use of Planning Aid to assist with this is referred to in paragraph 9.16 of the SCI.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1
15	SCI 282/D	Ledbury Town Council	1. Consultation documents should be freely available free and not for sale. 2. Herefordshire Matters which is freely available to all residents is another community involvement method.	The Council is anxious to ensure that consultation documents are easily accessible and that cost is not a constraint. In many cases there has been a reduced cost to Herefordshire residents and businesses. Whilst costs need to be attempted to be recovered documents will either be freely available or cost left to a minimum. Newsletters are already referred to in paragraph 9.9.	No change
16	SCI 137/D	Access for All	I feel you covered most groups and people you have involved but reach more younger people.	Comments noted. Young people have been identified as a hard to reach group and the advice of the Council's youth services is being sought on how improved engagement can come about. In addition the newly set up Youth Council will prove very useful in gaining views on planning matters from the younger population of the County. Reference to this newly established group will be referred to in the SCI at table 7.15	Amend table 7.15 to refer to the newly established Youth Council.
17	SCI 205/D	Almeley Parish Plan	An opinion poll, carefully constructed to reach a representative cross section of the uninterested, with good inducements to respond.	The use of a questionnaire approach to gather local information has been referred to and has been included within table 1 at paragraph 9.9 of the SCI.	No change
18	SCI 334/D	Kinnersley and District Group Parish Council	Promote planning matters in local parish magazines like 'The Signal' which covers the group parish council area.	Planning Services have used the Herefordshire Association of Local Councils to distribute information to Town/Parish Councils or have written direct to parishes with information on planning matters which the Town/Parish Council could then include in local parish newsletters. The use of newsletters is identified as a community involvement technique in section 9 of the SCI.	No change

2	A	B	C	D	E
	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
19	SCI 364/D	CTC Right to Ride, Ledbury Area	A web-based portal is increasingly useful, but it should take advantage of advanced features such as RSS feeds. This allows an individual to "opt in" at their discretion, rather than requiring Herefordshire Council to place them on a list of consultees/interested parties.	Noted, the Council will further explore this possibility and make appropriate reference in section 9 of the SCI	Amend section 9 of the SCI to make reference to a web based portal
20	SCI 91/D	Bartestree with Lugwardine Parish Council	As in question 2.	See response to question 2	See response to question 2
21	SCI 366/D	Collier and Brain Ltd	Officer at strategic planning level seconded to deal with Ross/HR9 (for example) strategic planning delivery issues - very important. Someone fairly senior able to guide/negotiate/consult widely/interact and plan on a pragmatic basis if necessary.	Resources do not permit the town of Ross having its own Senior Planning Officer. The Council is planning for the whole of the County and Ross needs to be addressed in this context. All local issues are addressed by a relevant officer as and when they occur.	No change
22	SCI 198/D	Kings Thorn Residents Group	You seem to want to stay within existing frameworks. Any of the methods which would more directly involve people you seem to consider too expensive or time consuming.	Depending on the issue proposed, the Council will consider consultation methods appropriate for the target audience. As Table 1 after para 9.10 states " views are sought on whether there are any additional methods that should be considered".	No change
23	SCI 77/D	Little Birch Parish Council	For contentious planning applications it would be good idea for planning staff to attend parish council meetings.	Parish Council's need to retain their independence and Planning Officers cannot be seen to be expressing a view on an application at an early stage nor to be influencing the PC in their response. Officers are happy to attend Parish Council's to discuss general planning issues.	No change
24	SCI 379/D	St James and Bartonsham Community Assoc	Specific targeting methods. Target via their traditional comfort zones! Notice boards with simple response leaflets outlining applications. Advertise meetings in the same way, so people can engage in process at any stage of planning app.	Planning Services have used the Herefordshire Association of Local Councils to distribute information to Town/Parish Councils or have written direct to parishes with information on planning matters which the Town/Parish Council could then include in local parish newsletters and on notice boards. The use of newsletters is identified as a community involvement technique in section 9 of the SCI.	No change
25	SCI 378/D	Linton Parish Council	Same as Q2.	Comment noted. The consultation response times are laid down in statutory regulations and cannot therefore be extended.	No change
26	SCI 324/D	Friends of the Earth Herefordshire	Seem to have lost the Local Area Forum format which would be suitable for most of these planning layers. Regular meetings, progress tracked, understanding developed.	Local Area Forums were renamed "Community Forums" about a year ago. They are still held in six locations about every three months. The next round starts 4 April 2006.	No change
27	SCI 388/D	Pixley and District Parish Council	No further comment.	Comment noted.	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Question 5/a : Chapter 10 provides information on the process for producing Development Plan Documents (DPDs) and Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs). Have we got this right? How could we improve it?				
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
3	SCI 335/D	CPRE	Satisfied with process for producing DPDs and SPDs	Comments noted	No change
4	SCI 375/D	CPRE	section 10. Status of Submitted documents. Need to state that all submitted responses and associated evidence should be placed in the public domain.	The information in section 10 identifies that consultations received and responses made will be published and made available on the web.	No change
5			section 10. (i)The limited discretion of the Council should be spelt out in this section (ii) should be noted that officers' reports on draft planning documents or individual applications and the records of Council decisions on them, will indicate whether they are being forced by policy at a higher level or result from genuine Council discretion.	The Council consult widely on development plan documents and at various stages of preparation. Officer comments are made with a view to national/regional policy and advice.	No change
6			There should be a stage preceding the current first stage of Initial Technical Consultation. This should consist of consultation over the scope of the evidence base and any other research that will be needed in order to prepare issues and options.	This is not required through the regulations and with the need to speed the planning process up this is seen as an unnecessary, time consuming and costly addition to the process.	No change
7	SCI 309/D	Mr G N Rose, Resigned from Wellington Heath Parish Council	Satisfied with process for producing DPDs and SPDs	Comments noted	No change
8	SCI 155/D	Mr A Morris, Estate Agents and Auctioneers	Satisfied with process for producing DPDs and SPDs	Comments noted	No change
9	SCI 297/D	Bulmers	Satisfied with process for producing DPDs and SPDs	Comments noted	No change
10	SCI 26/D	George Wimpey	Satisfied with process for producing DPDs and SPDs	Comments noted	No change
11	SCI 209/D	Arrow Valley Residents Association	Seeks abolishment of retrospective planning applications	Planning law has always allowed for retrospective planning applications to be made - and there is no prospect of that legal position changing.	No change
12	SCI 52/D	Chamber of Commerce	Satisfied with process for producing DPDs and SPDs however perhaps target specific responses from key stakeholders in specific areas ie employment site, gain responses from Chamber of Commerce, Federation of Small Businesses to gain wider business views	Comments noted. The preparation of development plan documents will be more relevant to some groups than others. The list at appendix 2 will therefore be used as a guide identifying the types of groups to involve and consult with depending on the subject matter. This is referred to in paragraph 5.3 of the SCI.	No change
13	SCI 336/D	Sargeants Bros LTD	Send details of planning applications to the Public Transport department and local bus companies especially on planning applications that are on any particular route and may have an impact on the operational running of buses.	Planning application details are available on the Council website. The Transportation Team of the Council is notified and has access to the full database of planning applications. There is no obligation to notify private sector companies and resources do not permit this to happen. The weekly list of applications can be viewed on the website.	No change
14	SCI 337/D	Rail for Herefordshire	No, once something is written down it is very difficult to change it. People should be asked at the very beginning of the planning process what planning measures should be pursued. This could be done via email, public meeting or letter.	This is a key part of the new planning process and is identified in section 10 under DPD stage Initial technical consultation - establish evidence base. Consultations will be undertaken to gather information and views before decisions are made. This is essential to work towards the key objectives of openness and consensus and resolving conflicts early in the plan process.	No change
15	SCI 13/D	Goodrich and Welsh Bicknor Parish Council	Satisfied with process for producing DPDs and SPDs	Comments noted	No change
16	SCI 3/D	Ledbury and District Civic Society	Satisfied with process for producing DPDs and SPDs	Comments noted	No change
17	SCI/63/D	Voluntary Sector Assembly	Yes	Comments noted	No change
18	SCI 338/D	Belmont Rural Website	See question 2	See response to question 2	See response to question 2
19	SCI 72/D	Belmont Rural Parish Plan Coordinator	Yes	Comments noted	No change
20	SCI 339/D	Collins Engineering	Yes	Comments noted	No change
21	SCI 340/D	BRB (Residuary) Ltd	Yes	Comments noted	No change
22	SCI 341/D	Arkwright Owens	Yes	Comments noted	No change
23	SCI 48/D	Ross Community Development Group	Yes	Comments noted	No change
24	SCI 342/D	ABLE (Information and advice)	Yes	Comments noted	No change
25	SCI 343/D	Environment Ambition Group	Yes	Comments noted	No change
26	SCI 344/D	Workmatch Ltd	Yes	Comments noted	No change
27	SCI 345/D	Age Concern Leominster and District	Yes	Comments noted	No change
28	SCI /346/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Yes	Comments noted	No change
29	SCI 296/D	Breinton Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
30	SCI 197/D	Colwall Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No change
31	SCI 347/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Yes	Comments noted	No change
32	SCI 24/D	Kington History Society	Yes, but update progress in the local newspapers (I think more than one mention in the news is useful to site people's interest and observations.	Press releases and public notices are issued on a regular basis to update people on the plan process and on development control matters. Statutory public notices will always be printed, however, with press articles there is no guarantee that the press will print the article. The use of the press and newsletters are identified in section 9 of the SCI.	No change
33	SCI 220/D	Brock Planning Consultancy	Yes	Comments noted	No change
34	SCI 139/D	ECHO	Yes	Comments noted	No change
35	SCI 352/D	Brecon Beacons National Park Authority	Yes, however difficult to comment due to different system in Wales	Comments noted	No change
36	SCI 351/D	Ledbury and District Access Group	Yes	Comments noted	No change
37	SCI 350/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Yes	Comments noted	No change
38	SCI 349/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Yes	Comments noted	No change
39	SCI 16/D	Morris Bricknell	Yes, but to the general public appears far to long winded and complicated	Comments noted. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended Glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary
40	SCI 12/D	Herefordshire Council Youth Service	Yes	Comments noted	No change
41	SCI 232/D	Yarkhill Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No change
42	SCI 272/D	Welsh Water	Yes	Comments noted	No change
43	SCI 355/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Yes	Comments noted	No change
44	SCI 356/D	Pipe and Lyde Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No change
45	SCI 238/D	Hereford Civic Society	Yes	Comments noted	No change
46	SCI 92/D	Michael Latchem and Associates LTD	Yes	Comments noted	No change
47	SCI 258/D	Member of the Public	No – Less use of jargon, eg what is an issues and options document, Why say pre warn? There's not much point in post warning!	The issues and options document is a summary of information gathered through the initial consultation. It identifies the key areas which need to be addressed and a variety of options for addressing them. This information will then form the basis for the next round of consultation. The point about pre warn in accepted and this will be deleted from the relevant parts of the tables in section 10.	Delete reference to pre warn in the tables in section 10 and just refer to prior notification. Add issues and options to glossary
48	SCI 280/D	Much Cowarne Group Parish Council	No, too complicated, too much jargon, so difficult to follow that one would not know if the process is being followed or not.	Comments noted. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended Glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary
49	SCI 189/D	Fownhope Local History Group	Yes	Comments noted	No change
50	SCI 191/D	Planning Advisor to Offas Dyke Association	Yes, I cannot suggest any way to improve it. It seems very sensible and comprehensive	Comments noted	No change
51	SCI 357/D	DEFRA	No, SPDs 4-6 week consultation period to be decided on. How to determine valid representations? Surely have to consider all representations.	Regulation 18 specifies the timescales for consultation on SPD's and these must be applied in the SCI. A valid representation is one which has been submitted in the appropriate way within the timescales specified and meets the requirements of the statutory regulations. Only valid representations can be considered as laid out in section 10.	No change
52	SCI 136/D	Hereford Travellers Support Group	Yes	Comments noted	No change
53	SCI 133/D	Morrison's Supermarkets	Yes	Comments noted	No change
54	SCI 245/D	Member of the Public	No. Because most people will not understand them you either have to precede the consultation with education and briefings, or use more specialized forums or interest groups as a way to engage a representative subset.	Comments noted. The use of briefings and forums as a way of engaging people is referred to in section 9 of the SCI. The point about education is an important one and the use of Planning Aid to assist with this is referred to in paragraph 9.16 of the SCI.	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
55	SCI 358/D	Member of the Public	Yes reduce or even cut out altogether the use of acronyms – make the document reader friendly – otherwise it is fine.	It is not possible to remove all acronyms as these are key terms in the planning process and need to be referred to. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary
56	SCI 123/D	Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Group Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No change
57	SCI 282/D	Ledbury Town Council	No. The following additions/amendments should be made to this section:	DPDs require independent examination binding the Council as identified in the table in section 10 under Regulation 35 and 36. However under the regulations SPDs are not subject to an independent examination. Once the UDP has been adopted Parish Plans could be prepared/adopted as SPD. All representations will be acknowledged as quickly as possible, however, it is not considered necessary to indicate a timescale leaving no flexibility. The Council has established customer service standards which set out response times for different forms of communication and these will be applied. All consultation documents sent to consultees on the SCI database will be free of charge. Where possible Planning Services will meet requests for meetings. However this can only be done within the resources available hence why the text needs to remain as written This is correct however the request for change needs to be made through a valid representation which needs to be made at the regulation 28 consultation. This is already referred to within section 10. The table which looks at the procedures for Independent Examination identifies that only those valid representations which are received during the regulation 28 consultation will be examined by an independent inspector. This is not possible to estimate for all DPD documents. Without knowing the level of objections it is very difficult to accurately specify how long it will take between the Inspectors report and reaching adoption. The time taken will be the absolute minimum as this new planning system is looking to speed the whole process up.	No change No change No change No change No change No change
58			1. DPD and SPD require independent examination binding the Council		
59			2. Are Parish Plans included in SPDs?		
60			3. Pre submission stage. 'Acknowledge receipt of all representations submitted within 3 days either by post or email'		
61			4. Submission stage. Send copies of the submission etc at no cost'		
62			5. Where possible meet requests etc; the wording 'where possible should be omitted.		
63			6. Independent examination stage. 'Only those seeking changes to the Plan have the right to appear and speak.'		
64		7. Inspectors report. There should be a defined time limit between Inspectors Report and Adoption.			
65	SCI 359/D	Eardisland Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No change
66	SCI 137/D	Access for All	Yes. Require clear and understandable wording, less jargon and less abbreviations, make it less complicated.	It is not possible to remove all acronyms as these are key terms in the planning process and need to be referred to. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary
67	SCI 360/D	SUSTRANS	Yes	Comments noted	No change
68	SCI 261/D	Fownhope Residents Association	Yes	Comments noted	No change
69	SCI 205/D	Almeley Parish Plan	If you want to 'front load' the process you must make the initial stage more active. If you don't get a lot of people involved at this stage, they will be disillusioned if they come in at a later stage.	Comments noted. Maximum publicity will be given to events. The information gathering exercise is about just this getting everyone's views on a subject and then preparing options for further consideration. Feedback is a key part of the new planning process and the SCI identifies how the Council will ensure good feedback on its consultations. Comments noted	No change No change No change No change
70			Give it maximum publicity. Invite the public to suggest any and everything which you haven't		
71			Above all, when you produce the second stage you must give full reasoning for your choice of option and say why you have rejected any others which have been offered.		
72			If you are not fully open with the public, you will lose the goodwill on which consultation relies.		
73	SCI 229/D	Shropshire County Council	Yes	Comments noted	No change
74	SCI 256/D	Llangrove Village Voice	Yes	Comments noted	No change
75	SCI 363/D	Duchy of Cornwall	Yes	Comments noted	No change
76	SCI 361/D	D2 Planning Ltd	Yes	Comments noted	No change
77	SCI 188/D	Herefordshire Nature Trust	Yes	Comments noted	No change
78	SCI 91/D	Bartestree with Lugwardine Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No change
79	SCI 366/D	Collier and Brain Ltd	Streamline the whole process please. The whole process is very time consuming and looks expensive. We are concerned about the length of time consultation takes and imposition of costs on local government by central government. The sheer amount of time taken to prepare the UDP and now preparation of LDFs - too lengthy, too costly.	The process for preparing DPDs is laid out in statutory regulations so needs to be applied within the SCI. The overall aim of the new planning process is to speed the system up. The need for front loading is looking for debate on issues early on working towards consensus in order to reduce the length of inquiry time at the later stages of the plan process.	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
80	SCI 367/D	Leominster Town Council	Yes	Comments noted	No change
81	SCI 368/D	Herefordshire Carers Support	Yes	Comments noted	No change
82	SCI 218/D	Kings Acre Residents Association	Yes	Comments noted	No change
83	SCI 370/D	Stagecoach in South Wales	Yes	Comments noted	No change
84	SCI 303/D	Llangarron Parish Council	The process is unwieldy due to its complex nature and long time span. Once process is complete it becomes out of date and revisions are adopted. Difficult for community to accept - where interest is generally about very local/even parochial matters. Its important to consult/listen and continue dialogue, explaining outcomes and changes also appropriate stages to maintain interest and inclusivity.	The Planning process is laid down in statutory regulations and therefore needs to be applied in line with these. The SCI outlines the process which will be followed from beginning to end and highlights the importance of listening to the views of local people and the need for good feedback.	No change
85	SCI 372/D	Leintwardine Group Parish Council	By avoiding the ODPM as much as possible. This office is so illogical and incompetent that any blessing issues has the kiss of death about it.	Planning falls under the remit of the ODPM and therefore it is not possible to avoid this Government Office	No change
86	SCI 194/D	Sport England	Yes	Comments noted	No change
87	SCI 385/D	West Midlands Amenity Societies Association (West MASA)	The six week consultation period under Regulation 26 is too short.	The SCI has to conform with the regulations. It is not possible to alter timescales which are laid out in statutory regulations. To help with making responses to LDF consultations within the six week period the SCI identifies that prior notifications will be given to allow time for any arrangements that may need to be organised.	No change
88	SCI 59/D	RPS on behalf of Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd	We welcome and encourage community involvement at an early stage in the preparation of documents. However, further recognition should be given to the role of developers in both shaping policy and informing the preparation of LDDs. Para 10.1: Welcomes the commitment to publish notes on how to make a representation. Recommend that these guidance notes be included in the SCI as an appendix with explicit reference to the 9 tests of soundness	Comments noted. However, it is not just developers that help shape policy. Everyone consulted and involved in making a response has the potential to amend/shape policy. It is not appropriate to single out developers. Section 10 identifies that the guidance notes will explain how and when to make a representation. It is not considered appropriate to produce a standard format for this as different LDD documents may require different arrangements.	No change No change
89	SCI 387/D	Kings Caple Parish Plan Steering Group	Yes	Comments noted	No change
90	SCI 30/D	Brimfield and Little Hereford GPC	Yes	Comments noted	No change
91	SCI 384/D	Luston Group Parish Council	Yes	Comments noted	No change
92	SCI 198/D	Kings Thorn Residents Group	Yes but with SPDs take care to ensure that there has been genuine community involvement. "Local community in the vicinity of site" must mean more than a few properties around a specific site ie anything affecting a village or parish should be widely considered upon in that area especially as SPDs are not subject to independent examination.	This is very important and is central to the SCI in ensuring full community involvement in the preparation of planning documents including SPDs.	No change
93	SCI 85/D	National Farmers Union	Yes	Comment noted	No change
94	SCI 383/D	Cycle Hereford	Yes. Add some form of flow chart, if only a summary, to show interaction and timing of whole process. Process should be more clearly defined.	The flow chart on page 9 of the SCI provides a summary of the process.	No change
95	SCI 382/D	Tarrington Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
96	SCI 77/D	Little Birch Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
97	SCI 381/D	Mervyn Bufton	Yes	Comment noted	No change
98	SCI 380/D	Linton(s) Parish Council	Yes, make sure parish councils are sent copies of SPDs	Comment noted	No change
99	SCI 311/D	CPRE (PLAN Network)	No, while organisations like CPRE are generally speaking alert to this process, the general public is not reached with impact/thoroughness. Helping all PCs with matters like community notice boards and news sheets with some degree of insistence. Herefordshire Matters is not reaching all households and is an 'everything in the garden is lovely' document. Use it to engage the populace and make it a working document. This would make the council a whole lot more believable.	The Council has consulted widely on the production of its SCI. The list at Appendix 2 demonstrates this. Groups like Community First are established to help with the ideas like community notice boards. Any properties not receiving Herefordshire Matters should inform the Council as it is the intention to send it every household in the County.	No change
100	SCI 284/D	Bromyard and Winslow Town Council	Yes, as long as what is proposed is adhered to.	Comment noted	No change
101	SCI 379/D	St James and Bartonsham Community Assoc	Stages of planning process need to be more clearly defined and more simply laid out in fewer words ie simple English, bullet points, flow charts etc.	The flow chart on page 9 of the SCI provides a summary of the process.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary
102	SCI 378/D	Linton Parish Council	Yes simpler.	It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended Glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary
103					

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
104	SCI 377/D	Whitbourne Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
105	SCI 376/D	Herefordshire Council	No the public in general should be informed at the outset via a press announcement so that any individual or group can get involved in any of the subsequent stages of consultation.	Paragraph 9.9 identifies that use of the media as a consultation method.	No change
106	SCI 324/D	Friends of the Earth Herefordshire	Please make sure that systems of reference through the development of these planning frameworks and documents are clear and consistent so that it is as straightforward as possible to refer to earlier formulations and objections/suggestions, so that participants are readily able to track development and impact.	Comments noted	No change
107	SCI 388/D	Pixley and District Parish Council	Everything seems to be there but sometimes timescales are too short.	Comments noted. The SCI has to conform with the regulations. It is not possible to alter timescales which are laid out in statutory regulations.	No change
108	SCI 146/D	Leominster Shopmobility	Yes. A seemingly complex system. How will you ensure that every procedure has been correctly undertaken	Comments noted. The planning process is set out in statutory regulations and these regulations have been rolled into the consultation standards included in Section 10 and 11 of the SCI. Planning Services need to ensure that these regulations are met.	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Question 6 : In section 11 the section on involvement of the community at the pre-application stage (see paragraph 11.8 to 11.1) discusses how developers or applicants might undertake community consultation. Do you think that this will be of				
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
3	SCI 335/D	CPRE	Yes	Comment noted	No change
4	SCI 309/D	Mr G N Rose, Resigned from Wellington Heath Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
5	SCI 155/D	Mr A Morris, Estate Agents and Auctioneers	Yes	Comment noted	No change
6	SCI 297/D	Bulmers	Yes	Comment noted	No change
7	SCI 26/D	George Wimpey	Don't Know	Comment noted	No change
8	SCI 52/D	Chamber of Commerce	Don't know	Comment noted	No change
9	SCI 337/D	Rail for Herefordshire	Yes	Comment noted	No change
10	SCI 13/D	Goodrich and Welsh Bicknor Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
11	SCI 3/D	Ledbury and District Civic Society	Yes	Comment noted	No change
12	SCI/63/D	Voluntary Sector Assembly	Yes	Comment noted	No change
13	SCI 338/D	Belmont Rural Website	Don't know	Comment noted	No change
14	SCI 72/D	Belmont Rural Parish Plan Coordinator	Yes	Comment noted	No change
15	SCI 339/D	Collins Engineering	Yes	Comment noted	No change
16	SCI 340/D	BRB (Residuary) Ltd	Yes	Comment noted	No change
17	SCI 341/D	Arkwright Owens	Yes	Comment noted	No change
18	SCI 48/D	Ross Community Development Group	Yes	Comment noted	No change
19	SCI 342/D	ABLE (Information and advice)	Yes	Comment noted	No change
20	SCI 343/D	Environment Ambition Group	Yes	Comment noted	No change
21	SCI 64/D	Lafarge Aggregates Ltd	Yes	Comment noted	No change
22	SCI 344/D	Workmatch Ltd	Yes	Comment noted	No change
23	SCI 345/D	Age Concern Leominster and District	Don't know	Comment noted	No change
24	SCI /346/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Yes	Comment noted	No change
25	SCI 296/D	Breinton Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
26	SCI 197/D	Colwall Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
27	SCI 347/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Yes	Comment noted	No change
28	SCI 24/D	Kington History Society	Yes	Comment noted	No change
29	SCI 220/D	Brock Planning Consultancy	No	Comment noted	No change
30	SCI 139/D	ECHO	Don't know	Comment noted	No change
31	SCI 352/D	Brecon Beacons National Park Authority	Yes	Comment noted	No change
32	SCI 351/D	Ledbury and District Access Group	Don't know	Comment noted	No change
33	SCI 350/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Yes	Comment noted	No change
34	SCI 349/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Yes	Comment noted	No change
35	SCI 16/D	Morris Bricknell	Yes	Comment noted	No change
36	SCI 12/D	Herefordshire Council Youth Service	Yes	Comment noted	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
37	SCI 232/D	Yarkhill Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
38	SCI 272/D	Welsh Water	Yes	Comment noted	No change
39	SCI 355/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	No	Comment noted	No change
40	SCI 356/D	Pipe and Lyde Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
41	SCI 238/D	Hereford Civic Society	Yes	Comment noted	No change
42	SCI 92/D	Michael Latchem and Associates LTD	Yes	Comment noted	No change
43	SCI 258/D	Member of the Public	Don't know	Comment noted	No change
44	SCI 280/D	Much Cowarne Group Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
45	SCI 189/D	Fownhope Local History Group	Yes	Comment noted	No change
46	SCI 191/D	Planning Advisor to Offas Dyke Association	Yes, if the community responds in an appropriate way.	Comment noted	No change
47	SCI 357/D	DEFRA	Yes	Comment noted	No change
48	SCI 136/D	Hereford Travellers Support Group	Yes	Comment noted	No change
49	SCI 133/D	Morrison's Supermarkets	Yes	Comment noted	No change
50	SCI 245/D	Member of the Public	Yes	Comment noted	No change
51	SCI 358/D	Member of the Public	Yes	Comment noted	No change
52	SCI 123/D	Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Group Parish Council	Don't know	Comment noted	No change
53	SCI 282/D	Ledbury Town Council	Don't know	Comment noted	No change
54	SCI 359/D	Eardisland Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
55	SCI 137/D	Access for All	Yes	Comment noted	No change
56	SCI 360/D	SUSTRANS	Yes	Comment noted	No change
57	SCI 261/D	Fownhope Residents Association	Yes	Comment noted	No change
58	SCI 205/D	Almeley Parish Plan	Yes	Comment noted	No change
59	SCI 364/D	CTC Right to Ride, Ledbury Area	Don't know	Comment noted	No change
60	SCI 229/D	Shropshire County Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
61	SCI 256/D	Llangrove Village Voice	Yes	Comment noted	No change
62	SCI 363/D	Duchy of Cornwall	Yes	Comment noted	No change
63	SCI 361/D	D2 Planning Ltd	Yes	Comment noted	No change
64	SCI 188/D	Herefordshire Nature Trust	Yes	Comment noted	No change
65	SCI 91/D	Bartestree with Lugwardine Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
66	SCI 366/D	Collier and Brain Ltd	Yes - very valuable - more guidance is required about what developer consultations needed in particular cases.	Comment noted	No change
67	SCI 367/D	Leominster Town Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
68	SCI 368/D	Herefordshire Carers Support	Yes	Comment noted	No change
69	SCI 218/D	Kings Acre Residents Association	Don't know	Comment noted	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
70	SCI 370/D	Stagecoach in South Wales	Yes	Comment noted	No change
71	SCI 303/D	Llangarron Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
72	SCI 372/D	Leintwardine Group Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
73	SCI 194/D	Sport England	Yes	Comment noted	No change
74	SCI 387/D	Kings Caple Parish Plan Steering Group	Yes	Comment noted	No change
75	SCI 30/D	Brimfield and Little Hereford GPC	Yes	Comment noted	No change
76	SCI 59/D	RPS Planning	No	Comment noted	No change
77	SCI 384/D	Luston Group Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
78	SCI 198/D	Kings Thorn Residents Group	Yes	Comment noted	No change
79	SCI 85/D	National Farmers Union	Yes	Comment noted	No change
80	SCI 383/D	Cycle Hereford	Don't know	Comment noted	No change
81	SCI 382/D	Tarrington Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
82	SCI 77/D	Little Birch Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
83	SCI 381/D	Mervyn Bufton	Yes	Comment noted	No change
84	SCI 380/D	Linton(s) Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
85	SCI 311/D	CPRE (PLAN Network)	Yes	Comment noted	No change
86	SCI 284/D	Bromyard and Winslow Town Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
87	SCI 379/D	St James and Bartonsham Community Assoc	Don't know	Comment noted	No change
88	SCI 378/D	Linton Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
89	SCI 377/D	Whitbourne Parish Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
90	SCI 376/D	Herefordshire Council	Yes	Comment noted	No change
91	SCI 324/D	Friends of the Earth Herefordshire	No (or Yes but)	Comment noted	No change
92	SCI 388/D	Pixley and District Parish Council	Approve pre application consultation and regard it as a positive step forward. However there will be arguments over what is meant by significant especially if there is not a tight definition and failure to abide by the consultation standards at the pre-application stage should bar an application from proceeding to submission.	A general definition of 'significant' is provided at paragraph 11.7 of the SCI. However detailed guidelines could/should be developed. The Companion Guide to PPS 12 states that developers should be encouraged to undertake pre application discussions and early community consultation although this cannot be prescribed. The wording in the SCI is as far as the authority can go.	No change
93	SCI 146/D	Leominster Shopmobility	Yes	Comment noted	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Question 7 : If you have answered 'no' to question 6, could you please explain why you feel that such consultation would not be beneficial?				
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
3	SCI 309/D	Mr G N Rose, Resigned from Wellington Heath Parish Council	The SCI does not explain what happens if the developer does not respond to encouragement (see also 4.26 initial consultation report no reason given) No enforcement makes the SCI draft just hot air	Enforcement action is discretionary and must be seen to be proportionate to the breach of control in question. In most cases breaches of control are remedied without recourse to formal proceedings. The criteria and processes for enforcement are explained in the Enforcement Policy which is available on the Council's website.	No Change
4	SCI 26/D	George Wimpey	If done with small groups or representatives for groups with meetings chaired.	Comment noted	No Change
5	SCI 52/D	Chamber of Commerce	Ensure these meetings are convenient at a time to many not just the few	Comment noted	No Change
6	SCI 220/D	Brock Planning Consultancy	At paragraph 11.9 you refer to 'applicants duties' There is no statutory basis for the applicant to involve the community at pre-application stage and the definition of significant is open to different interpretations. This section should be deleted.	This goes to the heart of the new procedures. The Companion Guide to PPS 12 allows local planning authorities to encourage such pre-application consultation to take place in order to give a higher priority and greater influence to the public in decision making. A general definition of 'significant' is provided at paragraph 11.7 of the SCI. However detailed guidelines could/should be developed.	No Change
7	SCI 12/D	Herefordshire Council Youth Service	Website – regularly updated with comments is very good. Possibly link to local people via email to notify something local/replace letters.	Difficult to know who is the correct/best organisation to get regular email notifications in this way. The website is updated daily so that would imply a lot of email traffic - would it be effective?	No Change
8	SCI 355/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	The larger proportion of responders do not contribute within planning regs. As a result their opinions do not achieve their expectations which lowers their estimations of Councillors and the Council as a whole, we need to promote ourselves.	Guidance is provided on the website and on request concerning "Making representations on planning applications". Planning staff are also available to explain why some matters are "material" and some are not. After a decision is made notifications of the outcome are sent out with a contact name for more information.	No Change
9	SCI 238/D	Hereford Civic Society	Ensure Developers do consult and if not what happens?	Under the new system if an application is categorised as "Sensitive" then the application can be turned away if the pre-application consultation has not been done.	No Change
10	SCI 258/D	Member of the Public	This might help but developers are bound to present matters to support their interests	Indeed - developers will always wish to present their application in the best light - but at least if it is given more/better publicity then the public should be better informed when it comes to making their comments.	No Change
11	SCI 189/D	Fownhope Local History Group	Need to clarify traffic and environmental impact of proposed development.	This information is normally provided with larger applications anyway. Under the new system more information can be demanded at the start of the process.	No Change
12	SCI 123/D	Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Group Parish Council	Para 11.10 is fraught with problems. Outside the auspices of a Parish/Town Council, meetings called by an applicant could all too easily lead to unreasonable acquiescence or unreasonable hostility.	There is always a risk that public meetings may get out of hand - but public examination of projects at an early stage is likely to raise the possibility that they can influence the outcome.	No Change
13	SCI 282/D	Ledbury Town Council	11.8 The word 'Significant' should be defined. Who is the final authority to define 'Significant'.	A key question. At present it would be left to the discretion of the planning officer involved. A general definition is provided at paragraph 11.7 of the SCI. However detailed guidelines could/should be developed.	No Change
14			11.9 The word 'except' should be changed to 'require' and the following wording added. 'The Council require developers to consult local residents etc and prove they have done so before submitting an application that is considered 'Significant'.	The Companion Guide to PPS 12 states that developers should be encouraged to undertake pre application discussions and early community consultation although this cannot be prescribed. The wording in the SCI is as far as the authority can go.	No Change
15			11.10 The wording 'encourage' should be changed to 'require'		No Change
16			11.11 The wording 'should be included' to be changed to 'must be included'.		No Change
17	SCI 205/D	Almeley Parish Plan	But you will come under a lot of pressure from applicants to classify their applications as 'not significant' and the system will depend on your ability to be seen to resist.	Agreed - guidelines need to be developed for both "sides" of this argument. A general definition is provided at paragraph 11.7 of the SCI. However detailed guidelines could/should be developed.	No Change
18	SCI 364/D	CTC Right to Ride, Ledbury Area	Pre-consultation is obviously good if carried out with the intension of informing and being informed by the ensuing debate. It is CTC experience that developers are often unwilling to change entrenched positions and use the short planning period as a means of constraining debate and reducing the opportunity for objections. If the pre-application consultation period is given weight in the planning process, it may be beneficial: only time will tell.	Comment noted	No Change
19	SCI 363/D	Duchy of Cornwall	There is a danger of the nimbi effect where some people will be closed to discussion and this could be unhelpful to the process, especially where several join together. The general need for development should be accepted but more attention to sustainability and design.	Agreed. Comments noted	No Change
20	SCI 361/D	D2 Planning Ltd	Pre-application consultation can be beneficial but it should be left to the applicant to determine the type of consultation. The LPA can include a list of possible actions but it need not be followed if not relevant. There is a potential problem that if a list approach is adopted then it will be viewed as a way of not registering applications.	This potential problem should be resolved by pre-application discussions with the planning office over the appropriate types/levels of public involvement at the early stages.	No Change
21	SCI 30/D	Brimfield and Little Hereford GPC	Paper advertising - more widely for those parishes on the border ie Shropshire and Worcestershire like ourselves.	Press releases and public notices are issued on a regular basis to update people on the plan process and on development control matters. Statutory public notices will always be printed, however, with press articles there is no guarantee that the press will print the article. Planning Services have used the Herefordshire Association of Local Councils to distribute information to Town/Parish Councils or have written direct to parishes with information on planning matters which the Town/Parish Council could then include in local parish newsletters. In addition consideration could be given to providing Town/Parish Councils with same site notices to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Town/Parish Council felt appropriate. Town/Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend section 9 and paragraph 11.18 of the SCI to include reference to Town/Parish/Village notice boards.
22	SCI 383/D	Cycle Hereford	SCI would have to be a formal/legal document other side there is no accountability.	Once the SCI is formally adopted all LDDs will need to ensure that they are being produced in line with the standards laid out in the SCI. The same applies for planning applications.	No change

2	A	B	C	D	E
	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
23	SCI 379/D	St James and Bartonsham Community Assoc	Developers can only give their side as a marketing process when they consult. We need to hear the objective arguments too where's the accountability from developer? Process needs a formal statement, confirming public views and adherence to process.	This is the role of the planning officer to listen and hear the views of the public and developer at these pre-application consultation events and see what can be achieved on the site to meet the needs of the community involved.	No Change
24	SCI 378/D	Linton Parish Council	it would be a good idea for the developers or applicants (if they are not local) to find out a bit more about the area they intend to build/live in.	This would form part of the pre-application consultation discussions	No Change
25	SCI 324/D	Friends of the Earth Herefordshire	Applaud the aim that opinion should be informed but the developer may not be an unbiased source of information. It would be helpful if planners could guide communities local organisations and interest groups as to the evidence base and policy framework to be taken into account when applications are being evaluated.	This is the role of the planning officer to listen and hear the views of the public and developer at these pre-application consultation events and see what can be achieved on the site to meet the needs of the community involved. The reports that are received at planning committee provide an explanation justifying the decisions being taken. Planning officers are available to explain their decisions if requested.	No Change
26	SCI 388/D	Pixley and District Parish Council	No further comment.	Comments noted	No Change

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Question 8 : Chapter 11 describes the methods used to consult the community when a planning application is submitted to the Council (see paragraphs 11.12 to 11.25). These are the methods that the Council currently uses. Are there any other				
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
3	SCI 309/D	Mr G N Rose, Resigned from Wellington Heath Parish Council	If applicants are not legally required to cooperate what representations are you and other Councils making to the government to have this changed.	There are cases where the developer is legally bound to provide information and carry out publicity. The SCI system extends this from EIA applications to smaller but "Sensitive" ones.	No change
4	SCI 155/D	Mr A Morris, Estate Agents and Auctioneers	Applicant to meet with objectors to try and alleviate problems prior to the application being heard	Agreed this is encouraged through the SCI at paragraph 11.8	No change
5	SCI 297/D	Bulmers	Does 11.13 list applications or decisions? It suggests decisions, however it needs to be applications	The Weekly list applies to applications received. There is an error in the pathway web link at paragraph 11.13 which will be amended	Amend pathway link on Councils website which is referred to in paragraph 11.13.
6	SCI 209/D	Arrow Valley Residents Association	The council must listen to the community on the major problem of polytunnels	The Council must stay within the law and also consider the benefits to agriculture and employment. This is not a "Black and white" issue.	No change
7	SCI 13/D	Goodrich and Welsh Bicknor Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
8	SCI 338/D	Belmont Rural Website	No	Comment noted	No change
9	SCI 72/D	Belmont Rural Parish Plan Coordinator	Plans should also be in local libraries	They are effectively available in all local libraries by virtue of being on the internet.	No change
10	SCI 339/D	Collins Engineering	Yes, greater effort needs to be made to inform the neighbouring property if the owner is not the occupier. At Whitestone recently there has been applications dealing with a proposed station. We own the surrounding land but only discovered by accident. There are exceptional cases where this can be difficult but I do not believe any attempt is made, even when the owner is well known or, at least, easy to find.	It is by no means obvious in rural areas who owns the next field. Changes of ownership can happen at any time - and the owner is under no obligation to inform the planning authority of any changes of ownership. This problem is addressed through the posting of site notices.	No change
11	SCI 340/D	BRB (Residuary) Ltd	Email notification to neighbours (if email address is already on file) in addition to postal notification	The email address of neighbours is unlikely to be known by the Council.	No change
12	SCI 341/D	Arkwright Owens	No	Comment noted	No change
13	SCI 48/D	Ross Community Development Group	Site notices should give more details of the proposal - this is where most people learn of individual applications. Most notices observed tell us very little!	The notices give the information required by law. There's not much more space on them for anything else. The key elements are the reference number (which can be used to enquire of the Council or look up on the Council's website) and the dates for receipt of representations.	No change
14	SCI 343/D	Environment Ambition Group	No	Comment noted	No change
15	SCI 344/D	Workmatch Ltd	No	Comment noted	No change
16	SCI 197/D	Colwall Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
17	SCI 24/D	Kington History Society	In response to a planning application, if professional advice has to be sought by someone affected, twenty one days to respond may be a bit tight, question - accept this if slightly late.	The 21 day period is set in statute. Is it reasonable to the developer to expect him to wait for extended consultation periods before getting a decision? Comments received after the 21 day period will be considered if the application has not already been determined	No change
18	SCI 220/D	Brock Planning Consultancy	No	Comment noted	No change
19	SCI 352/D	Brecon Beacons National Park Authority	No (possibly display a site notice on the web)	The information on the website covers the same points (and much more) than on the site notice.	No change
20	SCI 350/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Publicise the Council's website via the media	Agreed	Amend SCI at paragraph 11.14 and section 9.
21	SCI 349/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	No	Comment noted	No change
22	SCI 16/D	Morris Bricknell	Councillors should get more involved with proposals in their area	For probity reasons Councillors must stay impartial if they are to be allowed to debate and vote on cases in Planning Committee.	No change
23	SCI 12/D	Herefordshire Council Youth Service	Should your responses reflect the community profile eg age, gender, ethnicity etc? ie do you only get '40 plus' responding?	No formal analysis has been done of the age profile of respondents to planning applications. It is, however very likely that those with the greatest interest in property matters will be the most likely to respond. Certain types of applications e.g. for play area, or hot food takeaways, or extending permitted hours of clubs, are likely to generate opposing views from different age groups.	No change
24	SCI 232/D	Yarkhill Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
25	SCI 272/D	Welsh Water	No	Comment noted	No change
26	SCI 355/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	You cannot do better than hold a public meeting to explain a major controversial application. This has to be adequate.	Comment noted	No change
27	SCI 356/D	Pipe and Lyde Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
28	SCI 238/D	Hereford Civic Society	More maps and pictures in public notices.	The information given on a Public notice is prescribed by Regulation. Further maps/pictures could possibly make them too crowded. Also copyright issues.	No change
29	SCI 92/D	Michael Latchem and Associates LTD	No	Comment noted	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
30	SCI 258/D	Member of the Public	Do please continue with notices in newspapers. This gives information to people who may be concerned, but are not next door.	Comment noted	No change
31	SCI 280/D	Much Cowarne Group Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
32	SCI 191/D	Planning Advisor to Offas Dyke Association	Offa's Dyke Association would be an 'other consultee' We have a specialist interest in the National Trail and its immediate surroundings and welcome the opportunity to comment on any planning applications/ development proposed which might directly or indirectly have an effect on the Trail or indeed the Dyke.	Comment noted. The Offa's Dyke Association is identified as an LDF consultee at appendix 2 of the SCI under the Local heading.	No change
33	SCI 357/D	DEFRA	Email weekly list? Email site notices/application details available on internet.	Weekly lists are now provided on the Council's internet.	No change
34	SCI 136/D	Hereford Travellers Support Group	The suggestions made at the workshops on Feb 23rd should be included including a follow up notice on different coloured paper posted on the site.	An interesting idea. Consideration is to be given to publicity of planning application decisions.	Amend paragraph 11.18 paragraph 9.9 to reflect attached.
35	SCI 133/D	Morrison's Supermarkets	No	Comment noted	No change
36	SCI 245/D	Member of the Public	You have listed methods to communicate the application, but real consultation involves two way process and you need to define how you will act on the results.	See the Council guide to "Making representations on Planning Applications"	No change
37	SCI 358/D	Member of the Public	No	Comment noted	No change
38	SCI 123/D	Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Group Parish Council	NOTE 11.2.6 Parish/Town Council should be immediately informed of any amendments/alterations to planning applications. This should not be at the discretion of the Planning Officer concerned. Except in the case of very minor changes, such amendments should be treated as re-submissions.	Officer's discretion will always be used. In many cases they demand whole new applications - whereas in some minor cases there really is no need to re-consult. It depends on the nature of the objections/comments received.	No change
39	SCI 282/D	Ledbury Town Council	11.12 An additional method of community involvement: Advertise on Village/Parish Notice Board.	Consideration could be given to providing Town/Parish Councils with some site notices to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Town/Parish Council felt appropriate. Town/Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend section 9 and paragraph 11.18 of the SCI to include reference to Town/Parish/Village notice boards.
40			11.18 Wording 'Most planning application sites etc to be changed to 'All planning application sites'. The site notice must show a small plan.	There would be copyright and other practical issues in including a site plan on the notice. The submitted site plans are not always accurate and this may not be identified until too late. The requirements for Public Notices are set out in other Regulations. Not every application requires one.	No change
41			11.20 A copy of the response must be sent to the appropriate local Town/Parish Council	Copies of responses are available to be seen. It is not possible to require respondents to send copies to local Councils	No change
42			11.21 Why are there not local surgeries in Bromyard and Kington	Planning surgeries have and continue to operate when there is a need and call to hold them.	No change
43			11.22 'Neighbour responses are normally acknowledged' This wording should be changed to 'Neighbour responses must be acknowledged within 7 working days'	Not all neighbour responses require a response - some are anonymous. The speed of acknowledging them is rarely critical to the timing of the decision.	No change
44			11.24 A mechanism must be in place to allow applications to be determined by Parish/Town Councils who meet less frequently than every 21 days.	In order to determine applications within set periods the time for consultees cannot be extended. The period is set in statute anyway.	No change
45			11.26 Amended Plans. An application where significant changes are made and a new application submitted then the original application with plans must be submitted to consultees for cross-reference and continuity.	Plans are available on the website for just this purpose.	No change
46			11.28 'in the following circumstances' should be changed to 'any one of the following circumstances'.	Paragraph 11.28 as written correctly identifies the circumstances for referral.	No change
47			11.35 wording 'are normally informed' to be changed to 'must be informed'.	There are cases where this is not necessary, or even possible.	No change
48	SCI 359/D	Eardisland Parish Council	Parish Notice Boards. Use land registry to identify surrounding/ neighbouring properties	Most applications are subjects of a site notice. This is the more effective, cheaper and quicker method. Use of Town/Parish notice boards etc is being given consideration.	Amend section 9 and paragraph 11.18 of the SCI to include reference to Town/Parish/Village notice boards.
49	SCI 137/D	Access for All	Local radio other wise you covered it well.	Comments noted. The use of the media is identified at section 9 of the SCI.	No change
50	SCI 261/D	Fownhope Residents Association	No	Comments noted.	No change
51	SCI 205/D	Almeley Parish Plan	Require parish Councils to publicise applications when they receive them from you, and to publish their own comments, preferably before the end of the comment period, to help people formulate their own comments. If you give Parish Councils a few more days out of your 56, it would be helpful.	Interesting idea. Parishes definitely have a role in providing a local source of information close to application sites and the SCI has been amended accordingly. The regulations specify response times and these are a statutory requirement and cannot be amended.	Amend section 9 and paragraph 11.18 of the SCI to include reference to Town/Parish/Village notice boards.
52	SCI 364/D	CTC Right to Ride, Ledbury Area	Please see Q4 response. RSS feeds on each planning list and on each individual application would be immensely valuable.	Comment noted. The Council within available resources are furthering its web database as documented in section 9 of the SCI.	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
53	SCI 256/D	Llangrove Village Voice	Site notice on village notice board for significant applications. Possible individual letter to all residents in small rural areas where significant or major application at pre-application stage, after application if not possible.	Consideration could be given to providing Town/Parish Councils with some site notices to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Town/Parish Council felt appropriate. Town/Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend section 9 and paragraph 11.18 of the SCI to include reference to Town/Parish/Village notice boards.
54	SCI 363/D	Duchy of Cornwall	You should be able to visit HCC website and for each parish/ward you should be able to tick a box to be notified by email of new applications when made. I would hope that when a weekly list of applications is uploaded you could press a button to update all recipients.	Comment noted. The Council within available resources are furthering its web database as documented in section 9 of the SCI.	No change
55	SCI 361/D	D2 Planning Ltd	No	Comment noted	No change
56			Para 11.5 For clarity define major as residential development of 10 or more new dwellings rather than houses and does floor space mean footprint on the ground or footprint multiplied by number of floors - needs clarification.	The definition of 'major' which is provided at paragraph 11.5 is a government definition. Floor space is total area and not just footprint.	No change
57			Para 11.7 'major applications which are likely to produce significant interest or controversy' the word 'likley' requires a subjective assessment. Any major development in a Conservation Area is 'likley to produce significant public interest.' A better and more objective definition would be desirable. 'Proposals for conspicuous development in 'sensitive' or 'least resilient' landscapes as defined in the relevant Development Plan'. The word 'conspicuous' requires a subjective assessment and a better, and more objective definition would be desirable. Also difficulty with terms 'sensitive' or least resilient landscapes. Use more familiar terms like Conservation Area or AONB. A better phrasing may be 'Proposals for major development in a Conservation Area, an AONB or 'sensitive' or 'least resilient' landscapes as defined in the relevant Development Plan. As an alternative in the case of any doubt the case officer should take a precautionary approach towards more public consultation which will avoid later controversy. Also need to address the need for Conservation Area Assessments.	It is agreed that the definition of 'significant' needs further work and the views provided will be considered during this process. With respect to Conservation Area Assessments the Council has set out a programme for the review of these Conservation Areas based on those having the greatest priority. The programme includes the preparation of character appraisals and management proposals which are currently underway	Text as identified on Conservation Area Assessments will be included within the SCI at Chapter 11
58			Para 11.8 This stage is very welcome - Does this operate at present?	This does take place at present and is encouraged.	No change
59			Para 11.9 Proposals welcome however description 'arrange a public meeting or exhibition in the locality to explain their proposals' should be more prescriptive. The exhibition should have good quality before and after images which will allow people to have a useful input. Inadequate descriptions do not allow good public input which may be interpreted as no objections received and proposals supported. Define locality.	It would be expected that developers would discuss the form of the exhibition/meeting with Officers and also define the extent of "local" It is agreed that any exhibition material should be of high quality.	No change
60			Para 11.10 The fact that the public can object to any proposed planning application should be acknowledged in these paragraphs. The implication is that the community involvement process is a process to persuade the public to support a particular application. The public's negative comments should be recorded as part of the developers SCI.	The point is for increased public involvement. The "implication" is not accepted but negative comments should be recorded.	Amend paragraph 11.10 as requested.
61			Para 11.13 The pathway to the relevant section of the website for weekly lists is incorrect. The final button is Weekly list of planning APPLICATIONS not decisions.	Agreed the links should be revised	Amend pathway link on Councils website which is referred to in paragraph 11.13.
62			Para 11.16 Applications requiring advertisement. Since these types of application must be advertised it follows that where one of these applications is considered 'significant' and pre application must be carried out by the developer then the effect of the proposals on eg the public right of way, or the Conservation Area must be addressed in that consultation. Planning officers should determine whether a public right of way or Conservation Area is affected as it is not unknown for developers to answer this question incorrectly or incompletely on the planning application form.	Agreed - this does happen now	No change
63	SCI 110/D	Member of the Public	11.20 Neighbour Consultation and responses. Provision should be made for people to record their comments verbally. Literacy levels are such that sections of the community may be excluded from the process. The UDP should be made available on the web and at offices and libraries in paper form and via the internet connections. There should be a FAQ section giving brief answers in Plain English and references directly to the policy document. A good search engine to interrogate the UDP would be useful. The public should be able to copy and paste policy statements so that they can quote them in their policy responses. The statement that 'representations sent to Councillors cannot be considered unless they are copied to the case officer' is nonsensical. Councillors should be required to check that officers have received a copy and if not the Councillor should send a copy.	Comments can only be accepted in writing so as to avoid claims of misrepresentation.	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
64			11.23 Last opportunity to make comments. If the 'Council will take account of any comments received up to the day on which the application is determined' this should be made explicit in the Guide to Making Representations leaflet (appendix 5) and in notifying people of the desirable consultation period.	The Guide makes it clear that "late" representations will be considered but only if they raise material planning matters	
65			11.25 Other Consultees. Believe that the public are confused about the relative merits of their comments when compared with the comments made by 'other consultees'. The word complexity suggest that the public do not offer expertise on complexity. In practice it should be acknowledged that 'experts' can be prone to error and their comments should be subject to 'expert' assessment rather than blind faith that they are correct.	This assumption is not accepted	No change
66			11.26 Amendments to Proposals. It should be recognised that although planning officers should attempt to negotiate improvements to application proposals, this should not imply Planning Officer 'support' for any amended plan. The absence of any further comments from those reconulted should not imply that 'no objections' were received to the revised plan or worse that it was therefore supported.	To a degree it depends on the nature of the amendment. If the amendment overcomes the previously stated objection then on re-consultation why should planning officers not assume that there is no objection if no response is made. It would not make sense to report an application to Committee on the basis of an objection to an aspect of the development that had been removed. A case by case decision is made.	No change
67			11.28 Referral to Area Sub Committees. If planning applications are referred to one of the Area Sub Committees when 'The Chairman of the relevant Committee has accepted a written request from the Ward member', then the public should be informed of this process in the Guide to Making representations (appendix 5).	When applications are reported to Committee - those making representations (within the time limit) are notified under the public speaking provisions.	No change
68			11.33 Community Involvement at Sub Committee. The fact that a planning application has been referred to Committee should be notified to everyone originally consulted on the application, and not just those who may have returned comments on the application. This right is explicit in Para 11.23.	11.23 is the position but the public speaking notification can only apply to those who have commented in the timescale	No change
69			11.36 Community Involvement at Public Inquiry. There is a large hole in the SCI at this stage. It is not sufficient to simply state that 'the Council will notify neighbours again and anyone who made representations in writing on the planning application'. A new section should be added which includes: a statement saying where the inquiry will be held, the convenience of the ease of attendance by members of the local community should be given greater weight in deciding the venue for the inquiry than the convenience of travel by 'external participants' such as witnesses who will travel by car due to documents that they will need to bring with them. The Inquiry	We comply with the choice/suitability of the venue and the publicity requirements as set out by the Planning Inspectorate (PI). The management of the Inquiry itself is for the PI. We aim to chose appropriate venue but this cannot be prescriptive. Often convenient locations in terms of the closeness to the appeal site are not suitable in terms of the facilities that they offer. A case by case decision is made.	No change
70			should be in a building suitable for the purpose - disabled access, parking, photocopying and fax facilities and access by public transport. The Inquiry should be held in a location that allows the greatest number of people affected by the application to attend easily. Consideration should be given to the use of schools out of term time. The realisation that people cannot attend the entire duration of an Inquiry should be recognised. The public should be made aware that attendance at any time for any length of time will be recognised by the Inspector who will normally ask people to make statements or even ask questions of witnesses. This openness of the process must lead to greater involvement of the local community in the determination of planning applications.		
71	SCI 83/D	Woodland Trust	The Trust would like to see a list of consultees for planning applications similar to that in Appendix 2, for consultation on planning applications relevant to the consuler's specialis field. Eg the Woodland Trust would like to be consulted on all applications that directly or indirectly affect the irreplaceable habitat of ancient woodland.	To list as suggested would be overly lengthy and repetitive.	No change
72	SCI 365/D	South Wye Regeneration Office	Helpful for a planning officer to attend Market Town Forums on a regular basis. Section 106 agreements. It would be helpful if planning included within the statement how it intended to engage with communities to identify community benefit and what support could be provided to local communities in negotiations. On major developments consultation with the community should be lead by community development experts paid for by the developer. In addition there appears to be inconsistency in the level of detail within s106 agreements. It would be helpful if the SCI could indicate how Parish Plans will be used by the planning authority.	Always happy to attend meetings to discuss planning issues but difficult for individual cases. The land use elements of adopted Parish Plans are a material planning consideration in resepect of planning applications. Parish Plans are a useful way of identifying community needs which could be used when negotiating section 106 agreements.	No change
73	SCI 91/D	Bartestree with Lugwardine Parish Council	Parish magazines - display planning applications in village post offices /shop/pub.	Consideration could be given to providing Parish Councils with same site notices to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Parish Council felt appropriate. Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend section 9 and paragaph 11.18 of the SCI to include reference to Parish/Village notice boards etc.
74	SCI 366/D	Collier and Brain Ltd	Yes - I would like to consider this on a case by case basis. Is Hfds LPA saying it would like pre-application discussions at earliest pre-submission stage?	The SCI at section 11 makes clear its expectation of developers in undertaking community consultations at the pre application stage.	No change
75	SCI 367/D	Leominster Town Council	No	Comments noted	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
76	SCI 218/D	Kings Acre Residents Association	No	Comments noted	No change
77	SCI 303/D	Llangarron Parish Council	Para 11.5 and 11.9 the terms 'major' and 'significant' are used in relation to size and impact of development. In this rural area of Herefordshire the governments 10+ houses is very large - many much smaller development can make an enormous impact on the community. Consideration needs to be given how to ensure rural communities are appropriately consulted about these and smaller proposal developments. Site notices - attention needs to be paid to ensure site notices are fully visible to the public. Suggest that in addition to site notice a copy notice is displayed at suitable/central community locations. On large applications it may be necessary to display a number of notices on 4-5 different boundaries and 4-5 community locations to ensure full coverage.	The community will always be consulted whatever the application. The point about scale is taken - it may be possible for a case by case basis on less than 10 houses. A general definition of 'significant' is provided at paragraph 11.7 of the SCI. However detailed guidelines could/should be developed. Consideration could also be given to providing Town/Parish Councils with same site notices to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Town/Parish Council felt appropriate. Town/Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend section 9 and paragraph 11.18 of the SCI to include reference to Town/Parish/Village notice boards etc.
78	SCI 372/D	Leintwardine Group Parish Council	You have to accept that some people are just not interested. There is a limit to the amount of nurse maiding that the council can be expected to do.	Agreed. Comment noted	No change
79	SCI 194/D	Sport England	You should be able to view the applications on line.	This is now possible	No change
80	SCI 373/D	Hfids and Worcs Earth Heritage Trust	Parish notice boards	Consideration could be given to providing Town/Parish Councils with some site notices to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Town/Parish Council felt appropriate. Town Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend section 9 and paragraph 11.18 of the SCI to include reference to Town/Parish/Village notice boards.
81	SCI 369/D	Cradley and Storrige Parish Council	Parish Councils offer an excellent channel for community involvement. However to encourage more community involvement, improvements are required to the way the Council provides feedback on decisions made. This is with particular reference to planning application decisions. Greater feedback will help people to feel involved and more likely to want to contribute.	Planning Officers are always available to fully explain decisions if requests are made	No change
82			Another disincentive to community involvement in planning matters is the sense of powerlessness engendered by the readiness with which retrospective planning permissions seem to be given. Need more rigorous action by enforcement officers and more rigorous application of building regulations which would encourage greater confidence in and thus participation in the planning process.	Planning Officers do pursue unauthorised work but the law is a major issue	No change
83			Yellow site notices could be improved. A colour coding system of minor and major changes might encourage more local interest.	Yellow is now recognised for site notices but worth considering different colour for majors	Amend paragraph 11.18 to reflect this.
84			Community involvement in the planning process would also be greater if more effort were to be made consistently to ensure that all neighbours and others to be affected by a development were to be notified (see paragraphs 11.19 and 11.20). This does not always occur at present and it would be better to consult too many than risk leaving out those who might be affected.	Planning Officers aim to strike a balance. Where neighbours cannot be identified a notice is also used.	No change
85			Feel strongly that elected members have much more to contribute to the planning process. 80% of applications dealt through delegated powers reflects this. Officers should more readily and systematically consult with elected members on significant applications within the members' wards. This would result in greater public involvement within the planning process.	Members are notified of all applications in their ward at the start of the process	No change
86	SCI 174/D	Voluntary Sector Assembly	Whilst individual sectors of the Community Voluntary Sector (CVS) may have specific views about the planning process, it does not seem appropriate for us to make any specific comments on behalf of the whole sector.	Comments noted	No change
87	SCI 321/D	Hereford City Council	The Local Planning Authority (LPA) should delegate full powers of determination in respect of all planning applications of a pre-agreed minor nature to any Parish Council requesting this power. The attendance of a development Control officer at that meeting should also be agreed. Or if there is a degree of complexity to a plan.	Decision making on planning applications rests solely with the local planning authority which is Herefordshire Council	No change
88	SCI 385/D	West Midlands Amenity Societies Association (West MASA)	Do not agree that Officers should have delegate powers	The arrangements for decision taking on planning applications is laid out in statutory regulations	No change
89	SCI 305/D	House Builders Federation (HBF)	From 11.8 onwards, The SCI should make clear that a pre-application community involvement is optional and not mandatory. A valid planning application must not be turned away for the lack of pre-application consultation exercise. Neither should a refusal be on this basis. There are frequently situations where commercial confidentiality would preclude such consultations and this must be acknowledged within the SCI.	The SCI uses the words 'expect' and 'encourage' with respect to pre application discussions and significant applications. No where does it state that this is a statutory requirement.	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
90	SCI 59/D	RPS on behalf of Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd	Significant applications. This definition is welcome and should be subject to wider consultation than the statutory minimum.	The definition of significant has been available for consultation through the SCI process and further work on this definition is recognised	No change
91			Para 11.9 support the principle of community consultation but object to the wording here. The terminology is misleading in terms of expectation and it fails to differentiate between the types of consultation recommended for different stages of an application's preparation and determination. The SCI fails to draw distinction between a recommendation and a statutory requirement for pre-application consultation, for which there is none.	The SCI uses the words 'expect' and 'encourage' with respect to pre application discussions and significant applications. No where does it state that this is a statutory requirement.	No change
92			Para 11.16 Reference to 'Environmental Assessment' should be the full term 'Environmental Impact Assessment'	Comment noted	Amend para 11.16 delete Environmental Assessment and include Environmental Impact Assessment
93	SCI 387/D	Kings Caple Parish Plan Steering Group	Site notices (11.18) should be used for all applications. Planning applications listed in the newspapers (eg Hfd Times) should be sorted by Parish to make it easier to review.	11.18 makes clear the circumstances where a site notice is used. They are not necessary where all the neighbours can be identified. It would not be practical to list the applications by Parish.	
94	SCI 30/D	Brimfield and Little Hereford GPC	Notes on advertising also apply here.	Press releases and public notices are issued on a regular basis to update people on the plan process and on development control matters. Statutory public notices will always be printed, however, with press articles there is no guarantee that the press will print the article. Planning Services have used the Herefordshire Association of Local Councils to distribute information to Town/Parish Councils or have written direct to parishes with information on planning matters which the Town/Parish Council could then include in local parish newsletters. In addition consideration could be given to providing Town/Parish Councils with same site notices to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Town/Parish Council felt appropriate. Town/Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend section 9 and paragraph 11.18 of the SCI to include reference to Town/Parish/Village notice boards.
95	SCI 384/D	Luston Group Parish Council	Please use parish notice boards.	Consideration could be given to providing Town/Parish Councils with some site notices to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Town/Parish Council felt appropriate. Town Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend section 9 and paragraph 11.18 of the SCI to include reference to Town/Parish/Village notice boards.
96	SCI 198/D	Kings Thorn Residents Group	Regarding "significant" planning applications as well as the government definition county Hereford council also make room for community members to say what they feel is significant ie if you received a petition from say 50 or more people?	A general definition is provided at paragraph 11.7 of the SCI. However detailed guidelines could/should be developed.	No change
97	SCI 85/D	National Farmers Union	No	Comments noted	No change
98	SCI 383/D	Cycle Hereford	Current method is inadequate in Hereford City - due to parish status. Community/resident associations must be inc as statutory consultees (county wide). Cycle Hereford should be a statutory consultee for all apps within city boundary.	They cannot be statutory consultees. We can agree to notify other bodies. Any group should make a formal request for notification and explain the range/type of application on which they are interested	No change
99	SCI 77/D	Little Birch Parish Council	Yes planning staff to attend parish council meetings when comments are required from the pc concerning contentious applications.	Parish Council's need to retain their independence and Planning Officers cannot be seen to be expressing a view on an application at an early stage nor to be influencing the Parish Council in their response. Officers are happy to attend Parish Council's to discuss general planning issues.	No change
100	SCI 380/D	Linton(s) Parish Council	No just make sure parish councils are advised in good time and not as I found recently - Ross Gazette advised Monday parish council advised Friday!	Comments noted. The regulations set consultation periods and therefore cannot be extended in this SCI.	No change
101	SCI 311/D	CPRE (PLAN Network)	Site notices to be additionally posted by parish clerks on community notice boards centrally placed in settlements.	Consideration could be given to providing Town/Parish Councils with some site notices to place in suitable off-site (but within locality) locations which the Town/Parish Council felt appropriate. Town Parish Councils should be encouraged to be a local voice.	Amend section 9 and paragraph 11.18 of the SCI to include reference to Town/Parish/Village notice boards.
102	SCI 284/D	Bromyard and Winslow Town Council	Representative from town/parish councils allowed to speak at site meetings. Always ask to comment on applications in adjoining parishes.	The current procedure is set out in the Council's Constitution and is not a matter for the SCI	No change
103	SCI 379/D	St James and Bartonsham Community Assoc	All community and resident assoc should be consulted (in addition to parish level). Their comments should form part of statutory decision making process.	They cannot be statutory consultees. We can agree to notify other bodies. Any group should make a formal request for notification and explain the range/type of application on which they are interested.	No change
104	SCI 378/D	Linton Parish Council	Send out your letters giving more time for people to reply. At moment only 3 days notice. Make sure plans etc are with the local councils in time to reply. At moment come in after one meeting and the reply has to be in before the next meeting.	Comments noted. The regulations set consultation periods and therefore cannot be extended in this SCI.	No change
105	SCI 376/D	Herefordshire Council	Yes where site inspections are called by committee an objector and a supporter should be allowed to address the committee on site regarding relevant issues about the site in question and its immediate environ.	The current procedure is set out in the Council's Constitution and is not a matter for the SCI	No change
106	SCI 324/D	Friends of the Earth Herefordshire	See comment above re Local Area Forums. LAFs would be especially relevant in the context of controversial planning applications. Pleas'd plans are available on line. Objections and any statements in support of planning applications should also be made online. The deciding factors on which a planning application is determined should be clearly set out in the decision or in the recommendation to Councillors on the determination.	Within the statutory timescale it would be difficult to include the LAF now called Community Forum. We are improving our on line service. The issues are clearly set out as suggested	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
107	SCI 388/D	Pixley and District Parish Council	Public debate is stifled. Concern over the rules covering speaking at committees. Do not agree that the nine minutes all round is either fair or adequate. In addition need more information on what does/ does not constitute a material planning consideration.	Do not agree. Advice on what is a material planning consideration is given in Appendix 5 and on line.	No change
108	SCI 146/D	Leominster Shop mobility	Add Leominster Journal to your list of newspapers	Agree with suggested amendment to Para 11.17	Amend Para 11.17 to include reference to the Leominster Journal

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Question 9 : The list of consultees in Appendix 2 identifies the organisations that we will consult with on planning issues. We are continuing to develop our database of contacts. Are there any other organisations that should be included on our list?				
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
3	SCI 336/D	CPRE	Regeneration Partnerships	It is the intention to list all regeneration partnerships in appendix 2. A check will be made and where necessary the list will be added to.	Amend appendix 2 if necessary to include all regeneration partnerships
4	SCI 309/D	Mr G N Rose, Resigned from Wellington Heath Parish Council	Wellington Heath Parish Council, Shaw Homes LTH	The Town/Parish Council list will be deleted from appendix 2 and will be replaced by a statement that all Town/Parish Councils in the County will be consulted on planning matters. Add Shaw Homes as requested.	Delete the list of Town/Parish Councils from appendix 2 and replace with a statement that all Town/Parish Councils in the County will be consulted on planning matters. Add Shaw Homes as requested to appendix 2.
5	SCI 155/D	Mr A Morris, Estate Agents and Auctioneers	Herefordshire Sports Council, C/O Bryan White, Tower Road, Hereford	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested
6	SCI 52/D	Chamber of Commerce	Please remove Ian Jones Business Link West Mercia and replace with Christine Jones	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested
7	SCI 13/D	Goodrich and Welsh Bicknor Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
8	SCI 338/D	Belmont Rural Website	See response to question 1 . It is proposed to make reference to Youth Clubs and many local organisations are already listed in appendix 2	See response to question 1	See response to question 1. Amend appendix 2 to refer to local youth clubs under the Local heading.
9	SCI 72/D	Belmont Rural Parish Plan Coordinator	Seems complete but too long for detailed check. I am sure that anyone left out will tell you	Comment noted	No change
10	SCI 340/D	BRB (Residuary) Ltd	DfT Rail (formerly Strategic Rail Authority). Also the following LDF consultees are now amalgamated into one company, namely BRB (Residuary) Ltd: British Railways Board (appendix 2 national and appendix 3) Rail Property Ltd (appendix 2 national and appendix 3) Strategic Rail Authority (appendix 2 national) British Rail Property Board (Midland Region) (appendix 2 regional)	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested
11	SCI 341/D	Arkwright Owens	No (but there must be some)	Comment noted	No change
12	SCI 342/D	ABLE (Information and advice)	DIAL is now ABLE (Information and advice) – Please amend database	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested
13	SCI 343/D	Environment Ambition Group	Environment Ambition Group. Lots of names will change soon: English Nature to become Natural England and the Ambition Groups look set to become a thing of the past.	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested
14	SCI 344/D	Workmatch Ltd	DIAL is now ABLE (Information and advice) – Please amend database	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested
15	SCI 197/D	Colwall Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
16		English Nature	Please note that English Nature should be referred to in Appendix 2 rather than the Royal Commission.	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested
17	SCI 24/D	Kington History Society	No	Comment noted	No change
18	SCI 220/D	Brock Planning Consultancy	Add Brock Planning Consultancy to the list of Developers and Businesses	Brock Planning Consultancy is already listed with the other Developers and Businesses	No change
19	SCI 139/D	ECHO	Add to appendix and database: The People's Union, Advocacy house, East Street, Hereford Social Housing providers eg Advance Housing, Marches Housing Association	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested. Also check that all Housing Associations are listed and make amendments as necessary.	Make addition and amendments to appendix 2 as requested
20					
21					
22	SCI 352/D	Brecon Beacons National Park Authority	No	Comment noted	No change
23	SCI 351/D	Ledbury and District Access Group	Add to appendix - Local consultee: Ledbury and District Access Group, Salters Yard, Bye Street, Ledbury, HR8 2AA	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested
24	SCI 350/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Voluntary Sector Assembly – including the list of churches as advertised in the Hereford Times	The Voluntary Sector Assembly are identified as a consultee in Appendix 2 of the SCI	No change
25	SCI 349/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	Cabinet Member (Resources) for any application involving council owned property	Herefordshire Council is listed in Appendix 2.	No change
26	SCI 232/D	Yarkhill Parish Council	Lugg Drainage Board	The River Lugg Internal Drainage Board is already listed in Appendix 2 under Local organisations	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
27	SCI 355/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	9.6 Consulting Town and Parish Councils. You do not say or explain what you are looking for by this consultation. You are not looking for approval or none approval so be helpful by giving example and a suggested response.	The purpose of this consultation is to gather more information to improve the SCI before the next round of consultation which will be more formal ie a support or object response form. This approach is in line with the new planning system and as laid out in section 10 of the SCI. The questionnaire approach was trying to be helpful in guiding people through the document providing useful comments which could be analysed and responded to.	No change
28	SCI 356/D	Pipe and Lyde Parish Council	No	Comment noted	No change
29	SCI 92/D	Michael Latchem and Associates LTD	Not Known	Comment noted	No change
30	SCI 258/D	Member of the Public	Please add Bosbury Parish Council	The Town/Parish Council list will be deleted from appendix 2 and will be replaced by a statement that all Parish Councils in the County will be consulted on planning matters.	Delete the list of Town/Parish Councils from appendix 2 and replace with a statement that all Town/Parish Councils in the County will be consulted on planning matters.
31	SCI 280/D	Much Cowarne Group Parish Council	Cant think of anything	Comment noted	No change
32	SCI 191/D	Planning Advisor to Offas Dyke Association	ODA is pleased to be listed within 'local consultees' and would welcome consultations on any planning issues which might affect the National Trail and its environs.	Comment noted	No change
33	SCI 357/D	DEFRA	Regional – Replace English Nature with Natural England (West Midlands), Local – Replace English Nature with Natural England and add Farm Wildlife Advisory Group (FWAG) and under Herefordshire Partnership add Parish Councils including Bosbury. Delete FRCA, the Farming and Rural Conservation Agency, and the DEFRA contact of Mr David Rayner at the Bristol office. All DEFRA consultations will be dealt with by the Government Office.	The Town/Parish Council list will be deleted from appendix 2 and will be replaced by a statement that all Town/Parish Councils in the County will be consulted on planning matters. Make other amendments to appendix 2 as requested	Delete the list of Town/Parish Councils from appendix 2 and replace with a statement that all Town/Parish Councils in the County will be consulted on planning matters. Make other amendments to appendix 2 as requested
34	SCI 133/D	Morrison's Supermarkets	No	Comment noted	No change
35	SCI 245/D	Member of the Public	It will not be adequate to confine consultation to a few groups who fail to represent the vast majority of the (silent) population. For this to be taken seriously you've got to show how you will consult most (say 75%) of the residents.	The Council has to operate within its resources and has therefore identified a broad range of groups and organisations to consult with. In addition consultations will be publicised in the press and media and through newsletters where possible eg Herefordshire Matters which is delivered to everyone in the County	No change
36	SCI 358/D	Member of the Public	No	Comment noted	No change
37	SCI 123/D	Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Group Parish Council	The list seems very comprehensive	Comment noted	No change
38	SCI 282/D	Ledbury Town Council	No	Comment noted	No change
39	SCI 359/D	Eardisland Parish Council	Eardisland Parish Council, Kingspan	Add Kingspan to list of businesses in appendix 2. The Town/Parish Council list will be deleted from appendix 2 and will be replaced by a statement that all Town/Parish Councils in the County will be consulted on planning matters.	Delete the list of Town/Parish Councils from appendix 2 and replace with a statement that all Town/Parish Councils in the County will be consulted on planning matters. Add Kingspan to list of businesses in appendix 2.
40	SCI 137/D	Access for All	No	Comment noted	No change
41			Sustrans: West Midlands Office,	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested
42	SCI 360/D	SUSTRANS	<u>3rd Floor, George Nott House, 119 Holloway Road, Birmingham, B11 0P, Tel 0121 6311733 email perminderb@sustrans.org.uk,</u>		
43			Sustrans HQ, National Cyclist Network Centre, 2, Cathedral Square, Bristol, Bs1 5DD, Tel 0117 9268893 Fax 0117 9294173, website sustrans.org.uk		
44			1. You may delete 'Fownhope planning and UDP group' since their activity has been subsumed by the Fownhope Residents Association	Make deletion to appendix 2 as requested	Make deletion to appendix 2 as requested

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
45	SCI 261/D	Fownhope Residents Association	2. We find it odd that Fownhope Parish Council is not included, surely it should be	The Town/Parish Council list will be deleted from appendix 2 and will be replaced by a statement that all Town/Parish Councils in the County will be consulted on planning matters.	Delete the list of Town/Parish Councils from appendix 2 and replace with a statement that all Town/Parish Councils in the County will be consulted on planning matters.
46	SCI 205/D	Almeley Parish Plan	None of your document defines 'community' but your list of organisations is not representative of the population of the County. One large part (40%) consists of governmental bodies and public utilities. No doubt you must consult these, but they serve the community, they are not part of it. Another larger part (30%) seems to be commercial firms, developers and their agents. Again, their views are important, but they represent those who the Plan will need to control, and their views are not necessarily those of the community in whose name the Plan operates. The result of consultation with these two groups should be shown separately from results of consultation with the public at large.	All those listed in appendix 2 have an important part to play in planning the future of the County. In addition to Town/Parish Councils a long list of local organisations is also included providing opportunities for local people to have their say. In addition individual members of the public are registered on the SCI database. Consultation has to be balanced against available resources and it is considered that the SCI has struck an acceptable and achievable balance on consultation.	No change
47	SCI 211/D	Tetlow King	Supports the Pre - submission SCI in principle and wishes to be consulted at all stages of the LDF process. They request that the West Midlands Planning Consortium is included at appendix 2 in the list of consultees.	Comments noted. Make addition to appendix 2 as requested	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested
48	SCI 95/D	River Lugg Internal Drainage Board	Please note that Grantham Brundell and Farran referred to in the list of consultees were merged with JBA Consulting at the end of 2004 and this will need to be amended. Otherwise no other comments on the SCI.	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested
49	SCI 190/D	English Heritage	Sent details of English Heritage's general guidance on the production of SCI and recommendations of the historic environment sector. Seek that an amendment is made to appendix 2 that English Heritage should be referred to rather than the Royal Commission.	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested
50	SCI 364/D	CTC Right to Ride, Ledbury Area	CTC, Surrey address. CTC is the UK and Ireland's largest and longest established national cycling membership organisation with a membership of over 70,000 individuals. Has a well developed network which helps inform the local debate over cycling issues (re planning and highway matters).	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested
51	SCI 299/D	Shropshire County Council	Appendix 3 - should include Shropshire County Council	Make addition to appendix 3 as requested	Make addition to appendix 3 as requested
52	SCI 361/D	D2 Planning Ltd	Crest Strategic Projects, Weybridge and D2 Planning Ltd, Bristol	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested
53	SCI 83/D	Woodland Trust	The Woodland Trust is pleased to be included in the 'List of proposed LDF consultees in Appendix 2 to be consulted on planning policies in the development of the LDF.	Comments noted.	No change
54	SCI 91/D	Bartestree with Lugwardine Parish Council	No	Comments noted.	No change
55	SCI 366/D	Collier and Brain Ltd	I have had enough time to consider but I doubt the list needs to be extended.	Comments noted	No change
56	SCI 367/D	Leominster Town Council	No	Comments	No change
57	SCI 368/D	Herefordshire Carers Support	Yes - Herefordshire Carers Support on issues which affect family carers and young carers and the people they care for.	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested
58	SCI 218/D	Kings Acre Residents Association	Not known	Comments noted	No change
59	SCI 370/D	Stagecoach in South Wales	Under Herefordshire Partnership contacts, please rename Stage Coach Red and White to Stagecoach in South Wales.	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested
60	SCI 372/D	Leintwardine Group Parish Council	It is possible that such a long list of consultees could be counter productive. Rather than adding, some pruning might be more useful. The main crux is local consultation.	The SCI seeks to include as many relevant bodies, groups and local organisations as is needed to ensure that it is representative. Not all those on the database will be consulted at all times. The list forms a base from which organisations will be identified for consultation depending on the subject of the development plan document being prepared.	No change
61	SCI 194/D	Sport England	Physical Activity Network - West Midlands - Contact Suzanne Gardner. 0781 214 3615. Herefordshire and Worcestershire County Sports Partnership - Contact Steve Brewster 07967 052989.	Make amendments to appendix 2 as requested	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested
62	SCI 373/D	Hfids and Worcs Earth Heritage Trust	Hfids and Worcs Earth Heritage Trust. The Trust is already consulted about planning applications which affect geology, landscape and RIGS (regionally important geological and geomorphologic sites) but is not included on p 46 in the list of consultees. This will become more important with PPS9 and the Herefordshire LGAP (local geodiversity action plan) which is being set up.	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested
63	SCI 59/D	RPS on behalf of Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd	Include our client Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd in appendix 2	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested	Make addition to appendix 2 as requested

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
64			Would like to stress the need for Herefordshire Council's commitment to early consultation on each application	Comment noted	No change
65	SCI 291/D	Pembridge Parish Council	A useful method of consulting people about nearby proposals would be a half a page flyer with basic information on it.	Planning Services have used the Herefordshire Association of Local Councils to distribute information to Town/Parish Councils or have written direct to parishes with information on planning matters which the Town/Parish Council could then include in local parish newsletters. The use of newsletters is identified as community involvement technique in section 9 of the SCI.	No change
66			It is the Parish Council's view that the term significant developments be reviewed. Any development of more than £1/2 million should require the developer to inform the Parish Council at least 28 days before lodging the application so that it can get the response of local people. Herefordshire Council could also meet the PC within this timescale.	A general definition of 'significant' is provided at paragraph 11.7 of the SCI. However detailed guidelines could/should be developed. Response times to consultations are laid out in statutory regulations and cannot therefore be extended in this SCI.	No change
67	SCI 30/D	Brimfield and Little Hereford GPC	Neighbouring parishes - who are not of the county but which the development may considerably affect.	The SCI in its appendix 2 includes neighbouring parish and local authorities in its list of consultees for the preparation of Local Development Documents.	No change
68	SCI 384/D	Luston Group Parish Council	No	Comments noted	No change
69	SCI 198/D	Kings Thorn Residents Group	All residents groups or associations.	The Council has to operate within its resources. There are too many community/residents associations to list then all. It is considered that the list at Appendix 2 provides a good representation of local people.	No change
70	SCI 85/D	National Farmers Union	The Farming and Rural Conservation Agency were replaced by the Rural Development Service when MAFF became DEFRA (Appendix 2). English Nature, Countryside Agency and DEFRA Rural Development Service will soon become Natural England.	Make amendments to appendix 2 as requested	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested
71	SCI 383/D	Cycle Hereford	All community/resident association.	The Council has to operate within its resources. There are too many community/residents associations to list then all. It is considered that the list at Appendix 2 provides a good representation of local people.	No change
72	SCI 381/D	Mervyn Bufton	The Minister School, Leominster. The growing number of religious organisations that provide infant care centres.	Make amendments to appendix 2 as requested	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested
73	SCI 380/D	Linton(s) Parish Council	No you have too many already, on page 38 which is 'resigned from Wellington Parish Council'?	The SCI needs to strike a balance between its available resources and a comprehensive inclusive consultation database. It is considered that the SCI has the balance right.	No change
74	SCI 284/D	Bromyard and Winslow Town Council	Bromyard swimming pool trust. DRM Coaches - Barry Bufton Estate Agent. Bromyard local history society. Beaumonts solicitors. Polytec Holden. Local schools. All industrialists.	Make most amendments to appendix 2 as requested, however, it is not possible to consult all industrialists and schools within available resources.	Make most amendments to appendix 2 as requested, however, it is not possible to consult all industrialists and schools within available resources.
75	SCI 379/D	St James and Bartonsham Community Assoc	All community/resident association.	The Council has to operate within its resources. There are too many community/residents associations to list then all. It is considered that the list at Appendix 2 provides a good representation of local people.	No change
76	SCI 376/D	Herefordshire Council	Leominster and District Community Assoc, School Road, Leominster. Hereford RNIB College, Venns Lane, Hfd.	Make amendments to appendix 2 as requested. The Blind college is already mentioned at appendix 2.	Make amendments to appendix 2 as requested
77	SCI 388/D	Pixley and District Parish Council	I didn't see any second. There are a lot of ex-military personnel retired to the county.	It is hoped and expected that these people would get involved in the Council's normal consultation processes.	No change
78	SCI 206/D	Cluttons	Amend Church Commissioners to Church Commissioners for England	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested	Make amendment to appendix 2 as requested

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Question 10 : How clear is this document in setting out how the Council intends to engage the community in the planning process? a) The preparation of planning policy documents b) Planning applications				
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
3	SCI 335/D	CPRE	a) Adequate b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
4	SCI 309/D	Mr G N Rose, Resigned from Wellington Heath Parish Council	a) Fairly clear b) Not very clear due to reasons explained in question responses to question 6, 7 and 8.	Comments noted	No change
5	SCI 155/D	Mr A Morris, Estate Agents and Auctioneers	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
6	SCI 297/D	Bulmers	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
7	SCI 26/D	George Wimpey	a) Adequate b) Adequate	Comments noted	No change
8	SCI 209/D	Arrow Valley Residents Association	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
9	SCI 52/D	Chamber of Commerce	a) Not very clear b) adequate	Comments noted	No change
10	SCI 13/D	Goodrich and Welsh Bicknor Parish Council	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
11	SCI 3/D	Ledbury and District Civic Society	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
12	SCI/63/D	Voluntary Sector Assembly	a) Adequate b) Adequate	Comments noted	No change
13	SCI 338/D	Belmont Rural Website	a) Adequate b) Adequate	Comments noted	No change
14	SCI 72/D	Belmont Rural Parish Plan Coordinator	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
15	SCI 339/D	Collins Engineering	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
16	SCI 88/D	General Aviation Awareness Council	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
17	SCI 340/D	BRB (Residuary) Ltd	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
18	SCI 341/D	Arkwright Owens	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
19	SCI 48/D	Ross Community Development Group	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
20	SCI 342/D	ABLE (Information and advice)	a) Adequate b) Adequate	Comments noted	No change
21	SCI 343/D	Environment Ambition Group	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
22	SCI 64/D	Lafarge Aggregates Ltd	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
23	SCI 344/D	Workmatch Ltd	a) Adequate b) Adequate	Comments noted	No change
24	SCI 345/D	Age Concern Leominster and District	a) Adequate b) Adequate	Comments noted	No change
25	SCI /346/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	a) Adequate b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
26	SCI 296/D	Breinton Parish Council	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
27	SCI 197/D	Colwall Parish Council	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
28	SCI 347/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
29	SCI 24/D	Kington History Society	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
30	SCI 220/D	Brock Planning Consultancy	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
31	SCI 139/D	ECHO	a) Adequate b) Adequate – too much text	Comments noted	No change
32	SCI 352/D	Brecon Beacons National Park Authority	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
33	SCI 351/D	Ledbury and District Access Group	a) Adequate b) Adequate	Comments noted	No change
34	SCI 350/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	a) Adequate b) Adequate	Comments noted	No change
35	SCI 349/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
36	SCI 16/D	Morris Bricknell	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
37	SCI 12/D	Herefordshire Council Youth Service	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
38	SCI 232/D	Yarkhill Parish Council	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
39	SCI 272/D	Welsh Water	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
40	SCI 355/D	Herefordshire Council Councillor	a) Adequate b) Adequate	Comments noted	No change
41	SCI 356/D	Pipe and Lyde Parish Council	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
42	SCI 238/D	Hereford Civic Society	a) Not very clear b) Not very clear	Comments noted	No change
43	SCI 92/D	Michael Latchem and Associates LTD	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
44	SCI 258/D	Member of the Public	a) Not very clear b) Not very clear	Comments noted	No change
45	SCI 280/D	Much Cowarne Group Parish Council	a) Not at all clear b) Not at all clear	Comments noted	No change
46	SCI 189/D	Fownhope Local History Group	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
47	SCI 191/D	Planning Advisor to Offas Dyke Association	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
48	SCI 357/D	DEFRA	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
49	SCI 136/D	Hereford Travellers Support Group	a) Adequate b) Fairly Clear	Comments noted	No change
50	SCI 133/D	Morrison's Supermarkets	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
51	SCI 245/D	Member of the Public	a) Not very clear b) Not very clear	Comments noted	No change
52	SCI 358/D	Member of the Public	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
53	SCI 123/D	Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Group Parish Council	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
54	SCI 282/D	Ledbury Town Council	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
55	SCI 359/D	Eardisland Parish Council	a) Adequate b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
56	SCI 137/D	Access for All	a) Very clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
57	SCI 360/D	SUSTRANS	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
58	SCI 261/D	Fownhope Residents Association	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
59	SCI 205/D	Almeley Parish Plan	a) Not very clear, b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
60	SCI 364/D	CTC Right to Ride, Ledbury Area	a) Fairly clear b) Adequate	Comments noted	No change
61	SCI 229/D	Shropshire County Council	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
62	SCI 256/D	Llangrove Village Voice	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
63	SCI 363/D	Duchy of Cornwall	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
64	SCI 361/D	D2 Planning Ltd	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
65	SCI 188/D	Herefordshire Nature Trust	a) Adequate b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
66	SCI 91/D	Bartestree with Lugwardine Parish Council	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
67	SCI 366/D	Collier and Brain Ltd	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
68	SCI 367/D	Leominster Town Council	a) Adequate b) Adequate	Comments noted	No change
69	SCI 368/D	Herefordshire Carers Support	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
70	SCI 218/D	Kings Acre Residents Association	a) Not very clear b) Not very clear	Comments noted	No change
71	SCI 370/D	Stagecoach in South Wales	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
72	SCI 303/D	Llangarron Parish Council	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
73	SCI 372/D	Leintwardine Group Parish Council	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
74	SCI 194/D	Sport England	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
75	SCI 373/D	Hfids and Worcs Earth Heritage Trust	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
76	SCI 387/D	Kings Caple Parish Plan Steering Group	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
77	SCI 30/D	Brimfield and Little Hereford GPC	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
78	SCI 59/D	RPS Planning	a) Adequate b) Adequate	Comments noted	No change
79	SCI 384/D	Luston Group Parish Council	a) Not very clear b) adequate	Comments noted	No change
80	SCI 198/D	Kings Thorn Residents Group	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
81	SCI 85/D	National Farmers Union	a) Fairly clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
82	SCI 383/D	Cycle Hereford	a) Adequate b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
83	SCI 382/D	Tarrington Parish Council	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
84	SCI 77/D	Little Birch Parish Council	a) Adequate b) Adequate	Comments noted	No change
85	SCI 381/D	Mervyn Bufton	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
86	SCI 380/D	Linton(s) Parish Council	a) Very clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
87	SCI 311/D	CPRE (PLAN Network)	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
88	SCI 284/D	Bromyard and Winslow Town Council	a) not very clear	Comments noted	No change
89	SCI 379/D	St James and Bartonsham Community Assoc	a) Not very clear b) adequate	Comments noted	No change
90	SCI 378/D	Linton Parish Council	a) Not very clear b) Not very clear	Comments noted	No change
91	SCI 377/D	Whitbourne Parish Council	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
92	SCI 376/D	Herefordshire Council	a) Fairly clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
93	SCI 324/D	Friends of the Earth Herefordshire	a) Very clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change
94	SCI 388/D	Pixley and District Parish Council	a) Fairly clear b) Very clear	Comments noted	No change
95	SCI 146/D	Leominster Shopmobility	a) Fairly clear b) Fairly clear	Comments noted	No change

	A	B	C	D	E		
1	Question 11 : If you feel that the document is not clear, please could you indicate which points or sections are unclear and suggest how we could make improvements?						
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation		
3	SCI 335/D	CPRE	Acronyms and Simplify the sentences eg notify and pre warn.	It is not possible to remove all acronyms as these are key terms in the planning process and need to be referred to. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.		
4	SCI 52/D	Chamber of Commerce	A lot of jargon, but a best attempt has been made.	Comments noted. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.		
5	SCI 338/D	Belmont Rural Website	It's a very long document. Perhaps it needs to be. Why not consider an initial page which summarises the highlights in very short sentences?	Comments noted. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.		
6	SCI 345/D	Age Concern Leominster and District	Less use of acronyms	It is not possible to remove all acronyms as these are key terms in the planning process and need to be referred to. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended Glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.		
7	SCI 139/D	ECHO	The amount of text makes this rather daunting	Comments noted. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary		
8	SCI 16/D	Morris Bricknell	So comprehensive and detailed wonder if general person in the street will find it all too much.	Comments noted. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.		
9	SCI 12/D	Herefordshire Council Youth Service	Hard Subject – but keep as short as possible	Comments noted. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary		
10	SCI 238/D	Hereford Civic Society	Tighten up the document and make it less wordy – see enclosed letter.	Comments noted. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary		
11			Initial Consultation Report	The Hereford Civic Society is listed in appendix 2 as a consultee under Local organisations. This means that the Society will be consulted on all Development Plan Documents which are related to the work and interests of the Civic Society.	No change		
12			Page 9/10 table 2 – other options. Most of these options are important, particularly Agriculture, Rural environment and commercial development and if they had been included in the original consultation would have produced interesting responses on such items as polytunnels, transport and commercial developments. How do we ensure we are consulted in future on particular items?				
13			Page 11/12 para 4.23 all these points are valid and need to be taken account of in the SCI.			Wherever possible and where resources permit the SCI has been drafted to take on board many of the views and comments gained from the initial consultation	No change
14			Presubmission Draft			section 6 of the SCI is explaining a very important point and that is that the SCI is not a stand alone document and that it needs to complement and integrate with other strategies that are being produced and the SCI provides a short list of some of these documents. These strategies will play a major influence on LDD development within the County.	No change
15			Page 10 para 6.2 this refers to the Herefordshire Council Strategy Documents which is too woolly and vague and do not form a valid basis.				The planning system only deals with land use issues. However land use issues relate to all the things listed in the consultation response hence the need for the LDF to have close links with other strategies being produced so an overall picture is developed.
16			Page 11 para 6.5 the LDF succeeds the UDP on land use matters. Feel that other factors such as economics, transport, attracting inward investment should also feature in this type of document.			The Council web site is already mentioned within Table 1.	No change
17			Page 17/18 Table 1. Need user friendly web site where updates can be easily viewed.			Comments noted. Councillors have a very important role to play in representing the views of local people. They do have to operate under a code of conduct.	No change
18			Page 18 Agree role of Councillors however concern over codes regarding declaration of interests and participation in debate which inhibit Councillors from being properly involved in planning matters.			Comments noted. Resources are a key issue and the SCI needs to work within these available resources.	No change
19			Page 19 para 9.12 Need adequate resources to make the system work			At present the only definition of "Significant" is given in the SCI itself. There is a case for developing guidelines. Once the SCI is in effect then applications can be turned away if they do not comply with its requirements.	No change
20			Page 27 paras 11.7 and 11.9 who defines significant? Developers are expected to consult. What if they do not do so? Will this affect the view of the planners in examining the application?			Comments noted. Statutory regulations control the advertisement of planning applications.	No change
21			Page 28 paras 11.15 and 11.16. Publicity. Need to be clear which notices appear in which paper and with their legal format they are not user friendly			The Civic Society is not a statutory consultee. Appendix 3 has been reviewed. The list is not definitive.	Amend appendix 3 to show Statutory consultees only.
22	Appendix 3 Statutory consultees need to add Hereford Civic Society						

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
23	SCI 258/D	Member of the Public	Found this whole document very hard to read. It is full of acronyms, which are similar to one another. It is repetitive. It refers to various things without explaining what they are. Para 2.8 is a good example – what does it mean?	It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public. In respect of paragraph 2.8 the regulations specify that each development plan document needs to be accompanied by a sustainability appraisal which checks to see that the content of the document is contributing to the overall aims of sustainable development. A definition of a Sustainability Appraisal is provided at the glossary in appendix 1.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.
24	SCI 280/D	Much Cowarne Group Parish Council	I think the document needs to be simpler more direct and use normal language. The two documents (Pre-submission draft) and Initial consultation report are very voluminous and confusing. I think they are unnecessarily complicated.	It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.
25	SCI 133/D	Morrison's Supermarkets	Change para 11.9 to 'Where a proposed planning application is identified as in the 'significant' category the Council will expect encourage the following actions by the intended applicants.' This will bring the SCI in line with the Companion guide to PPS12.	To give some kind of support to pre application discussions and in the interests of sound planning applications the Council would expect this to happen.	No change
26	SCI 245/D	Member of the Public	I suggest you engage a public communication consultant to advise how to get the principles across without jargon or planning speak. It's a non trivial but vital exercise to ensure that every document seen by the public is written for widespread consumption.	It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public. The SCI has been prepared with assistance from a working group including the Council's Community Involvement Coordinator to try and make the final document user friendly.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.
27	SCI 358/D	Member of the Public	See comment to question 5a.	See response to question 5a	See response to question 5a
28	SCI 359/D	Eardisland Parish Council	Use of plain English would make it much easier to follow.	It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.
29	SCI 137/D	Access for All	Do you have it in Braille, different languages and on talking tapes. Make it more accessible for all ages and abilities. No young people at the meeting a group of village elders.	The availability of key documents in a variety of formats is referred to at paragraph 9.11 of the SCI.	No change
30	SCI 261/D	Fownhope Residents Association	Just one small observation. In para 11.7 4th bullet point we believe the word 'conspicuous' is not needed. Any development in a sensitive area is likely to be conspicuous and lead to public involvement.	The word will remain in place and each development will be decided on its merit.	No change
31	SCI 205/D	Almeley Parish Plan	It does not say how you will get a response from the 150 or so rural parishes which do not seem to have replied to your initial questionnaire. It does not say how you will get a representative response from those of the public who are not actively interested in planning.	The Council has engaged Parish Councils early in this process and many have provided responses to the consultations on the SCI. The response rate is good.	No change
32			It does not say how you will evaluate the responses which you do not get.	Only valid representations which meet the standards set out in section 10 and in the relevant parts of section 11 can be taken into consideration.	No change
33			It is written in a bureaucratic style and presented in an un – attractive format which makes it difficult for ordinary readers to understand and discourages them from trying to do so.	It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.
34			It conveys the unintended impression that you are going through the obligatory motions without really wanting any massive community response to your consultation.	The involvement of the community in preparation of this SCI is very important and the response rate of 26% to the initial questionnaire was encouraging providing useful information which has been fed into this SCI. The list at Appendix 2 provides a comprehensive list of potential consultees.	No change
35			Write it in plainer English.	It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.
36	SCI 183/D	Herefordshire Council	The reference to the Council's Youth service and education Directorate on page 13 needs to be changed to Children's Services Directorate. Reference can also be made to the Youth Council and Shadow Partnership Board	Make amendments to Page 13 as requested	Make amendments to Page 13 as requested
37	SCI 300/D	National Trust	The National Trust owns property in the County and would like to discuss consultation on planning applications with the Council as there have been occasions when it has not been consulted on applications adjacent to its property. The Trust's property boundaries have been digitized onto GIS and the Trust would like to explore the possibility of supplying this information to Planning Services.	This may be worth considering - although there may be compatibility issues between the data.	No change
38	SCI 374/D	Early Year Development and Childcare Partnership (EYDCP)	7.15 table change education directorate to Children's Services	Make amendments as requested	Make amendments as requested
39			8.2 list Malvern Gazette	EYDCP has been added to the database and is represented by the Herefordshire Council entry in appendix 2.	Add EYDCP to SCI database
40			Add (EYDCP) to appendix 2		

	A	B	C	D	E
2	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
41			Add Malvern Gazette to Appendix 5	Agree suggested amendment	Make amendments as requested
42	SCI 363/D	Duchy of Cornwall	Anything to reduce written content and use of an acronyms would help. May be more diagrams in flow chart style with summaries of documents would help.	It is not possible to remove all acronyms as these are key terms in the planning process and need to be referred to. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition a Glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	No change
43	SCI 118/D	Herefordshire Council	Para 7.15 hard to reach groups. Use areas of deprivation or something similar. Identify areas where extra effort to engage people may be required. Para 11.17 add Leominster Journal. Appendix 1 under SPDs should parish plans be mentioned?	This is a good idea, however, these areas are covered by a regeneration partnership as with South Wye which are on the SCI database at appendix 2 as a consultee. Parish Plans are referred to at paragraph 6.1 of the SCI. Agree with suggested amendment to Para 11.17	Make amendments as requested to para 11.17
44	SCI 218/D	Kings Acre Residents Association	Better documentation -useful papers sometimes include. Ensure applicant supplies adequate map. Ensure papers can be understood by layman.	It is a common complaint from applicants that they are being asked to provide too much information! A balance needs to be struck.	No change
45	SCI 372/D	Leintwardine Group Parish Council	It is ok but crisp, clear sentences which are jargon free are always best. Councils love jargonistic titles which are often long windily politically correct which often obscure the true nature of what is being described.	It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.
46	SCI 204/D	Highways Agency	The Highways Agency welcomes being listed as a statutory consultee by the Council and supports the SCI's aim of promoting greater community involvement in the process. In addition the agency is keen to reiterate the importance of being involved in pre - planning application discussions.	Comments noted.	No change
47	SCI 174/D	Voluntary Sector Assembly	Seems to be a general lack of understanding as regards planning. It may well assist engagement with the process if all those contacted about planning matters were sent a simple explanatory booklet/leaflet about planning or links to other information. Costs of such a task are appreciated as well as how such information may be interpreted. Otherwise it is difficult to have effective engagement with people.	Comments noted. ODPM provide advice notes on the new planning process which may assist. In addition Appendix 7 provides useful contacts and section 9 refers to Planning Aid which provides free and independent planning advice and training to those individuals and community groups who cannot afford to pay consultant fees.	No change
48	SCI 375/D	CPRE	Para 6.2. If the Herefordshire Partnership and any material produced by it, including the Herefordshire Plan (to be renamed 'Herefordshire Community Strategy') is part of the process in this plan, then the exact status of the Partnership should be summarised here i.e. how the Partnership was funded, how the Plan is authorised, possible conflict with the SCI.	The SCI explains how, when and who will be consulted on planning matters. In addition it highlights the need for planning to feed in and relate to other strategies including the Herefordshire Plan. The SCI in paragraph 6.5 explains the relationship that the SCI has with the Herefordshire Plan. It is not considered necessary to go further in this document.	No change
49			Para 9.14/15 Table 1 and Appendix 2. The inclusion of Herefordshire Councillors and Parish/Town Councils alongside special interest groups such as developers, businesses and other interest groups should not be the case. It gives the impression that they are no more than an interest group. It should be made more explicit about the role of those listed above in representing the views of the whole community.	Each group identified has an important role to play in respect of planning matters. The SCI in table 1 identifies a variety of community involvement methods to be applied, paragraphs 9.14 and 9.15 explain the important role of elected Councillors which is required as part of the SCI guidance notes and the information supplied at appendix 2 is a key part of the SCI outlining the list of LDF consultees.	No change
50			Para 9.14/15 Herefordshire Councillors tend to get involved too late in the drafting of a document. There should be a more formal arrangement for notifying Councillors at the beginning of any consultation over a planning document and that they should be encouraged to consult within their wards	Elected Councillors who sit on planning committee and the Cabinet member for the Environment are kept well briefed on the preparation of planning documents. They are responsible for approving planning documents before they are subject to public consultation as well as their final formal adoption.	No change
51	SCI 386/D	GOWM	Para. 2.4 It would be helpful to include the Government's web address here	Comment noted	Amend para 2.4 to include Council Website address here
52			Para. 2.6 The AMR also sets out the LPA's performance in achieving the key milestones set in the LDS. This role should be included in the paragraph	Comment noted. This amendment has been made to paragraph 2.9 of the SCI .	Amend para 2.9 to include reference to the AMR
53			Diagram (page 9) DPD: The first box should refer to 'broad' issues and options rather than preferred options. DPDs and SPDs. The diagram would also benefit from reference to sustainability appraisals in relation to DPDs and SPDs.	Comment noted	Amend diagram on page 9 as requested
54			Diagram (page 9) Planning applications: It should be made clear that community engagement relates to all applications and not just those considered to be significant or major.	Comment noted and accepted. However the Companion guide to PPS 12 refers to the need to encourage community consultation at the pre application stage and this is what the diagram is trying to explain in a simple way.	No change
55			Appendix 3: It would be helpful to identify the 'specific' and 'general' consultees as defined in Annex 5 of PPS12. It would also help clarity to identify those Government Departments to be consulted through Government Office	Comment noted	Amend appendix 3 as requested
56	SCI 385/D	West Midlands Amenity Societies Association (West MASA)	Sections 8 & 9. Need a reference to Civic Societies in these sections.	Comment noted. The local civic societies have been included within the consultees lists in appendix 2.,	No change
57			Hereford Civic Society is omitted from Appendix 2 'local' list and similarly from appendix 3	Hereford Civic Society is included in this list page 39. The Society is not a statutory consultee that should be included in Appendix 3.	No change
58			Para 3.4. There should be a reference to Test 5 in the tests of Soundness in the SCI's role and purpose. I.e Methods of consultation to be employed must be suitable for the intended audience and for the different stages in the preparation of Local Development Documents (LDDs)	The SCI needs to met all of the tests of soundness. The SCI at section 9 provides a range of consultation methods that could be applied depending on the audience and the stage of production.	No change
59			Section 5. It is acknowledged that this section is in accordance with Test 5 in the tests of soundness.	Comment noted	No change

2	A	B	C	D	E
	Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Response	Recommendation
60	SCI 59/D	RPS on behalf of Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd	Section 6. We welcome the Council's acknowledgement of the importance of developing a clear effective relationship between the LDF and other Council Strategies and links to existing networks.	Comment noted	No change
61			Section 9. Para 9.9: The table fails to identify which of these methods is deemed most appropriate for consulting on a particular LDD or policy area. It is recommended therefore that a clear description of the varying methods to be used with particular LDDs and the different stages of each should be included within the SCI.	It would be premature to specify what methods of consultation will be implied for each LDD in the SCI. A combination of community involvement methods appropriate to the document being produced and its stage of preparation would be selected at the time.	No change
62			Para 9.11: The SCI fails to make clear how sufficient resources will be made available to manage wider resources on large planning applications. This needs further consideration.		
63			Para 12.5 Reference to appendix 8 should be amended to appendix 9.	Comment noted	Amend Para 12.5. Delete Appendix 8 and replace with Appendix 9
64	SCI 371/D	Upton Bishop Parish Council	Upton Bishop Parish Council have significant experience of having the views of the community in relation to planning applications ignored in the decision making process and of being informed of planning decisions at variance with the views and position of the local community. Please identify the relevant section of the pre-submission draft, the initial consultation report which provides an assurance that in future the expressed views of the local community will be seriously considered by the decision makers and will be a significant factor in the decision making process without such an assurance this whole process may well be no more than spin and window dressing.	Section 10 of the SCI sets out the consultation standards to be applied in the preparation of Development Plan Documents (DPDs). All DPDs will need a statement of compliance which will need to demonstrate that the consultation standards of the SCI have been met. Section 11 establishes the consultation approach for development control.	No change
65	SCI 30/D	Brimfield and Little Hereford GPC	11.26 amendments to applications are not always clear - highlight the words/parts that are to be amended. Following up decisions made - ensuring that permission granted is being carried out for those applications granted i.e. the points of the determinations are adhered to.	The Case Officer can readily explain the amendment to the Parish Council	No change
66	SCI 384/D	Luston Group Parish Council	A simpler document using plain English. A summary of the main points would be very helpful.	It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.
67	SCI 85/D	National Farmers Union	More feedback on the planning process is essential so that rural communities feel involved and engaged.	Comments noted. This is an important part of this SCI as identified in Sections 10 and 11 of the SCI.	No change
68	SCI 383/D	Cycle Hereford	KGP Enterprises listed under 'local' (p37) should be moved to developers/businesses (p43-45). Ditto Westbury Homes under 'regional'. It is not all clear how the consultation process will work - will everyone in appendix 2 be consulted on every application? If not how will selection be made and by whom? How does the council propose to ensure engagement is enduring and consistent in quality, given the apparent enormity of the process?	Amendments will be made to appendix 2 as requested. Paragraph 5.3 explains that the list at appendix 2 will be used as a guide to identifying the types of groups to involve and consult with dependent on the development plan being produced at that time. Section 9 of the SCI outlines the consultation methods that will be applied where appropriate.	Make amendments to appendix 2 as requested
69	SCI 380/D	Linton(s) Parish Council	I think you've done a great job, if anyone doesn't understand it (including the ODPM) that really is their problem not yours.	Comments noted and appreciated.	No change
70	SCI 311/D	CPRE (PLAN Network)	Ok you will have received suggestions on lightening up access to the process. The mail problem is access to actual individual planning applications. Travelling 14 miles to see copies exemplifies a major democratic deficit. So copies/drawings all libraries/communication centres widely/frequently publicised. Surgeries in Kington, Bromyard and somewhere central in DCSW, published. Aware of need for officers to take other works with them to get on with. If quiet laptops not heavy boxes.	Applications can now be viewed on the Council's website.	No change
71	SCI 284/D	Bromyard and Winslow Town Council	A lot of unnecessary jargon, too much use of abbreviations, repetitive, far too long.	It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.
72	SCI 379/D	St James and Bartonsham Community Assoc	Improve accountability in process of input and response. Include summarised responses, from all parties. Resourcing this process. How does the council propose to ensure that engagement is consistent in quality and sustained?	Accountability is clear and responses to consultations are summarised	No change
73	SCI 378/D	Linton Parish Council	Cut down the size of the documents and put in simpler language.	It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at appendix 1.
74	SCI 388/D	Pixley and District Parish Council	Afraid exhaustion has set in.	Comments noted	No change

A range of consultees have written back to say that they are supportive of the document and have no further comment to make this includes:

SCI 76/D - Advantage West Midlands

SCI 352/D – Malvern Hills AONB

SCI 362/D - First Great Western Link

SCI 333/D - Byways and Bridleways Trust

Appendix 11

23 February 2006 Workshop Attendees

Contact Name	Organisation
Mr B.C. Baldwin	Member of the public
Ms Ann Marshall	Member of the public
Mr Goodwin	Member of the public
Mr Esat Kurt	Member of the public
Mr Mel Lockie	Member of the public
Mr Chris Peers	ABLE Information & Advice
Mrs G Beresford	Almeley Parish Council
Mr B Pettit	Arrow Valley Residents Association
Cllr E Davies	Ashperton Parish Council
Mrs V Robinson	Belmont Rural Parish Council
Mr R Robinson	Belmont Voice
Cllr Patrick Shave	Bishop's Frome Parish Council
Cllr Patricia Morgan - Chairman	Bishop's Frome Parish Council
Mr Ronnie Mills	Bishopstone & District Group Parish Council
Mr Brian Merrick	Bishopstone & District Group Parish Council
Mr Barry Megson	Bishopstone & District Group Parish Council
Cllr David Tilford	Bodenham Parish Council
Mr Alan Geach	Brampton Abbots and Foy Parish Council
Mrs Brenda Pomfret	British Horse Society
Cllr Gill Churchill	Bromyard and Winslow Town Council
Mr Gary Woodman	Chamber of Commerce
Mr Christopher Knock	Christopher F Knock
Mr David Ward	CPRE
Mr Ian Jardin	CPRE
Mr Gill	Cradley Parish Council
Mr Adam West	DEFRA
Mr David Curtis	Duchy of Cornwall
Ms Moira Jenkins	Earth Heritage Trust
Miss Emma Lewis	Estate Dept Lidl UK
Mrs Liz Overstall	Ewyas Harold Group Parish Council
Mr Geoff Jones	Geoff Jones Architect
Mr R Hanson	Hatfield and District Group Parish Council
Mr C Price	Hatfield and District Group Parish Council
Mr. D. Price	Hereford Access Group & Pedestrian Forum
Cllr Martin Fellows	Hereford City Council
Ms Cynthia Spaul	Hereford City Partnership Ltd
Mr Garry Thomas	Hereford Civic Society
Mr John Faulkner	Hereford Civic Society
Mr Wally Thrush	Herefordshire & Gloucestershire Canal Trust
Mr C R Penny C Eng, FICE, MRTPI	Herefordshire & Gloucestershire Canal Trust
Ms Carol Trachonitis	Herefordshire Council
Ms Jane Thomas	Herefordshire Council

Ms Kim Hart	Herefordshire Council
Ms Ann Hyde	Herefordshire Council Community Youth Service
Ann Hyde Colleague	Herefordshire Council Community Youth Service
Mr Toni Fagan	Herefordshire Council, Market Town Support Officer
Mr Shane Smith	Herefordshire Council, Parish Liaison
Miss Helen Beale	Herefordshire Council, Property Services
Mr Mike Fry	Herefordshire Council, Welfare Rights
Mr Paul Towner	Herefordshire Homelessness Forum
Ms Susanna Piohtee	Herefordshire Housing
Ms Francesca Griffith	Herefordshire Nature Trust
Ms Jane Jones	Herefordshire Partnership, Programme Officer
Ms Helen Lee	Herefordshire Primary Care Trust
Ms Sue Brazendale	Herefordshire Voluntary Action
Mr M Wingfield	Housing Ambition Group
Mr Brian Richardson	Individual/Hfd Travellers Support Group/FoE/CPRE
Mr Brian Watkins	Kingsland Parish Council
Mrs K Barnes	Kington Town Council
Mr Peter Watts	Ledbury Town Council
Mr Keith Francis	Ledbury Town Council
Ms Marion Campbell	Ledbury Youth First
Mrs Valerie Hands	Leominster Civic Trust
Mr Robert Oliver	Leominster Civic Trust
Ms Sue Sharples	Library Reading Group
Ms Jane Oakley	Llangrove Village Voice
Mr Tim Hancox	Llangrove Village Voice
Mr D Freeman	Longtown Group Parish Council
Dr A Lauers	Longtown Group Parish Council
Ms Deborah Watts	Luston Group and Eardisland Parish Council
Ms Valerie Whittaker	Malvern Hills Conservators
Mr Gould	Marden Parish Council
Ms Kate Davies	McCartneys
Mr Gilbert	National Farmers Union
Ms Sarah Faulkner	National Farmers Union
Dr Janet Stevens	Ocle Pychard Group Parish Council
Mr Carl Mort	RPS Planning
Mr George Stoyan	South Shropshire Housing Association
Mr Sid Palmer	The Ramblers Association
Mrs Rosamund Skelton, Vice Chairman	The Ross on Wye & District Civic Society
Mr P J Anderson	The Ross on Wye & District Civic Society
Mr K Shilton	Weston-under-Penyard Parish Council
Mr Andrew Blake	Wye Valley AONB, Joint Advisory Committee

Appendix 12 Findings from the workshop event

Comment Made	Response	Recommendation ¹
General communication concerns		
Feeling that the views expressed will not be acted upon or that the Council does not appear to listen to what people say.	Under the regulations the Council is committed to meeting the standards of practice outlined in Chapter 10 and 11 of the document. Views of consultees are key to the process and the feedback given will provide important information on improving the SCI document. The consultation report provides a detailed documentation of the widespread consultation that has been undertaken while preparing the SCI along with tables which detail responses received from consultees along with an officer response and linked recommendation proposing changes or not to the SCI document. It is hoped that this approach demonstrates that the Council does value and has given significant consideration to the views that have been provided during the SCI consultation events.	No change
The planning system has an air of secrecy about it and it is difficult to know how to communicate effectively	The purpose of the SCI is to document how, when and who will be consulted on planning matters. The process has been laid out clearly in Chapters 10 and 11. This document has been prepared to overcome difficulties in how to communicate effectively with Planning Services. The Planning service will look at providing an educational opportunity for people to learn more about planning, for example assistance from West Midlands Planning.	No change
Clear references on access to information	On all future documents/notices, it will be made clear how information can be obtained. The usual methods will be via the website, a hard copy, and an electronic copy by email. Copies can also be produced in other languages and formats if advance warning is given. Chapter 9 of the SCI provides details on how information can be accessed.	No change

¹ Please note that the changes refer to the SCI document. Many comments made through the representations relate to matters outside the scope of this document. However, the Council does take these comments seriously and they will be dealt with as explained in our reasons.

Development Plans		
The time constraint for responding to consultations is insufficient. It is difficult to respond in the timescales particularly if documents are complex	Timescales are established by statutory regulations and cannot therefore be changed. However, the SCI looks to provide prior notification of consultation events to provide opportunity for any necessary arrangements to be put in place before the consultation period commences. The Council will endeavour to keep documents as clear and simple as possible.	No change
Use surgeries to publicise planning documents	Planning surgeries are held regularly to discuss development control matters. In addition local meetings, exhibitions are held to discuss development plan issues and these are usually arranged through the Town/Parish Council . In addition planning officers respond daily to general planning enquiries over the telephone. These methods of communicating are identified in Chapter 9 of the SCI.	Add Planning surgeries to the list of community involvement methods laid out in Chapter 9 of the SCI
Planning applications		
The current practice of neighbour notification by letter is insufficient	It is considered that the system of neighbour notification is sufficient with the use of individual letters and site notices.	No change
Insert maps and pictures into site notices	The information given on a Public notice is prescribed by Regulation. Further maps/pictures could possibly make them too crowded. Also copyright issues.	No change
Inconsistent advice on pre application consultation	The SCI sets out clearly the position on pre application consultation at paragraph 11.8 in line with the companion guide to PPS12.	No change
Not enough time for Parish Councils to consult residents	Timescales are established by statutory regulations and cannot be changed. Parishes definitely have a role in providing a local source of information close to applications. Consideration could be given to providing Parish Councils with same site notices to place in suitable off site (but within locality) locations which the Parish felt appropriate.	Amend Section 9 and paragraph 11.8 of the SCI to include reference to Parish/Village notice boards.
Danger of intimidation if personal names are revealed on some application objections	It is not possible to consider anonymous representations. An objection needs to be based on a person's perception of an issue with a new development. Many anonymous representations can be written by any one person or organisation and this would be an imbalance in view and is therefore an invalid representation.	No change
Parish Councils to receive	This is a good idea however it is not possible to do this at present. Plans need	No change

applications on CD or in an electronic format	to be printed off on A1 printers and most Parish Councils would not have access to one of these.	
Responses concerning methods of consultation		
The document could be reduced in size by being less wordy and full of jargon. It needs a summary in plain english.	Comments noted. It is proposed that an executive summary be included which will identify the key areas of the SCI and provide a simple summary of the SCI contents. In addition an extended glossary has been provided at Appendix 1 to define the technical terms. It is hoped that this will assist in making the document clearer to members of the public.	Include an executive summary and an extended glossary at Appendix 2.
The Website is an unreliable means of consultation	Use of the Council's website is growing. Improving and increasing web based communication is in line with the Government's E-government agenda. It is appropriate to use this method provided it is one of several types of consultation. In addition Chapter 9 provides information on other methods of consultation which will be used as appropriate so a range of methods are available to met all needs. Hard copies of documents will normally be available in libraries and Info Points around the County.	No change
The website information could be improved with more information	Use of the Council's website is growing. Improving and increasing web based communication is in line with the Government's E-government agenda. Chapter 9 of the SCI refers to the website as a way of publicising information. A useful and informative website for general questions on planning is the Planning Portal http://www.planningportal.gov.uk/	No Change
Additional consultation making use of local shops and notice boards etc.	Consideration could be given to providing Parish Councils with planning information to place in suitable locations which the Parish Council felt appropriate.	Amend paragraph 11.8 and Section 9 to reflect this.
Parish Councils should be provided with training and support to deal with the nature of some planning issues. This would enable them to contribute more effectively.	Paragraph 9.16 of the SCI provides information on the need for training and provides a contact for Planning Aid who offer free and independent advice and training on planning matters to groups like Parish Councils. Training is currently underway with Parish Councilsthrough HALC. This can be continued and furthered as and when required.	No change
Request individual feedback on detailed comments	Everyone on the LDF database will receive a copy of the Submission draft of the SCI and accompanying consultation report. The consultation report provides a detailed	No change

	documentation of the widespread consultation that has been undertaken while preparing the SCI along with tables which detail responses received from consultees along with an officer response and linked recommendation proposing changes or not to the SCI document.	
Hard to reach groups		
The hard to reach list includes a wide range of people with differing needs when it comes to consultation. How is the Council going to approach such groups.	The Council has considered the various needs of different groups and individuals. It considers that it has taken appropriate steps to make information available. When the time comes to consult these groups on either the development plan or a planning application then the Council will liaise with the relevant Council resources to discuss ways to involve people and initiate special arrangements. Links will be made to the Corporate Community Involvement Strategy and the Corporate Diversity Group. Table 7.15 of the SCI specifies approaches to be taken for each of the recognised hard to reach groups.	No change
More effort is required on engagement with young people. The SCI would not be read by most young people.	The SCI recognises children and young people as a hard to reach group at table 7.15 and identifies approaches that need to be taken to engage with these people. In addition the SCI is proposed for amendment to include details of the newly emerging Youth Council and youth clubs.	Amend Appendix 2 and paragraph 7.15 of the SCI to include attached methods of engagement with children and young people.

Appendix 13 Findings from the HALC meeting

Comment Made	Response	Recommendation ¹
Indication that there is a lack of feedback, especially from Development Control or on related matters regarding applications and the decision made and the reasons why a decision is made, especially when it is contrary to the views of the Parish Council. In essence they want more than just the decision	A decision will always be explained if specifically requested. All refusal notices are provided with an explanation. Applications granted consent refer to policies in the UDP and Adopted Area Plans. Copies of Committee reports are available online.	No change
Settlement boundaries and the consultation process involved with some of the amendments made in the UDP was highlighted as being deficient by one Parish Council and was felt that the changes 'just appeared'	A robust form of consultation and feedback was applied through the UDP process in line with the statutory regulations and throughout this process there was detailed consultation with Parish Councils	No change
The involvement of the Parish Council in Section 106 agreements was raised and can a Parish Council seek to negotiate with developers	This is not possible. These negotiations need to be undertaken by the planning officer. The Parish Council could usefully inform the Council of any needs that the local community may require which could be discussed through the planning obligation process. Parish Plans are also a useful means of expressing needs of a community.	No change
Concern raised over the pre application discussions and how that relates to Codes of Conduct	The SCI sets out clearly the position on pre application consultation at paragraph 11.8 in line with the companion guide to PPS12. Development Control	No change

¹ Please note that the changes refer to the SCI document. Many comments made through the representations relate to matters outside the scope of this document. However, the Council does take these comments seriously and they will be dealt with as explained in our reasons.

	Officers work to and internal Manual which informs them how of policy and practice should be carried out when dealing with planning applications.	
The timetabling of the consultation processes and how that fits in with Parish Councils, as some don't meet very regularly and then only have days or week or so to respond	Timescales are established by statutory regulations and cannot therefore be changed. However, the SCI looks to provide prior notification of consultation events to provide opportunity for any necessary arrangements to be put in place before the consultation period commences.	No change
It is considered that there is or could be consultation fatigue with so much correspondence/ consultation documents to consider	It is proposed that the published Local Development Scheme ² gives stakeholder groups notice well ahead of any formal consultation. The inclusion of the SCI timetable in Appendix 9 of the SCI Submission Draft shows the key milestones for production of the SCI. This should help organisations to know when they should expect consultation to happen.	No change
Many policies or the feeling of there being a Hereford City bias and policy is directed from Hereford out with aspects irrelevant or not appropriate in regards to the rural hinterland	The whole of the County is important in terms of planning and both urban and rural areas have their different challenges. Both Town and Parish Councils receive the same consultation opportunities. Hereford City is a sub regional focus and therefore a focus for development. However the rural areas have specific policies that are relevant to the	No change

² LDS is a three year timetable for the production of documents for the new Local Development Framework.

	issues that are being faced by them. The Council would like to hear from those who make this claim with some evidence.	
Significant applications, concern about this definition; 6 houses in a village would have a more significant impact than a similar scheme in a market town or Hereford	Amend paragraph 11.7 to state that detailed work should be undertaken to provide additional information to support the definition of 'significant' provided within the SCI.	Amend paragraph 11.7 to state that detailed work should be undertaken to provide additional information to support the definition of 'significant' provided within the SCI.
The use of the e-planning schemes, email etc in consultation and supplying information is an opportunity to address some of these issues, e.g. planning application lists and decision notices as lists could be emailed out and then displayed on parish notice boards or put in parish magazines or newsletters	List of applications and decisions are on the website. Consideration could be given to providing Parish Councils with planning information to place in suitable locations which the Parish Council felt appropriate.	Amend paragraph 11.8 and Section 9 of the SCI to reflect this.



For official use only:

Ref no:

Date recd:

Date ack:

Submission Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) June 2006 Response Form

Please use this Response Form to object to, or support the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI). Please read the guidance notes on page 4 before making a representation. A separate form should be used for each objection or support. Comments must be received by 24th July.

1. Please complete your name and contact details

Name: (BLOCK CAPITALS)	
Organisation: (if applicable)	
Address:	
Post Code:	
Daytime telephone no:	E-mail address:
Fax no:	
Agents Name: (BLOCK CAPITALS)	
Organisation: (if applicable)	
Address:	
Post Code:	
Daytime telephone no:	E-mail address:
Fax no:	

2. Please select one of the following:

Are you:

Supporting:

Objecting:

If objecting, which part of the SCI does your objection relate to?

Section

Paragraph

3. Your objection can only be based on the Government's following nine tests of soundness. Please indicate which test(s) you think the SCI fails. Please tick all that apply.

1	The SCI fails to comply with the minimum requirements for consultations as set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004	
2	The SCI's strategy for community involvement fails to link with other community involvement initiatives (eg the community strategy)	
3	The SCI fails to identify in general terms which local community groups and other bodies will be consulted	
4	The SCI fails to identify how the community and other bodies can be involved in a timely and accessible manner	
5	The methods of consultation to be employed are not suitable for the intended audience and for the different stages in preparation of local development documents	
6	Resources are not available to manage community involvement efficiently	
7	The SCI fails to show how the results of the community involvement will be fed into the preparation of development plan documents and supplementary planning documents	
8	The SCI does not set out a mechanism for reviewing the SCI	
9	The SCI fails to clearly describe the policy for consultation on planning applications.	

4. If objecting, please give details of what change(s) you would like to see to the SCI to resolve your objection and state why, having regard to the tests of soundness listed above.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

5. If there is to be a future hearing/inquiry to consider outstanding issues, can your objection be considered by written representation or do you consider it necessary to attend a public examination? *Please tick appropriate box.*

Written representation Public examination

6. If others may have made a similar objection, would you be willing to present a joint case at any future hearing/inquiry? *Please tick appropriate box.*

Yes No

Signature Date

Please return completed form(s) together with **five** copies of any supporting documents to:

Dr D J Nicholson, Statement of Community Involvement, Herefordshire Council, Forward Planning, PO Box 144, Town Hall, Hereford, HR1 2PJ. Alternatively you can return the response form via our fax number 01432 260289 or email to ldf@herefordshire.gov.uk.

Forms must be returned by Monday 24 July 2006.

To assist in our future mailing, would you please inform us of any change of name or address.

Guidance Notes on making a representation

The six week consultation period for making representations to the SCI runs from Monday 12th June 2006 to Monday 24th July 2006.

When considering making a representation you should be aware that the SCI is about the planning **process** not the setting of planning **policy**. Therefore, representations about specific planning issues, development sites and enforcement problems are unlikely to be appropriate.

There are a number of things to remember when completing the form:

- If you wish to object to the SCI please make clear in what way the SCI, or part thereof, is not sound having regard to the tests set out on page 2 of this form. Please also state how the SCI should be changed.
- Please use this form for making comments in support of, or in objection to, the SCI. Remember all completed forms must be received by **Monday 24th July 2006**.
- Please note only those objectors whose objections are made within the six week consultation period have a right to have their objections considered at a future Hearing/Public Local Inquiry. The Council is not obliged to accept any late representations.
- Please note that representations need to be made to the SCI document and not the accompanying consultation report.
- Forms should be completed in black ink or typed.
- Please complete a separate form for each matter objected to.
- Each form should clearly identify the change you are supporting/objects to. If you are submitting additional documents in support of your representation(s) please supply **five** copies with each completed form.

What happens to my representation?

Your representation will be acknowledged. Your individual comments, in support or objection to the SCI will be checked to see if they have been “duly made”. Each comment will be given a specific reference and acknowledged in writing.

- Submitted representations can be viewed by the public. They will be available as soon as possible following submission from the planning offices in Hereford at the Town Hall and Blueschool House, Libraries and Info points across the County and the Council’s website.
- The Council will then carefully consider all representations made.
- A public examination to consider any outstanding objections, should it be required, is anticipated to commence in January 2007.
- The Inspector’s report on objections to the SCI will inform any final adjustments which may be required to the SCI and will be binding on the Council.

The Herefordshire Council’s SCI will be adopted as a Local Development Document (LDD) and will form part of the statutory Local Development Framework (LDF) for the County. It is anticipated this will happen in May 2007.

If required further copies of the SCI, the accompanying consultation report and response forms can be obtained from the planning offices at Hereford Town Hall, Blueschool House, Libraries and Info Points across the County, and from the Council’s website www.herefordshire.gov.uk

Need help? If you would like this information in a different format e.g. Braille, other languages, audio tape, large print, computer disc or have difficulty in filling the form in, please contact the Forward Planning office on 01432 383357.

Appendix 15

Public Notice

**Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004
The Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2004, Regulation 28
Notice of Public Participation
Statement of Community Involvement, Submission Draft**

Proposed Subject Matter: Herefordshire Council has prepared a Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) as part of the Local Development Framework (LDF) for the County. The SCI sets out how the community will be engaged in the preparation of planning documents that make up the framework and in the planning application process. When the Statement of Community Involvement is adopted it will form a Local Development Document within the LDF.

Availability: Under Regulation 28 of the above Regulation, the Submission SCI has now been submitted to the Secretary of State and has been published for consultation purposes along with a response form and consultation statement, which are available at the locations, listed below.

Planning Offices Hereford		
Planning Services	Blueschool House, Blueschool St.	Monday to Thurs - 8.45am - 4.45pm. Fri - 8.45am - 4.30pm.
Forward Planning	Town Hall, St Owen Street	Monday to Thurs - 9.00am - 5.00pm. Fri - 9.00am - 4.30pm.
Libraries		
Belmont	Belmont Community Centre, Eastholme Avenue	Tues, Thurs & Friday - 9.30am - 1.00pm, 2.00pm - 5.00pm. Sat - 10.00am - 1.00pm
Bromyard	The Bromyard Centre, Cruxwell Street	Mon to Fri - 8.15am - 9.30pm. Sat & Sun - 9.00am - 6.00pm
Colwall	Humphry Walwyn Library	Mon - 5.00pm - 7.30pm. Tues & Fri - 10.00am - 1.00pm and 2.00pm - 5.30pm. Wed - 2.00pm - 7.30pm. Sat - 10.00am - 1.00pm and 2.00pm - 4.00pm
Hereford	Broad Street	Tues, Wed, Fri - 9.00am - 7.30pm. Thurs - 9.00am - 5.30pm. Sat - 9.30am - 4.00pm
Kington	64 Bridge Street	Tues & Fri - 10.00am - 5.30pm. Thurs & Sat - 10.00am - 1.00pm
Ledbury	Bye Street	Tues & Thurs - 9.00am - 5.30pm. Wed & Fri - 9.00am - 7.30pm. Sat - 9.30am - 4.00pm
Leintwardine	Community Centre, High Street	Tues - 10.00am - 1.00pm. Fri - 2.30pm - 5.30pm
Leominster	8 Buttercross	Tues & Fri - 9.00am - 5.30pm. Wed & Thurs - 9.00am - 7.30pm. Sat - 9.30am - 4.00pm
Ross on Wye	Cantilupe Road	Tues & Thurs - 9.00am - 7.30pm. Wed & Fri - 9.00am - 5.30pm. Sat - 9.30am - 4.00pm
Weobley	Old Police Court, Back Lane	Mon - 10.00am - 1.00pm. Thurs - 3.00pm - 6.00pm
INFO in Herefordshire		
Bromyard	The Bromyard Centre, Cruxwell Street	Mon to Fri - 8.15am - 9.30pm. Sat & Sun - 9.00am - 6.00pm
Hereford	Garrick House, Widemarsh Street	Mon to Thurs - 8.45am - 5.15pm. Fri - 8.45am - 4.45pm
Kington	2 Mill Street	Mon to Thurs - 9.00am - 12.30pm & 1.00pm - 4.00pm Fri - 9.00am - 12.30pm & 1.00pm - 3.30pm
Ledbury	St Katherines, High Street	Mon to Thurs - 8.45am - 5.15pm. Fri - 8.45am - 4.45pm
Leominster	11 Corn Square	Mon to Thurs - 8.45am - 5.15pm. Fri - 8.45am - 4.45pm
Ross on Wye	Swan House, Edde Cross Street	Mon to Thurs - 8.45am - 5.15pm. Fri - 8.45am - 4.45pm

On the Council's website: www.herefordshire.gov.uk/ldf

Consultation Period: Written representations on the Statement of Community Involvement should be submitted on the response form provided and must be submitted within the six-week consultation period ending 24th July 2006 to:

Dr D Nicholson, Forward Planning Manager, PO Box 144, Town Hall, Hereford, HR1 2PJ
Email: ldf@herefordshire.gov.uk Fax: 01432 260289.

Only those representations received within this six-week consultation period will have the right to have their representations considered by the Secretary of State.

Further information: If you have difficulties with reading or obtaining the Statement of Community Involvement or require further information, please contact the Forward Planning team on tel: 01432 383357.



**HEREFORDSHIRE
COUNCIL**

Have your say on planning

A detailed document which clearly sets out how residents can get involved in the council's planning process is nearing completion.

Called the "Statement of Community Involvement," (SCI), it states how the council will engage with communities when it consults on planning applications and prepares development plans (plans which show future use of land).

Extensive consultation - involving hundreds of people having their say on how they feel they would like to be involved – has already taken place.

Where appropriate these views have been taken on board and the SCI has now received backing from the Cabinet and full Council.

The next stage is to consult on this virtually final version – this time the consultation is about gaining support, or otherwise, to a document that will be submitted to the Secretary of State for consideration.

If there are objections to the document that cannot be met by the Council, then these will be dealt with by an independent Inspector.

Following this, the Inspector's report will be binding on the authority.

Anyone wanting more information should contact the Forward Planning Team on (01432) 383357.

Published in Herefordshire Matters - May 2006

Statement of Community Involvement Submission Draft Representation Database

		Q1: Supporting/Objecting?	Q2: Which test does the SCI fail on?	Q3: If Objecting to SCI what could we do to resolve?		
Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Comment Made	Comment Made	Council Response	Recommendation
		Written Rep or Public Examination				
SCI408/S	Highways Agency	Objecting		Would welcome an executive summary into the main document as this assists the reading of the SCI. The draft SCI does not identify many consultation techniques ie workshops, focus groups, town exhibitions and public meetings.	The SCI has an executive summary at the start of the document. The SCI at table 1 provides a variety of community involvement methods including workshops, focus groups, exhibitions and public meetings	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI361/D/S	D2 Planning	Objecting Para 8.1 - 8.3 Public Examination	Nos 4, 5	To have the objectives fully effective there should be some reference to time scale eg Accessible feedback should be given.	This section refers to the Council's adopted Strategy for Community Involvement and has incorporated the key objectives from this strategy into the SCI to ensure integration between the two documents. Timescales will vary depending on the document being produced. Regulations provide some timescale requirements and the Local Development Scheme establishes a work programme. It is not considered appropriate for an SCI to be prescriptive on timescales. The Council has established customer service standards which set out response times for different forms of communication and these will be applied. The SCI at paragraph 8.1 already refers to accessible feedback.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to include timescales within the SCI.
SCI361/D/S	D2 Planning	Objecting Para 9.14 - 9.15 Public Examination	Nos 4, 5	Elected Members have an important role but must be transparent, well founded and take into account adopted policies and proposals of the Council, reference to this should be included within the SCI.	It is not considered necessary to include this detail in the SCI. Councillors operate under a Code of Conduct. The important role that elected members have in representing the views of local people is recognised in the SCI at paragraphs 9.14 and 9.15.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to include this additional text to paragraphs 9.14 and 9.15 of the SCI.
SCI59/D/S	RPS Planning	Section 10 Written Representation	No 4	Our Comments on pre-submission draft para 10.1 have not been taken into account. Guidance notes referred to at the 7th bullet in table under para 10.1 "pre-submission consultation on preferred options" be included as appendix in SCI either separately or amalgamated with the notes at Appendix 5. Guidance notes should include ref to the 9 Tests of Soundness.	It would be useful to include guidance notes on how to make a representation. The scripting of standard guidance notes may however be difficult to achieve at the more informal pre-submission stage. At this stage of DPD production the consultation methods applied may vary depending on the DPD document being prepared. It would be difficult to provide specific advice and needs to be treated on a document by document basis. However at the more formal submission stage guidance notes can be prepared. These could be placed at Appendix 9 and replace the timetable which is recommended for deletion. An example of these guidance notes is attached for consideration by the Inspector.	The Inspector is respectfully requested to include guidance notes on how to make a representation at submission stage to be included at appendix 9 of the SCI.
SCI361/D/S	D2 Planning	Objecting 11.1 - 11.36 Public Examination	No 9	In para 11.2 re decision on planning applications within a 'sensible timescale'. Explain this phrase in more detail. It is noted that reference to a timescale is given in para 11.6 and it should be included in this para.	The word referred to in the SCI is "reasonable". This is purely an introductory paragraph. Timescales are provided in paragraph 11.6 of the SCI.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI361/D/S	D2 Planning	Objecting 11.7 Public Examination		Para 11.7 re definition of significant planning apps. Whilst an application may be a departure from the Development Plan it need not have a wide impact on the local environment. The phrase application for uses, which are themselves sensitive is vague and whether a use is sensitive depends on a variety of circumstances. This equally applies to the final definition concerning 'conspicuous development'. These definitions are ill-conceived and essentially predetermine views and decisions on these applications.	Paragraph 11.7 of the SCI provides a general definition of what would constitute a significant application. A judgement will be made on each individual circumstance. This avoids a lengthy prescriptive list of development types. None of the definitions has an implication of predetermination. Once the SCI is in use the Council will review how the identification of significant applications is working in practice. However for the purpose of this SCI the information in paragraph 11.7 is considered adequate.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point

Statement of Community Involvement Submission Draft Representation Database

		Q1: Supporting/Objecting?	Q2: Which test does the SCI fail on?	Q3: If Objecting to SCI what could we do to resolve?		
Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Comment Made	Comment Made	Council Response	Recommendation
SCI410/S	Fownhope Residents Association	Objecting Section 11 para 7 Written Representation	No 9	Bullet 4 delete 'conspicuous', rationale as written 2 tests are needed a) is the site 'sensitive' if so b) is the development 'conspicuous'. We contend that any development in a sensitive landscape should be considered significant? Therefore, the word 'conspicuous', which is subjective in the eyes of the beholder, is redundant.	Paragraph 11.7 is so worded so as to avoid a lengthy prescriptive list. More work will be undertaken separate to this SCI to explain in more detail the term significant application. However for the purpose of this SCI the information in paragraph 11.7 is considered adequate.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI361/D/S	D2 Planning	Objecting 11.8 Public Examination		Para 11.8 proper feedback is needed for both applicants and important councillors etc and others. We object to this paragraph until ref is made about providing feedback within a reasonable timescale.	Feedback is clearly implicit and this would be provided in accordance with the Council's service standards. Councillors are unlikely to be involved at this stage. The SCI has already referred to accessible feedback at paragraph 8.1	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI408/S	Highways Agency	Objecting 11.8 - 11.25		Section 11.8 should include the Agency and section 11.25 should include the HA alongside the Environment Agency.	The organisations listed here are only used as examples to demonstrate a point. Statutory consultees can be seen in appendix 3.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI404/S	The Home Builders Federation	Objecting 11.9		Re Pre-application consultation with local community, this has to remain optional and not compulsory. Should remain the applicant's decision without unreasonable penalty. In response to the Council's recommendation to previous representation, the Federation would welcome the inclusion of the following statement within the SCI "The Council can only request and not require developers to carry out the extra consultation. The Council cannot refuse planning applications because a developer refuses to carry out extra consultation".	It is accepted that the wording of PPS 12 uses the word 'encourage'. However, to give some kind of support to pre application discussions and in the interests of sound planning applications it is felt to be reasonable and correct for the Council to expect pre applications discussions to be undertaken on applications which fall into the significant category . Section 11.9 does not require pre-application discussions. This is fully within the spirit of community involvement. For such applications the Council does not consider that an expectation is unreasonable. Indeed the ODPM Statement of Community Involvement and Planning Applications states..The SCI can be expected to encourage pre-application discussions.' It is considered that the SCI reflects both this document and PPS12.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI59/D/S	RPS Planning	Objecting 11.9 Written Representation		Ref para 11.9 to actions that the Council "will expect" should be changed to will "encourage". Title of table within para 11.12 "applicant's duties" should be "good practice for applicants on significant planning applications".	The wording is not considered unreasonable or misleading especially as it is not mandatory. The Council will discuss with applicant's the requirements in each case.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI33/D/S	Wm Morrison Supermarkets Plc	Objecting Section 11 Para 11.9 Written Representation	No 1	The 'Companion Guide to PPS12: Creating Local Development Frameworks', published by Office of Deputy Prime Minister in 2004 states: "Statements of Community Involvement should encourage developers to undertake pre-application discussions and early community consultation although they cannot prescribe that this is done". The SCI as currently drafted is therefore not consistent with the PPS12 as above. We suggest that para 11.9 should be "Where a proposed planning application is identified as in the "significant" category the Council will encourage the following actions by the intended applicants".	It is accepted that the wording of PPS 12 uses the word 'encourage'. However, to give some kind of support to pre application discussions and in the interests of sound planning applications it is felt to be reasonable and correct for the Council to expect pre applications discussions to be undertaken on applications which fall into the significant category . Section 11.9 does not require pre-application discussions. This is fully within the spirit of community involvement. For such applications the Council does not consider that an expectation is unreasonable. Indeed the ODPM Statement of Community Involvement and Planning Applications states..The SCI can be expected to encourage pre-application discussions.' It is considered that the SCI reflects both this document and PPS12.	The Inspector is respectfully requested to consider retaining the text as written in the SCI at Section 11 Para 11.9.

Statement of Community Involvement Submission Draft Representation Database

Ref No	Organisation	Q1: Supporting/Objecting? Comment Made	Q2: Which test does the SCI fail on? Comment Made	Q3: If Objecting to SCI what could we do to resolve? Comment Made	Council Response	Recommendation
SCI59/D/S	RPS Planning	Objecting Section 11, 11.9 Representation Written	No 4	Our comments have been ignored. The Description "applicant's duties" is both contrary and inconsistent to guidance found within PPS12, and is misleading in terms of the level of expectation based on applicants when consulting the community on significant planning applications.	All comments have been carefully considered with feedback provided through the consultation report. The wording is not considered unreasonable or misleading especially as it is not mandatory. The Council will discuss with applicant's the requirements in each case.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI59/D/S	RPS Planning	Objecting 11.9 - 11.11 Written Representation		Re para 7.7.2 of the Companion Guide to PPS12 - the SCI should make clear at para 11.9 - 11.11 that pre-application community involvement is not mandatory.	The SCI does not require it	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI361/D/S	D2 Planning	Objecting 11.10 Public Examination		Disappointing to read in para 11.10 that Council sees its role as impartial throughout the pre application stage. Council Officers should add informal statement about the proposals say in principle. Crest object until it is amended along the lines suggested.	PPS 12 Companion Guide paragraph 7.7.2. refers to the need for the Council to remain impartial	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI361/D/S	D2 Planning	Objecting 11.20 Examination Public		21 day period is noted in para 11.20 for neighbours etc to make any comments on an application. Representations received after the 21 days should not be accepted and this para should be amended accordingly.	The Council's position is set out in Appendix 5 (Pages 57/58). Representations received after the relevant statutory time limit will only be considered if they raise material planning matters.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI361/D/S	D2 Planning	Objecting 11.26 Public Examination		Crest consider para 11.26 should advise that amendments will be accepted during the determination of the application.	Clearly the Council will accept amendments during the determination of the application so long as they are not too significant. Paragraph 11.26 refers to this.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI361/D/S	D2 Planning	Objecting 11.28 Examination Public		Para 11.28 clarification is required on the number of objections that are required and what are 'significant material planning considerations'. Further clarification when an application is for 'sufficient sensitivity'.	The procedure is as set out in the Council's Constitution and does allow a flexible approach. One material objection is sufficient however.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI361/D/S	D2 Planning	Objecting Public Examination		Re Applicant's duties - further clarification needs to be given on the extent of local residents. Crest therefore object until further clarification is provided on this issue.	The Council would expect discussions in each specific case.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI321/D/S	Hereford City Council	Objecting		Please note there remains a very real need to address meaningfully the issue of delegation of non-contentious development control functions to all parish councils within the country, where the particular parish council requests the same.	This is noted, however, it is not an issue for consideration within this SCI.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI59/D/S	RPS Planning	Objecting Appendix 1 Written Representation	No 4	The new term "valid application" has been included in glossary. This is defined as comments which are submitted in the appropriate way with a timescale specified and meets the requirements of the statutory regulations. This is a very woolly definition and if it is to remain included in the SCI, it should explain what the "appropriate way" is.	The term referred to in the glossary is "valid representation". The Council's policy on this is set out in Appendix 5.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI59/D/S	RPS Planning	Objecting Appendix 2 Written Representation	No 4	Please move Taylor Woodrow Developments Limited from the sub-heading of "national" to the sub title "developers/businesses".	As requested by GOWM the Inspector is being requested to consider amendments to appendix 2 to reflect the advise of PPS12. An example of the revised appendix 2 is attached for consideration by the Inspector.	The Inspector is respectfully requested to amend appendix 2 as suggested

Statement of Community Involvement Submission Draft Representation Database

		Q1: Supporting/Objecting?	Q2: Which test does the SCI fail on?	Q3: If Objecting to SCI what could we do to resolve?		
Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Comment Made	Comment Made	Council Response	Recommendation
SCI321/D/S	Hereford City Council	Objecting Appendix 2		Hereford Charter Trustees ceased to exist on 31/3/00 - delete from p43.	Noted.	The Inspector is respectfully requested to consider the deletion of Hereford Charter Trustees from page 43 of appendix 2
SCI386/D/S	Government Office for the West Midlands	Objecting Appendix 2		Please add the following to list of consultees - Museums, Libraries and Archives - West Midlands, Tourism West Midlands, Midlands Architecture and Designed Environment.	Noted. Suggest including these additional consultees to appendix 2.	The Inspector is respectfully requested to consider the addition of Museums, Libraries and Archives - West Midlands, Tourism West Midlands, Midlands Architecture and Designed Environment to the list of LDF consultees at appendix 2.
SCI386/D/S	Government Office for the West Midlands	Objecting Appendix 2 and 3		Changes have been made to Appendix 2 and 3 and would therefore wish to see LDF consultees identified as "specific", "general" and "other" as defined in Annex 5 of PPS12.	Noted. Suggest amending appendix 2 to reflect the advise of PPS12.	The Inspector is respectfully requested to consider amending appendix 2 into the categories of "specific", "general" and "other" as defined in Annex 5 of PPS12 as shown.
SCI83/D/S	Woodland Trust	Objecting Appendix 3 Written Representation	Nos 3, 4, 9	Appendix 3 - Would like to be added as a consultee for planning applications that directly or indirectly affect the irreplaceable habitat of ancient woodland. Quoted ODPM, PPS9, 2005, Para 10 re Ancient Woodland.	The Woodland Trust can view full details of all applications on the Council's website. The Council would be prepared to consider a formal request for notification under which there can be discussions and agreement on the range and type of applications and those areas of the County which the organisations interests covers.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI191/D/S	Offa's Dyke Association	Objecting - Appendix 3 (pg 53) Written Representation	Nos 3, 4, 9	Offa's Dyke Association has no objections to the SCI in general and this "objection" is made in the hope of Offa's Dyke Association being included in the list of "organisations to be consulted where appropriate on planning applications" set out in appendix 3. ODA is included on appendix 2, list of proposed LDF consultees, (local organisation p44 of SCI) and I hope that it will be possible to include ODA in appendix 3 as well.	The Offa's Dyke Association can view full details of all applications on the Council's website. The Council would be prepared to consider a formal request for notification under which there can be discussions and agreement on the range and type of applications and those areas of the County which the organisations interests covers.	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI361/D/S	D2 Planning	Objecting Appendix 6 Public Examination		Crest consider reference should be made in SCI to the opportunity for applicants to present to members on significant planning applications. If this approach is not accepted, should be open to applicants during the presentation of the application rather than allow them a 3-5 minute presentation.	This would not be in the interests of natural justice and may give rise to issues of probity. The Council is satisfied that its public speaking procedure (Appendix 6) is adequate	The Inspector is respectfully requested not to make any changes to the SCI on this point
SCI59/D/S	RPS Planning	Objecting Appendix 9 Written Representation	No 8	Appendix 9 should appropriately set out the mechanisms for reviewing the SCI.	Appendix 9 has been used throughout the production of the SCI to provide information on the process and timescale being followed. The matter of reviewing the SCI is dealt with at section 12 of the document. Now the SCI is moving towards adoption it is considered appropriate for appendix 9 to be deleted from the document.	The Inspector is respectfully requested to consider the deletion of appendix 9.
SCI407/S	Moreton C Cullimore (Gravels) Limited	Objecting		Would like a provision for some small extension of villages in Herefordshire, in particular Mathon where the company has a land holding of 25 acres to include restored land and a lake from mineral workings.	This is a planning policy matter and not an issue for consideration through the SCI.	This is a planning policy matter and not an issue for consideration through the SCI.
SCI386/D/S	Government Office for the West Midlands	Objecting		Replace refs to ODPM with the Department for Communities and Local Government.	Noted. Suggest changing ODPM references to Department for Communities and Local Government.	The Inspector is respectfully requested to consider replacing reference to ODPM with the Department for Communities and Local Government.
SCI106/S	Mrs E M Bennett	Supporting			Support is noted	

Statement of Community Involvement Submission Draft Representation Database

		Q1: Supporting/Objecting?	Q2: Which test does the SCI fail on?	Q3: If Objecting to SCI what could we do to resolve?		
Ref No	Organisation	Comment Made	Comment Made	Comment Made	Council Response	Recommendation
SCI226/S	Border Group Parish Council	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI331/S	Workmatch Ltd	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI348/D/S	Network Rail	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI211/D/S	West Midlands RSL Planning Consortium c/o Tetlow King Planning	Supporting Written Representation		Chapter 5 - Please reference West Midlands RSL Planning Consortium in the list of consultees to ensure that those RSLs not listed are represented.	Chapter 5 provides a general summary of consultation groups contained in appendix 2 and it is not considered appropriate to list a specific organisation. After a discussion with Tetlow King it has been agreed that Tetlow King be deleted from Appendix 2 and replaced with the West Midlands RSL Planning Consortium.	The Inspector is respectfully requested to consider the deletion of Tetlow King and inclusion of West Midlands RSL Planning Consortium in appendix 2. This has been included within the revised appendix 2 which is attached.
SCI362/D/S	First Great Western	Supporting		Add First Great Western to Appendix 3, page 5.3. Delete Strategic Rail Authority from Appendix 3 as no longer exists.	FGW are an operating company. The Council consult Network Rail and this is reflected at Appendix 3	The Inspector is respectfully requested to delete Strategic Rail Authority from Appendix 3 as it no longer exists.
SCI363/D/S	Duchy of Cornwall	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI373/D/S	Herefordshire & Worcestershire Earth Heritage Trust	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI380/D/S	Linton's Parish Council	Supporting		Well done.	Support is noted	
SCI272/D/S	Dwr Cymru Welsh Water	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI194/D/S	Sport England	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI392/S	Bovale Limited	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI393/S	West Mercia Probation Area	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI394/S	Marches Family Network	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI395/S	Herefordshire Group Training Association	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI396/S	Stanton King Associates	Supporting Written Representation			Support is noted	
SCI397/S	The Countryside Agency	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI398/S	Hereford Allotments and Leisure Gardeners	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI399/S	Herefordshire & Gloucestershire Canal Trust	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI400/S	Gloucestershire County Council	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI401/S	Pershore Group of Colleges	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI402/S	Savills	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI403/S	West Midlands Ambulance Service NHS Trust	Supporting		Name change from Hereford & Worcester Ambulance Service NHS Trust to West Midlands Ambulance Service NHS Trust	Noted.	The Inspector is respectfully requested to amend Hereford & Worcester Ambulance Service NHS Trust to West Midlands Ambulance Service NHS Trust in Appendix 2.
SCI405/S	New Earth Solutions Ltd	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI406/S	Kington Rural and Lower Harpton Group Parish Council	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI240/S	Leominster Civic Trust	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI92/D/S	Michael Latchem & Associates Ltd	Supporting Written Representation			Support is noted	
SCI275/S	Bishopstone and District Group Parish Council	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI137/D/S	Hereford Access	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI242/S	Brilley Parish Council	Supporting			Support is noted	
SCI361/D/S	D2 Planning	Supporting Para 9.1 - 9.10 Public Examination			Support is noted	
SCI361/D/S	D2 Planning	Supporting Para 10.1 - 10.2 (pgs 23-29) Public Examination			Support is noted	
SCI196/S	Environment Agency	No Comment				

Appendix 18

Suggested amendments to

Appendix 2 & Appendix 9 of SCI

Appendix 2 - List of Proposed LDF Consultees

Specific Consultation Bodies

The following bodies have been identified in accordance with PPS12:

All Town/Parish Councils within the County of Herefordshire

Alfrick and Lulsley Parish Council
Ashford Carbonel Parish Council
Bedstone and Bucknell Parish Council
Berrow Parish Council
Bromfield Parish Council
Bromsberrow Parish Council
Burford Parish Council
Castlemorton Parish Council
Clifton upon Teme Parish Council
Clungunford Parish Council
Drybrook Parish Council
Dymock Parish Council
English Bicknor Parish Council
Gorsley & Kilcot Parish Council
Greete Parish Council
Hanley Parish Council
Hopton Castle Parish Meeting
Kempley Parish Council
Knighton Town Council
Leigh & Bransford Parish Council
Little and West Malvern Parish Council
Longhope Parish Council
Lower Sapey Parish Council
Ludford Parish Council
Lydbrook Parish Council
Malvern Town Council
Malvern Wells Parish Council
Martley Parish Council
Mitcheldean Parish Council
Monmouth Town Council
Newent Town Council
Onibury Parish Council
Oxenhall Parish Council
Presteigne Town Council
Richard's Castle Parish Council
Ruardean Parish Council
Stanford with Orleton Parish Meeting
Staunton (Coleford) Parish Council
Stoke Bliss, Kyre & Bockleton Parish Council
Suckley Parish Council
Tenbury Town Council

Brecon Beacons National Park
Forest of Dean District Council
Gloucestershire County Council
Malvern Hills District Council
Monmouthshire County Council
Powys County Council
Shropshire County Council
South Shropshire District Council
Worcestershire County Council

Advantage West Midlands
BBC Transmission Headquarters
British Energy
British Gas Transco
British Telecom
Commission for Rural Communities
Dwr Cymru Welsh Water
Electronic Communication Companies
English Heritage
Environment Agency
Environment Agency - Upper Severn Area
Environment Agency (Wales)
Gas Companies
Government Office for the West Midlands
Highways Agency
Midlands Electricity Board
Midlands Electricity Plc
National Grid Transco
National Power Plc
Natural England
Natural England (West Midlands)
Nuclear Electric Plc
Radiocommunications Agency
Royal Commission Historical Monuments of England
Severn Trent Water Ltd
South West Regional Development Agency
Strategic Rail Authority
The Georgian Group
The Theatres Trust
Welsh Development Agency
West Midlands Regional Health Authority
West Midlands Regional Planning Body

Government Departments

Department for Cultural, Media and Sport
Department for Education and Skills (GOWM)
Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA)
Department for Transport (GOWM)
Department of Constitutional Affairs
Department of Health
Department of Trade and Industry (GOWM)
Department of Work and Pensions
Government Office for the West Midlands (GOWM)
Home Office
Ministry of Defence
Office of Government Commerce (property Advisers to the Civil Estate)

General Consultation Bodies

(Interest/community groups and voluntary bodies etc)

ABLE (Information and Advice)
ADAS Rosemaund
Age Concern
Agricultural Assistance
Alliance of Vol Orgs in Health & Social Care
Alzheimer's Society
Association for the Promotion of Herefordshire
Association of Local Councils

AVRA (Arrow Valley Res Assoc) and Ramblers Assoc
Belmont Voice
Bovingdon Park Home Estate
British Association for Shooting and Conservation
British Horse Society
British Museum
British Red Cross Society
British Roads Federation Ltd
British Wind Energy Association
Bromyard Swimming Pool Trust
Bulmer Foundation
Byways & Bridleways Trust
Campaign for Real Ale Ltd
Carers Action
Castle Street & District Residents Association
Central Council for Physical Recreation & CTC
Centre for Home Education UK Ltd
Chamber of Commerce
Chamber of Commerce Hereford & Worcester
Church Commissioners for England
Churches Together in Ross & District
City Centre Forum
City of Hereford Charter Trustees
CLD Youth Counselling Trust
Clyro Community Council
Coal Authority
Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment
Commission for Racial Equality
Communities Against Racism
Community Council of Hereford and Worcester
Community Dev Workers Forum
Community First
Community Mental Health Services
Community Voluntary Action
Confederation of British Industry WM
Confederation of Passenger Transport
Connexions Herefordshire & Worcestershire
Cotswold Line Promotion Group
Council for British Archaeology
Country Land & Business Association
Country Landowners Association
County Association of Local Councils
Courtyard Trust
Craswell Community Project
Crucorney Community Council
Cycle Hereford
Cyclist Touring Club
Deaf Direct
Disability Network Group
Dore Community Transport
Drug Service for Herefordshire
Eardisland Community Millennium Fund
East Herefordshire Area Committee
ECHO (extra choices across North)
Employment Service
English Sports Council (West Midlands)
Enterprise Link Manager

Environmental Services Association
Equal Opportunities Commission
Farm Shops Initiative
Farm Wildlife Advisory Group (FWAG)
Farming & Rural Conservation Agency
Federation of Small Businesses
Flavours of Herefordshire
Forestry Authority (West England Consultancy)
Fownhope Local History Group
Fownhope Residents Association
Freight Transport Association
Friends of Castle Green
Friends of the Black Hill
Friends of the Earth (Herefordshire)
Garden History Society
General Aviation Awareness Council, Bloomfields Ltd
Golden Valley Pro-Rail Partnership
Golden Valley Railway Partnership
Greytrees Trust
Grosmont Community Council
Gypsy Council
Health and Safety Executive
Health Living Community
Heart of England Fine Foods
Help the Aged
Hereford & Worcester Employment Service
Hereford Access for All
Hereford Allotments Association
Hereford and Worcester Chamber of Commerce
Hereford and Worcester County Scout Council
Hereford and Worcester FWAG
Hereford and Worcester Gardens Trust
Hereford Careers Centre
Hereford Centre for the Arts
Hereford Charter Trustees
Hereford Childrens Fund Panel
Hereford City Centre Forum/HIA
Hereford City Partnership Ltd
Hereford City PCCG Vice Chairman Able Rep
Hereford Civic Society
Hereford Dial-a-Ride/Community Transport Forum
Hereford Diocese
Hereford Industrial Assoc
Hereford Market Auctioneers Ltd
Hereford RNIB
Hereford Road Action Association
Hereford Trades Council
Hereford TUC
Herefords Centre of Ind Living
Herefordshire & Gloucestershire Canal Trust
Herefordshire & Worcs Earth Heritage Trust
Herefordshire & Worcs Sports Partnership
Herefordshire Aero Club
Herefordshire and Worcestershire Employment Service
Herefordshire Assoc of Governors
Herefordshire Assoc. of Local Councils
Herefordshire Association for the Blind

Herefordshire Bus Operators Forum
Herefordshire CAB
Herefordshire Carers Support
Herefordshire Citizens Advice Bureaux
Herefordshire Community National Health Service
Herefordshire Community Safety & Drugs Partnership
Herefordshire Council for Voluntary Youth Services
Herefordshire Council for Voluntary Youth Services
Herefordshire Disability Action Group
Herefordshire EYDCP
Herefordshire Federation of Womens' Institute
Herefordshire Food Links
Herefordshire Football Association
Herefordshire Group Training
Herefordshire Growing Point
Herefordshire Health Promotion Unit
Herefordshire Homelessness Forum
Herefordshire Industrial Assoc
Herefordshire Joint Charter Group
Herefordshire Lifestyles
Herefordshire Literacy Project
Herefordshire Market Towns Forum
Herefordshire Mencap
Herefordshire Nature Trust
Herefordshire Ornithological Club
Herefordshire Pedestrian, Access & Cycle Forum
Herefordshire River Leaders
Herefordshire Society of Architects
Herefordshire Sports Council
Herefordshire Taxi Association
Herefordshire Trade Federation
Herefordshire Trades Council
Herefordshire Voluntary Action
Herefordshire Wildlife Trust
Herefordshire Witness Service
Herefordshire Women's Aid
Herefordshire Young Farmers
Herefordshire Youth Consortium
Herefordshire Youth Council
Hfd Against Supermarkets Squashing our Local Economy
Hfds Rural Transport Partnership
HM Inspectorate of Pollution
HM Principal Inspector Health & Safety
HM Railway Inspectorate
Homestart Herefordshire
Hope for Children and their Families
House Builders Federation
Housing Corporation
Individual/Hfd Travellers Support Group/FoE
Job Centre
Joblink c/o Employment Service
Kings Acre Residents Association
King's Thorne Residents Group
Kingstone Rural Protection Group
Kington & District North Hereford Chamber Commerce
Kington Historical Society
Land Access and Recreation Association

Land Use Consultancy
Learning & Skills Council
Ledbury & District Access Group
Ledbury & District Civic Trust Limited
Ledbury & District Volunteer Bureau
Ledbury Area Cycle Forum
Ledbury Community Association
Ledbury Community Transport
Ledbury Shopmobility
Ledbury Youth First
Ledbury Youth First
Legal Services Commission
Leominster & District Community Assoc
Leominster Area Regeneration Company
Leominster Civic Trust
Leominster Historical Society
Leominster Shopmobility
Library Reading Group
Library Users Group
Lifeline Car Scheme
Lifestyles
Llangrove Village Voice
Llanigon Community Council
Llantilio Crossenny Community Council
Malvern Hills AONB Joint Advisory Committee
Malvern Hills Conservators
Malvern Hills District Agenda 21 Coordinating Group
Marches Consortium
Marches Energy Agency
Marches Family Network
Marches Line Users Association
Marden Women's Institute
Market Traders Association
Mediation Herefordshire
Mencap
Midland Area Association of Amenity Societies
MIND Herefordshire
Minerals Valuers Office
Moreton on Lugg Local History Group
National Air Traffic Services Ltd
National Farmers Union
National Federation of Builders
National Federation of Bus Users
National Playing Field Association
National Trust
National Union of Agriculture
Northern Herefordshire Area Committee
Offa's Dyke Association
Old Radnor Community Council
'One to One' Befriending
Open Spaces Society
Pembridge United Charities
Pension Service
People's Union
Physical & Sensory Support Services
Physical Activity Network
Planning Aid

Plynlimon Trust
Probation Service
Protect Ross on Wye
Rail for Herefordshire
Rail Freight Group
Rail Passengers Council
Rail Users' Consultative Committee Western England
Railway Development Society
Ramblers Association
Regeneration Partnership Bromyard
Regeneration Partnership Kington
Regeneration Partnership Leominster
Regeneration Partnership Ross
Rep. Private Sector Landlords
Robert Owen Society
Ross & District Community Development Group
Ross Action Committee
Ross Charity Trustees
Ross Community Development Steering Group
Ross Country Cars
Ross Creative Learning Centre
Ross on Wye & District Civic Society
Ross on Wye Community Development Association
Ross-on-Wye District Community Association
Rothewas Access Group
Royal College for the Blind
Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
Rural Community Council for Hereford & Worcester
Rural Development Service
Rural Development Service West Midlands
Rural Media Company
Rural Regeneration Zone
Rural Residents Association
SCORE
SHARP
Shopmobility
Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings
South Wye Regeneration Partnership
Southern Marches Partnership
Sport England
St James and Bartonsham Community Association
St Martins Bowling Club
Stone Roofing Association
Supported Housing Young People's Project
Sustrans
Teme Valley Youth Project
Tenant Farms Association
Tenbury Tourism Association
TESS, Central Marches BDC
The Herefordshire Education & Business Partnership
The Reasonable Adjustment Reablement Trust
The South Wye Regeneration Team
Transport 2000 (Hereford and Worcester)
Transport Sharing Scheme North Herefordshire (HVA)
Traveller Health Project
Travellers Support Group
Unity Garden

Victim Support
Voluntary Sector Assembly
Voluntary Sector Assembly
West & East Midlands Strategic Rail Authority
West Mercia Housing Group
West Midlands Conservancy
West Midlands European Network
West Midlands Ldz
West Midlands Local Government Association
West Midlands Planning Consortium
West Midlands RSL Planning Consortium
Wheels to Work (HVA)
Withies Close Residents Association
Woodland Trust
Woolhope Naturalists Field Club
Workmatch
WRVS Country Cars
Wye Valley AONB, Joint Advisory Committee
Zig Zag

Other Consultees

(Landowners, developers, builders, property companies and agents)

Active Commissions
Adams Holmes Associates
Advance Housing
Advanced Planning and Architecture Ltd
Alan Pickford Consultancy
Alder King
Andrew Morris & Co
Archdiocese of Cardiff
Area Land Agent
Arena Lettings
Arkwright Owens
Ataghan Limited
ATB Landbase
AXYS Design
Balfours Chartered Surveyors
Banner Homes Group Plc
Barkholme
Barry Bufton Estate Agent
Barton Willmore
Barton Willmore Planning
BE Planning
Beard Company
Beaumonts Solicitors
Bell Cornwall Partnership
Belmont Abbey, Director of Public Health
Blasemere Limited
Bloor Homes
Border Oak
Boyer Planning Ltd
Brightwells Limited
British Waterways
Brock Planning Consultancy
Bromford Housing Group
Bryant Homes Technical Services Ltd
Building Design Practice

Burton & Co
C G M S
C M S Ltd
C. G. Property
Carter Jonas
CB Hillier Parker Limited
Chapman Warren
Chase & Partners
Chesterton
Christopher F Knock
Churston Heard
Clee, Tompkinson & Francis
Cluttons LLP
Cobb Property Ltd
Colin Buchanan and Partners
Collier & Brain
Connells Land and Planning
Cooperative Group Property Division
Crest Strategic Projects
Crown Castle
Crown Estate Commissioners
CSJ Planning Consultants Ltd
D2 Planning Ltd
David Champion & Associates
David Edwards and Associates
David Russell Associates
David Walters Building Surveyor
DDM
Dean-Walker Bateman Architects
Defence Estates
Derek Prosser Associates
Development Plan UK
Dialogue - Communicating Planning
Doolittle & Dalley
Doorbars
DPDS Consulting
Drivers Jonas
DTZ
Duchy of Cornwall
Elgar Housing Association
Finale Properties
Flint & Cook Estate Agents
Forestry Commission
Form 2000 Ltd
FPD Savills
Fuller Peiser
G. Herbert Banks
Gabb & Co. Solicitors
Garner Southall Partnership
Geoff Jones Architect
George Wimpey
GL Hearn Planning
Gladestry Community Council
Gloucestershire Housing Association
GMA Planning
Goldfinch (Projects) Ltd
Gough Planning Service

Greenwood Development Planning
Gregory Grey Associates
GVA Grimley
H P Goodwin & Sons
H.J. Pugh & Co
H.P.Bulmer Ltd
Halcrow Group Ltd
Hallam Land Management Limited
Harmers Ltd
Harris Lamb Planning
Healey & Baker
Herefordshire Housing
Hoddell Association
Hook Mason
Humberts
Huntsmans Quarries Limited
I E Developments Ltd
Interplan Architects
J. Patrick Power - Chartered Surveyors
J.D. Gallimore Solicitors
JBA Consulting
JCS Planning
Jennings Homes Ltd.
JM Probert & Son
John Farr and Associates
John Needham Associates
John Parry Estate Agency
Johnson, Blight and Dees
Jones Day
King Sturge
Knight Frank
Lambert Smith Hampton
Landmark Info Group Ltd
Langley-Taylor
Lattice Property
Linton Design
Littman Robeson
Lucas Land & Planning
Malcolm Scott Consultants Ltd
Marches Housing Association
Marwalk Developments Ltd
Mason Hugo Properties
Matthew and Goodman
McCarthy & Stone
McCartneys
McLean Homes West Midlands
Michael Latchem and Associates
Michael Rose & Co. Property Consultants
Micheal P Morris and Associates
Morbaine Ltd
Morris Bricknell
Mundy Construction Services
MVM Planning
Nathaniel Lichfield & Partners
Neil Vesma Architects
New Earth Solutions Ltd
Nicholas Pearson Associates

Norman Marcus Consultants
Norris and Miles
P M W Lettings
P.D. O'Herlihy Chartered Surveyors
P.P. Pudge & Sons
Paul & Company
Paul Bainbridge Planning Consultancy
Paul Smith Associates
Peacock & Smith
Pegasus Planning Group
Pepper, Angliss and Yarwood
Persimmon Home, South Midlands
Peter Bishop & Associate
Peter Cripwell & Associates
Peter Evans Partnership Ltd
Peter Everall & Co
Phipps & Co Ltd
Planning Bureau Ltd
Planning Issues
Post Office Property Holdings
R & B Jerman
Rapleys
Redrow Homes
Renaissance Land Ltd
Richards Gray
Robert Kilgour & Associates
Robert Turley Associates
Roger Tym and Partners
RPS Chapman Warren
RPS Planning
RRA Architects
Second Site Property
Shaw Homes
Shaw Trust
Shephard and Summers Ltd
Smith Woolley Chartered Surveyors
Smiths Gore
South Shropshire Housing Association
Stansgate Planning Consultants
Stoke Edith Estate Office
Stubbs Rich Architects
Sunderlands
Sure Start
T A Matthews Solicitors
Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd
Tetlow King Planning
Thompsons Land & Property
Three Counties Planning Consultancy
Tufnell Town & Country Planning
Tupsley Court Farmers
Turner and Co
Vodan Sandbrook Ltd
W.R. Skyrme and Sons
Walker Stewart
Wall, Davies & James
Ward Hadaway Solicitors
Weatherall Green & Smith

Westbury Homes
Wilkon Homes
Williamson Associates Ltd
Wimpey Homes
Wood Frampton Ltd
WS Atkins Planning Consultants
Wyedean Housing Association

(Commercial businesses, farms)

A. J. Carlton
Abbey Cars
Ace Coaches
AJ Lowther and Son Ltd
Bloodstock
Burgoynes (Lyonshall) Ltd
Camas Aggregates Limited
Cobrey Farms
Collins Engineering
Corbett Farms Limited
Edward Bulmer Limited
Eign Enterprises Ltd
Estate Dept LIDL UK
EWS
Forest Garden plc
Halo Leisure
Hanson, Regional Offices
Harlequin
Hartwell Plc
HP Bulmer Ltd
J & P Turner Corn and Seed Merchants
Johnston Roadstone Limited
KGP Enterprises
Kingspan Insulation Ltd
Lafarge Aggregates Ltd
Lafarge Central Region
Lovell Johns
Lynders Forest Nursery
MF Freeman Ltd
Millbank Garages
Moreton C Cullimore (Gravels) Limited
Nash Rock, Stone and Lime Co. Limited
Odeon Cinemas Ltd
Pilgrim Hotel
Polytec Holden
Quarry Products Association
RMC Group Services Ltd
Robert Hitchins Ltd
Sargeant Brother Coaches
South Herefordshire Garages Ltd
Special Metals Wiggin Limited
Sun Valley Foods Ltd
Sun Valley Poultry Ltd (Wincanton)
Tarmac Quarry Products
Top Garage
Vaughan Farm Limited
Watery Lane Farm
Wye Leisure

(Service providers, statutory undertakers)

Army Territorial Centres
Arriva Trains Wales
Barrs Court School, Head Teacher
BITRE
Bowyers Coaches
BRB (Residuary) Ltd
British Aggregates Association
Bromyard Community Transport
Bromyard Omnibus Company
Business Link West Mercia
Business Network Broker
Campaign to Protect Rural England
CCTE Business Link
CD Rural Association
CDS Development Services Limited
Central Trains Limited
CENTRO
Civil Aviation Authority
DRM Coaches
ESG Herefordshire Limited
First Great Western Trains
First Midland Red Buses Ltd
Gateway Nursery
Great Western Trains Co Ltd
Hereford & Worcester Ambulance Service
Hereford & Worcester Fire & Rescue Service
Hereford & Worcester Fire Brigade
Hereford & Worcester Probation Service
Hereford and Worcester Fire Service
Hereford Hospitals NHS Trust
Hereford Sixth Form College
Herefordshire College of Art & Design
Herefordshire College of Technology
Herefordshire Health Authority
Herefordshire Partnership
Herefordshire Primary Care Trust
Holme Lacy College
Housing Ambition Group
Hyder Consulting (Drainage)
KC3
Kidz First
Kington Day Centre
Leominster Libraries
Local Youth Clubs
Lord Scudamore Primary School
Lower Severn Drainage Board
Lower Severn Internal Drainage Board
Mainline Pipelines Ltd
Meals on Wheels
Meat Hygiene Services Inspector
Midland Red First
Minister School, Leominster
Museums
Network Rail
Newton Farm Information Centre

Pipeline Management Ltd
Police - West Mercia
Railtrack (Great Western)
River Lugg Internal Drainage Board
Stagecoach in South Wales
Thames Trains
University College Worcester
Wales and Borders Trains
Wales and West Railway
West Mercia Area Probation
West Mercia Constabulary
West Mercia Police Authority
Worcestershire Health Authority

Herefordshire Council

An internal consultation list has been compiled and is available separately. This list will be kept under regular review and will be amended to ensure that requirements of this document are met.

Others

The database includes members of the public who have sought involvement in the preparation of planning documents.

Appendix 9

Guidance Notes on making a representation to a DPD at Submission Stage

The six week consultation period for making representations to the **[document name]** runs from **[this date]** to **[that date]**.

Representations made on the **[document name]** must be linked to one of the tests of soundness which have been produced by the Government to ensure that when a DPD is produced it is done so in a sound way ensuring that the correct processes and procedures have been followed.

How to make a representation

There are a number of things to remember when completing the form:

- If you wish to object to the **[document name]** please make clear in what way the **[document name]**, or part there of, is not sound having regard to the tests set out on page 2 of this form. Please also state how the **[document name]** should be changed.
- Please use this form for making comments in support of, or in objection to, the **[document name]**. Remember all completed forms must be received by **[this date]**.
- Please note only those objectors whose objections are made within the six week consultation period have a right to have their objections considered at a future Hearing/Public Local Inquiry. The Council is not obliged to accept any late representations.
- Please note that representations need to be made to the **[document name]** and not **[the accompanying report/s]**.
- Forms should be completed in black ink or typed.
- Please complete a separate form for each matter objected to.
- Each form should clearly identify the change you are supporting/objects to. If you are submitting additional documents in support of your representation(s) please supply **five** copies with each completed form.

What happens to my representation?

Your representation will be acknowledged. Your individual comments, in support or objection to the **[document name]** will be checked to see if they have been “duly made”. Each comment will be given a specific reference and acknowledged in writing.

- Submitted representations can be viewed by the public. They will be available as soon as possible following submission from the planning offices in Hereford at the Town Hall and Blueschool House, Libraries and Info points across the County and the Council’s website.
- The Council will then carefully consider all representations made.
- A public examination to consider any outstanding objections, should it be required, is anticipated to commence in **[this date]**.
- The Inspector’s report on objections to **[document name]** will inform any final adjustments which may be required to the **[document name]** and will be binding on the Council.

The Herefordshire Council’s **[document name]** will be adopted as a Local Development Document (LDD) and will form part of the statutory Local Development Framework (LDF) for the County. It is anticipated this will happen **[this date]**..

If required further copies of the **[document name]**, **[the accompanying report/s]** and response forms can be obtained from the planning offices at Hereford Town Hall, Blueschool House, Libraries and Info Points across the County, and from the Council's website www.herefordshire.gov.uk

Need help? If you would like this information in a different format e.g. Braille, other languages, audio tape, large print, computer disc or have difficulty in filling the form in, please contact the Forward Planning office on 01432 383357.

Your objection can only be based on the Government's following nine tests of soundness. Please indicate which test(s) you think the document fails. *Please tick all that apply.*

9 Tests of Soundness		
1	The [document name] , fails to comply with the Local Authority's Local Development Scheme	
2	The [document name] fails to comply with the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI), or with the minimum requirements set out in the Regulations where no SCI exists	
3	The [document name] fails as it has not been the subject of a sustainability appraisal.	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The [document name] fails as it is not a spatial plan, or it has not had regard to other plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas. b. It is not consistent with national policy c. It is not in conformity with the regional spatial strategy 	
5	The [document name] fails to have regard to the authority's Community Strategy.	
6	The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan are not coherent and consistent within and between DPDs prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant.	
7	The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.	
8	The [document name] does not set out a mechanism for implementation and monitoring.	
9	The [document name] is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.	
Source: PPS12 Local Development Frameworks Para 4.24		

The County of Herefordshire District Council

The Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004

Statement of Community Involvement Adoption Statement

This statement has been prepared in accordance with Regulation 24(4) and Regulations 36 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2004, and gives notice that the County of Herefordshire District Council formally adopted its Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) on the **xxth March 2007**.

The SCI sets out how the community will be engaged in the preparation of development plan documents and in development control decisions.

An independent inspector was appointed by the Government to examine the soundness of the submitted SCI. The Council received the Inspector's Report in February 2007 setting out binding changes to be made to the submitted SCI.

The Adopted SCI and the accompanying Inspector's report are available to view online at www.herefordshire.gov.uk. Both documents can also be viewed in the following locations

INFO in Herefordshire		
Bromyard	The Bromyard Centre, Cruxwell Street	Mon to Fri - 8.15am - 9.00pm. Sat & Sun - 9.00am - 4.00pm
Hereford	the Hereford Centre, Garrick House, Widemarsh Street	Mon to Thurs - 8.45am - 5.15pm. Fri - 8.45 - 4.45pm. Sat 9.00am – 1.00pm
Kington	2 Mill Street	Mon to Thurs - 9am - 12.30pm & 1.00pm - 4.00pm Fri - 9.00am - 12.30pm & 1.00pm - 3.30pm
Ledbury	St Katherines, High Street	Mon to Thurs - 8.45am - 5.15pm. Fri - 8.45 - 4.45pm
Leominster	11 Corn Square	Mon to Thurs - 8.45am - 5.15pm. Fri - 8.45 - 4.45pm
Ross on Wye	Swan House, Edde Cross Street	Mon to Thurs - 8.45am - 5.15pm. Fri - 8.45 - 4.45pm
Libraries		
Belmont	Belmont Community Centre, Eastholme Avenue	Tues, Thurs & Fri– 9.30am - 1.00pm and 2.00pm - 5.00pm. Sat - 10.00am - 1.00pm
Bromyard	The Bromyard Centre, Cruxwell Street	Mon to Fri - 8.15am - 9.30pm. Sat & Sun - 9.00am - 6.00pm
Colwall	Humphry Walwyn Library	Mon - 5.00pm - 7.30pm. Tues & Fri - 10.00am - 1.00pm and 2.00pm - 5.30pm. Wed - 2.00pm - 7.30pm. Sat - 10.00am - 1.00pm and 2.00pm - 4.00pm

Hereford	Broad Street	Tues, Wed, Fri - 9.00am - 7.30pm. Thurs - 9.00am - 5.30pm. Sat - 9.30am - 4.00pm
Kington	64 Bridge Street	Tues & Fri - 10.00am - 5.30pm. Thurs & Sat - 10.00am - 1.00pm
Ledbury	Bye Street	Tues & Thurs - 9.00am - 5.30pm. Wed & Fri - 9.00am - 7.30pm. Sat - 9.30am - 4.00pm
Leintwardine	Community Centre, High Street	Tues - 10.00am - 1.00pm. Fri - 2.30pm - 5.30pm
Leominster	8 Buttercross	Tues & Fri - 9.00am - 5.30pm. Wed & Thurs - 9.00am - 7.30pm. Sat - 9.30am - 4.00pm
Ross on Wye	Cantilupe Road	Tues & Thurs - 9.00am - 7.30pm. Wed & Fri - 9.00am - 5.30pm. Sat - 9.30am - 4.00pm
Weobley	Old Police Court, Back Lane	Mon - 10.00am - 1.00pm. Thurs - 3.00pm - 6.00pm

Any person aggrieved by the SCI may make an application to the High Court under section 113 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act. Any challenge must be made on the grounds that the document is not within the appropriate power or a procedural requirement has not been complied with. An application under section 113 must be made no later than **xxxx(6 weeks form publication of advert)**

Any person aggrieved by the SCI may apply to the High Court for permission to apply for a judicial review of the decision to Adopt the SCI. Any such application must be made promptly and in any event not later than 3 months from xxth March 2007.

For further information, or if you have difficulties with reading or obtaining the SCI, please contact the Forward Planning team, Tel: 01432 260000, or e-mail ldf@herefordshire.gov.uk.

Andrew Ashcroft Head of Planning Services